

Cambridgeshire County Council Record of Executive Decision

Decision taken by Traffic Manager in consultation with the local member

Title of decision	Traffic Regulation Order objections associated with the proposed waiting and loading restrictions on Various Streets, Great Shelford
Date decision taken	28 th January 2020*
Decision maker	Sonia Hansen (Traffic Manager) and Councillor Roger Hickford
Is this a key decision?	No
Is the decision subject to call in?	No
Details of decision taken	<p>Having reviewed all the details of the proposed Traffic Regulation Order and taking into account the consultation process and comments received, the Local Member and Traffic Manager determined the objections and confirmed that the proposals for all roads (Red Hill Lane, Cherry Trees, The Hectare, Church Street, Woollards Lane, Spinney Drive, Poplar Close, Leeway Avenue, Chaston Road and Hinton Way) should be implemented as published.</p> <p>The objectors will be informed of the outcome accordingly.</p>
Reasons for taking decision	<p>The report was presented to the meeting to allow the officer to explain the proposals.</p> <p>It was noted that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The restrictions for; Red Hill Lane, Cherry Trees and The Hectare were proposed to improve visibility at their respective junctions with Cambridge Road. It was noted that no local residents, that would be directly affected, offered any objections. • The restrictions for Church Street were proposed to improve traffic flow. While the objecting resident raised notable points, the rationale for refusal was limited. It was also noted that no other residents elected to comment. • The restrictions for Woollards Lane were proposed to ensure the loading bay is left unoccupied for most of the day to improve traffic flow. While the objection from the local resident raised notable points, the presence of the Memorial Hall car park across the road and the ability to loading and unload on the double yellow lines to the east of the bay meant the rationale for refusal was limited. • The restrictions for Spinney Drive were proposed to address unsafe parking on the road and while the objectors are requesting additional lining they are not objecting to what has been proposed. • The restrictions for Poplar Close were proposed to ensure the turning head is effective and useable. The comments received from local residents were noted, though the rationale for refusal was limited. • The restrictions for Leeway Avenue were proposed to address inconsiderate parking, which was affecting accessibility. It was

	<p>noted that the lining was minimal and thus unlikely to result in a large displacement of vehicles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The restrictions for Hinton Way were proposed to improve traffic flow. It was noted that vehicular parking on the southeast side was not a frequent occurrence so the number of vehicles that would be displaced by the restrictions would be minimal. It was also noted that blue badge holders can park on double yellow lines for up to 3 hours and can park in the limited waiting parking bay outside Nos. 29 and 31 Hinton Way without restriction. • The restrictions for Chaston Road were proposed to address visibility around bends and accesses, as the road is a major route for cyclists travelling to and from Cambridge via the Addenbrookes cycleway. It was noted that the number of vehicles parked on Chaston Road rarely exceeds the number spaces preserved by the restrictions so the number vehicles that would be displaced by the restrictions would be minimal. It was also noted that Acacia Court visitors can stop on double yellow lines to pick-up and set down passengers and blue badge holders may park on the lines for up to 3 hours.
Options considered	Please see above – ‘Reasons for taking decision’.
Details of any conflict of interests declared	No conflicts of interest
Details of any disclosable pecuniary interest or non-statutory disclosable interest declared	No pecuniary interests or non-statutory disclosable interest declared

* The meeting to determine objections took place on the 28th January 2020, however, not all objections could be determined within the allotted time. After sourcing clarification from the Parish Council, and some objectors, a final decision was reached on the 18th February 2020.