Appendix A: Summary of the results from the external consultation on the Council's DRAFT Corporate Energy Strategy

Q1. Is the purpose of the draft strategy clear?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Response Percent | Response Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91.11\% | 41 |
| 2 | No |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.89\% | 4 |
| Analysis |  | Mean: | 1.09 | Std. Deviation: | 0.28 | Satisfaction Rate: | 8.89 | answered | 45 |
|  |  | Variance: | 0.08 | Std. Error: | 0.04 |  |  | skipped | 0 |

Q 2. Will our approach to energy contribute positively to our vision for a Sustainable and Prosperous place?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Response Percent | Response Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86.67\% | 39 |
| 2 No |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.33\% | 6 |
| Analysis | Mean: | 1.13 | Std. Deviation: | 0.34 | Satisfaction Rate: | 13.33 | answered | 45 |
|  | Variance: | 0.12 | Std. Error: | 0.05 |  |  | skipped | 0 |

Q3: Are the six priority areas and their outcomes on page 5, the right ones? If No, please explain in the comment box below how these can be adapted and improved. Thank you.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Response Percent | Response Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yes |  |  |  |  |  | 80.00\% | 36 |
| 2 | No |  |  |  |  |  | 20.00\% | 9 |
| Analysis | Mean: | 1.2 | Std. Deviation: | 0.4 | Satisfaction Rate: | 20 | answered | 45 |
|  | Variance: | 0.16 | Std. Error: | 0.06 |  |  | skipped | 0 |

Q4. Cambridgeshire has the technical potential to deliver energy projects from a range of renewable fuels and technologies as set out in table 3.1 on page 6 of the strategy.
Are there any local fuels missing from table 3.1 that you would expect to see in this list? If Yes, please comment below and identify the opportunities and challenges. Thank you.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Response Percent | Response Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yes |  |  |  |  |  | 23.81\% | 10 |
| 2 | No |  |  |  |  |  | 76.19\% | 32 |
| Analysis | Mean: | 1.76 | Std. Deviation: | 0.43 | Satisfaction Rate: | 76.19 | answered | 42 |
|  | Variance: | 0.18 | Std. Error: | 0.07 |  |  | skipped | 3 |

Q5. In section 3.3 of the strategy, pages 10-13, we identify the work we are currently doing on energy and new opportunities that need developing to deliver our energy ambitions. Have we missed any opportunities that you think we should develop and include in the draft strategy? If Yes, please add your ideas and opportunities in the comment box. Thank you.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Response Percent | Response Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.10\% | 16 |
| 2 N |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61.90\% | 26 |
| Analysis | Mean: | 1.62 | Std. Deviation: | 0.49 | Satisfaction Rate: | 61.9 | answered | 42 |
|  | Variance: | 0.24 | Std. Error: | 0.07 |  |  | skipped | 3 |

Q6. The funding and resourcing of the strategy is set out under section 4 of the draft strategy. Itis a high level summary of the funding opportunities as it is assumed the business cases will be developed individually for projects based on the financial and policy merits of the and that every project that is developed will need an engagement plan to secure community inputs.
Is there anything more you would like to see added under section 4? Please tell us what else you would like to see in the comments box. Thank you.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Response Percent | Response Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Y |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.50\% | 7 |
| 2 N |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82.50\% | 33 |
| Analysis | Mean: | 1.82 | Std. Deviation: | 0.38 | Satisfaction Rate: | 82.5 | answered | 40 |
|  | Variance: | 0.14 | Std. Error: | 0.06 |  |  | skipped | 5 |

Q7. Are there additional skills or mechanisms (such as existing procurements or new procurements, government schemes or public sector companies) that could facilitate the draft strategy that we have not yet captured in section 3.3 and 4 ? Please tell us more in the comments box. Thank you.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Response Percent | Response Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Y |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.42\% | 7 |
| 2 N |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81.58\% | 31 |
| Analysis | Mean: | 1.82 | Std. Deviation: | 0.39 | Satisfaction Rate: | 81.58 | answered | 38 |
|  | Variance: | 0.15 | Std. Error: | 0.06 |  |  | skipped | 7 |

Q8. The following section asks about yourself. All information provided by you remains completely confidential, however, if you do not feel comfortable providing us with any of this information, it is not mandatory.

| Response <br> Percent |  | Response <br> Total |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | An officer at Cambridgeshire County <br> Council |  |  | R |  |
| 2 | An officer at a Cambridgeshire district <br> or Peterborough Local Authority | $\square$ | $13.33 \%$ | 6 |  |
| 3 | An officer at an other public sector <br> organisation | $\square$ | $4.44 \%$ | 2 |  |
| 4 | Parish or town Councillor |  |  | $11.11 \%$ | 5 |
| 5 | None of the above, please tick and add <br> in comments section below |  |  | $48.89 \%$ | 22 |
|  |  |  | $22.22 \%$ | 10 |  |

