

CABINET: MINUTES

Date: 4th November 2008

Time: 10.00 a.m. – 11.57 a.m.

Present: Councillor J M Tuck Chairman

Councillors: M Bradney, Sir P Brown, M Curtis, D Harty, L W McGuire, R Pegram J E Reynolds and F H Yeulett

Apologies: None

Also in Attendance

Councillors: J Dutton, G Griffiths, C Hyams, D Jenkins, , G Kenny, T Orgee, R Turner, J West and M Williamson

641. MINUTES 7th OCTOBER 2008

The minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet held on 7th October 2008 were approved as a correct record.

642. DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

Councillor J. Reynolds declared a personal interest as the chairman of the East of England Regional Assembly (EERA) and Renewables East regarding agenda Item 6 “Policy Framework - Zero Carbon Schools”.

643. PETITIONS.

A) Withdrawal of all bus services through Horningsea

A petition was received by Passenger Transport with over 160 signatures from a total population of 300 reading: “We, the undersigned urge Whippet Coaches, Stagecoach and the Cambridgeshire County Council to reconsider their decisions to withdraw all bus services through Horningsea.”

Michael Hellowell from Horningsea Parish Council spoke on behalf of the petition stating the concerns of residents from Horningsea that the removal of bus services would have the affect of cutting off the village as it would result in there being no public transport of any description, as well as there being no foot or cycle paths to any adjoining village. It was stated that the 196 bus was used daily by residents with no alternative means of transport to travel to work and by youngsters, especially during the winter, to travel to the Regional College. Other issues highlighted included:

- That the B1047 ran along the High Street which was a major high speed rat run for traffic coming from the A10 to the east and south of the City, making walking

impossible and cycling highly dangerous for which there was a known record of fatalities.

- The bus was needed to access facilities not available locally such as shops, post offices, doctors, pharmacies, banks and hairdressers.
- Issues of the 2 buses being underused related to the times they ran which were at 7.28 a.m. and 9.28 a.m. - therefore prohibiting the use of free senior citizen bus passes and then returning at 1p.m. and 5.45 p.m. therefore restricting people who worked beyond the later time.
- Detailing the unsuccessful efforts made by the Parish Council to engage with County Council transport officers and directors of Stagecoach to examine either making the 196 bus service more user friendly by possibly linking it to train stops at Waterbeach, or by looking into other options such as the Citi 3 bus routing to Horningsea on an hourly basis, or providing a bus link to the Park and Ride site at Newmarket Road.

It was orally reported that Councillor Turner and many Fen Ditton local residents supported the petition.

Councillor Bradney the Cabinet Member for Growth and Infrastructure thanked the spokesperson for his presentation, commenting on the impressive number of signatures obtained from the possible population. He undertook to take into account the petitioners concerns and the views expressed orally, along with all other representations received during the consultation exercise when making final decisions on the range of disinvestment options for subsidised bus routes.

It was reported that two additional petitions had been received following the printing and despatch of the original agenda but which were still eligible to be considered as they had been received before the deadline under the County Council's Petitions Procedure. Both petitions, as detailed below, requested Cabinet's support in respect of proposed name changes to current electoral divisions.

B) A petition with over 110 signatures from residents of Huntingdon and Hartford seeking to protect the identity of Huntingdon and Hartford and seeking support to change the name of the county division from Godmanchester to Godmanchester and Huntingdon East.

There had been no request to speak to the petition as it was considered self explanatory, but the organiser, Councillor Peter Godley of Huntingdonshire District Council was present to hear the debate and Cabinet was informed that both councillors representing the Godmanchester electoral Division fully supported the request.

Cabinet noted that if it agreed to support either or both petitions set out at B) and at C) below, the statutory procedural requirements would be (bearing in mind the tight timescale required if such changes were to be agreed before the 2009 local elections) that officers would need to begin a 6 week consultation process and subject to no objections being received, submit a request for approval to the suggested name changes to the Electoral Commission by Mid December. If a positive response was then received, a resolution would require to be passed at either the February or March Council meetings.

Cabinet agreed to support the request and asked the officers to begin the consultation following the meeting.

C) Petition of over 60 signatures has been received supporting that the identity of Eynesbury should be protected at the next local elections.

The front page text of the petition read:

“In the forthcoming County Council elections in June 2009, St Neots will be represented by councillors elected to 2 different divisions:

- Little Paxton and St Neots North, and
- St Neots Eaton Socon.

The Eynesbury ward forms part of the St Neots Eaton Socon division. Despite being the oldest settlement in the area, and currently the largest constituent part of the town of St Neots, there is no reference to Eynesbury in the county divisions. We think this is wrong and should be changed.

We the undersigned believe that it is vital that the identity of Eynesbury is recognised and protected by the County. We therefore petition that the name of the county division be changed from St Neots Eaton Socon which currently had the lowest turnout of electors at local elections in Huntingdonshire) to St Neots Eaton Socon and Eynesbury.”

Catherine Hutton a resident of Eynesbury, acted as the spokesperson for the petitioners highlighting that:

- Eaton Socon constituted approximately 4355 registered voters, while Eynesbury with 7423 and therefore was approximately 75% larger.
- To encourage a better turnout at elections the electorate needed to identify with the area and that by seeming to ignore the importance of Eynesbury in the name of the County Division it appeared to the people of Eynesbury that the County was ignoring them.
- The petition had been collected in a very short time and represented just a small sample of the names that could have been collected.

Cabinet agreed to support the request and asked the relevant officers to begin the consultation following the meeting.

644. REPORTS FROM SCRUTINY COMMITTEES - CORPORATE SERVICES SCRUTINY COMMITTEE REVIEW OF MEMBER TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT AND THE RESPONSE

Cabinet received a report introduced by Councillor Williamson, the chairman of the Corporate Services Scrutiny Committee. The report set out details of the results of the review of the Council's Member training and development arrangements and made recommendations which he believed would help ensure that the Council provided a training and development programme that increased Councillors' abilities, improved their confidence and their capacity.

The Member led Review's aims had been to identify how the Council could adapt to the rapidly changing context of Local Government and to ensure that Members were empowered to fulfil all their roles and help meet the increased expectations from their local

community. The review found that a series of best practice principles, encapsulated in a Charter, should set the standard for what the Council was aspiring towards. This included adopting a Member Led strategy and approach to ensure there was a culture that welcomed training and development opportunities; provided appropriate resourcing and enabled Members to identify and develop their own specific learning needs.

It was confirmed in response to a query from the scrutiny chairman that recommendation 5 (relating to allocating an officer with a human resources background to lead Member training and development) was supported, with the details as set in paragraph 2.11 of the response. The Director of People and Policy confirmed that this would be provided within existing resources.

Issues raised by Cabinet Members included the need to ensure that the training provided was both cost effective and appropriate to the specific identified needs of Members. Reference was also made of the services that could be utilised / provided by other partner organisations, including those from Improvement East.

It was resolved to:

- (i) Welcome the report of the Corporate Services Scrutiny Committee and support proposals to further develop the provision of Member training and development as set out in the responses to the recommendations published in report 4b) included as part of the second despatch agenda.
- (ii) Agree that the Group Leaders should be invited to identify a Member Training and Development Champion for each political group.
- (iii) Authorise that the Deputy Leader of the Council, in consultation with Leader of the Council should determine whether the Council signed up to the Improvement and Development Agency for Local Government (IDEA) Member Development Charter, informed by feedback from each political group.
- (iv) Convene a Member Training Panel to comprise the Deputy Leader of the Council and the three Member Training and Development Champions.
- (v) Agree that the role of the Panel would be to advise the Deputy Leader of the Council and relevant officers on the development of training and development activity for elected Members, including:
 - Post election mentoring arrangements and provision of support.
 - Producing updated role descriptions.
 - Shaping and evaluating the annual training and development plan.

645. COUNCIL DECISIONS

There were none identified from the current meeting.

646. SECONDARY PROVISION FOR CAMBOURNE

Cabinet received a report detailing the current arrangements for secondary school provision for Cambourne setting out the options identified for securing suitable and sufficient secondary school places to serve Cambourne in the future. In addition, it sought agreement to proceeding with a detailed evaluation of the options identified.

It was noted that officers had begun exploring alternative options for the provision of secondary education for Cambourne in consultation with the Principal and Governors of Comberton Village College with two broad options being identified:

1. Establish a new secondary school in Cambourne to serve Cambourne.
2. Expand Comberton Village College to operate on a split-site basis, with a second campus established in Cambourne.

A site to the west of, and adjacent to the current Cambourne development, had been identified as the best location for secondary school provision on the basis that it was of sufficient size, related well to the existing development, was accessible and could be delivered within a reasonable timescale.

Oral updates at the meeting indicated that the option appraisal would now go to the 29th January CYPS Policy Development Group (PDG) and not the 13th November as set out in the report. Also reported was that the Governing body for Comberton Village College at their meeting on 23rd October had supported the option to expand the college on a split site basis with a secondary campus established in Cambourne.

It was reported that the local member for Bourn had provided comments for Cabinet's attention which raised a number of issues that had now been dealt with via an officer response copied to all Cabinet Members. It was orally reported that the local member had indicated that he was satisfied with the report as it stood and looked forward to being included in future constructive dialogue.

In terms of funding it was highlighted the officers would be pursuing discussions with the Department for Children, Schools and Families in respect of funding for the split site option as detailed in section 7.5 of the report, and for financing any shortfall as a result of Section 106 funding not being realised. Issues regarding adequate community / education provision in respect of the major housing dwellings planning application for Cambourne, were included in a separate report on the agenda.

In response to a query regarding future rolls, it was clarified that the County Council's demographic assessment indicated that secondary student numbers from Cambourne were expected to peak at 7 forms of entry (1050 students) and then settled around 4.4 forms of entry (750 students). It was confirmed that other schools in the County operated successfully at this lower level.

It was resolved to:

- i) Note the current arrangements for secondary provision for Cambourne and the discussions which had taken place with the Department for Children Schools and Families (DCSF) concerning the establishment of secondary school provision in Cambourne to address current and forecast demand in Comberton Village College's catchment area; and

- ii) Agree that a detailed evaluation of the options identified should be undertaken to culminate in the determination of a preferred option for establishing secondary school provision in Cambourne, which would then be the subject of consultation, on the condition that the necessary capital infrastructure funding can be secured.

CHANGE IN THE ORDER OF THE AGENDA

With the approval of Cabinet the chairman agreed to vary the order of the agenda in order to receive the next non key decision report as the next item of business as it related to the above issue.

647. MAJOR PLANNING APPLICATION FOR UP TO 950 DWELLINGS AND ASSOCIATED DEVELOPMENT (/06438/07/O) ON LAND AT UPPER CAMBOURNE

Cabinet received a report outlining the main issues and considerations that would be relevant to the authority's proposed consultation response to the application for up to 950 dwellings and associated development on land at Upper Cambourne, Cambourne (S/06438/07/O).

In making its response it was noted that the County Council was a consultee in relation to the application, which had been submitted to South Cambridgeshire District Council for determination in August 2007. Cabinet on behalf of the County Council was required to consider major planning applications in relation to Saved Policies in the Structure Plan, the adopted Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) policies and the adopted Local Development Framework (LDF) policies appertaining to the local planning authority concerned and to any other material considerations.

Section 2.10 of the report set out the provision that officers considered would be needed with appropriate contributions to be made by the developer via a Section 106 Agreement. Cabinet noted that it had currently not been possible to reach agreement on the identified requirements with the developer, especially in relation to Education.

It was resolved to:

- i) Raise no objection to the application (S/06438/07/O) on strategic policy grounds as it was considered that the level of growth and overall density proposed was in accordance with Policy H1 of the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS).
- ii) But to object to the application in relation to the impact on community services as there was no written commitment from the applicant to providing acceptable heads of terms for a Section 106 Agreement. Without such commitment the proposals would be contrary to the Saved Structure Plan Policy P9/8, and Policies ST/4 and DP/4 within the Core Strategy and Site Specific Policy SPD adopted by South Cambridgeshire District Council in July 2007.

648. POLICY FRAMEWORK ZERO CARBON SCHOOLS

Cabinet received a report advising it of the target for all new school buildings to be zero carbon by 2016 (meaning that that carbon emissions will be zero) and seeking endorsement to proceed with the development of the outline policy framework and associated performance standards, to enable the Council to achieve the Government's target for all new school buildings to be zero carbon by 2016.

It was noted that the Office of Children and Young People's Services (OCYPS) was currently facilitating the early stages of development of a project to develop a minimum design standard for all newly commissioned County Council buildings to support the achievement of the zero carbon targets. This would include analysing the success of the Council's most recently built schools which incorporated energy efficient design concepts / materials. A key step towards zero carbon would be to reduce energy use in schools and this would be addressed in relation to:

- School design and construction
- Specification of equipment and materials
- Awareness-raising and changing behaviour
- Teaching and learning

It was resolved to:

- i) Note the target for all new schools to be zero carbon by 2016; and
- ii) Endorse the development of the outline policy framework and associated performance standards to enable the Council to achieve the Government's target for all new school buildings to be zero carbon by 2016.

649. BUILDING SCHOOLS FOR THE FUTURE - REVISED EXPRESSION OF INTEREST

Cabinet received a report seeking approval to submit a revised expression of interest in the Building Schools for the Future (BSF) Programme.

It was noted that the national timetable for the rollout of BSF had been established in 2003, with 15 national waves of activity planned. Cambridgeshire schools had been allocated on the following basis:

- Fenland - Waves 4-6
- Huntingdonshire and Cambridge City - Waves 10-12
- East Cambridgeshire and South Cambridgeshire - Waves 12-15.

The revised guidance published in September by Partnership for Schools (PfS) required the submission of a revised phasing of schools entering the BSF programme, with the timetable for a revised expression of interest to be submitted by 30th November 2008. The report set out the criteria to be used for prioritising schools as set out in paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 of the report which it was noted, represented a significant change from the original consultation. Cabinet noted with concern that the size of the BSF waves had been reduced from a proposed £100m -£150m to £80m-£100m allowing investment in approximately 4

secondary schools, a special school and a pupil referral unit per wave with more waves to be delivered (7-8 further BSF projects would be required to cover all eligible schools).

It was noted that it was too early to be able to prioritise a list of future schools' involvement in BSF in the current report and the final response would be agreed following further consultation with stakeholders as set out in the timetable in paragraph 5.3 of the report.

In response to a question regarding financing the future waves, it was reported that affordability was still an issue. The revenue costs of planning and setting up each wave would require a bid into the Integrated Planning process of approximately £500km a year. It was noted that the level of capital funding provided through BSF was dependant on the number of secondary pupils attending relevant schools.

One member expressed concern at the implications of the sinking fund approach for future schools maintenance post BSF funding, and highlighted the need to ensure that schools kept the money for this specific purpose and did not use it to support other areas of expenditure.

It was resolved:

- i) To agree the proposal to submit a revised expression of interest in the Building Schools for the Future (BSF) Programme.
- ii) To delegate to the Cabinet Member for Learning, in consultation with the Director of Planning and Development, Office for Children and Young People's Services (OCYPS) the decision on the proposed phasing of schools' participation in BSF. The decision to be informed by:
 - Consultation to be undertaken with schools and stakeholders
 - The consideration of the views of an extended meeting of the Children and Young People Planning Development Group on 13th November.
 - The views of Children and Young People's Services Scrutiny Committee on 25th November.

650. 16-19 EDUCATION AND TRAINING - FUTURE ARRANGEMENTS

It was noted that in the spring the Government had published the White Paper, 'Raising Expectations: enabling the system to deliver', which proposed radical changes to arrangements for the education and training of 16-19 year-olds and skill development for adults. Since the publication of the White Paper, the Government had pressed ahead with arrangements for the implementation of these proposals.

As a result, Cabinet received a report setting out proposals for the future arrangements for 16-19 education and training given the planned transfer of responsibilities from the Learning and Skills Council (LSC) to local authorities from 1 April 2010.

It was reported that from 2010, local authorities would be responsible for commissioning and funding sixth form (and where it existed, sixth form college) provision. Local authorities

would be expected to collaborate in formal sub-regional groupings to commission Further Education (FE) provision across the sub-region.

It was noted that more detailed work would be required to affect a smooth transfer of responsibility, particularly in respect of funding arrangements and the increase in the compulsory participation age to 17 in 2013 and 18 in 2015.

It was resolved:

That the Council should join a sub regional grouping of Norfolk, Suffolk County Councils and Peterborough City Council to take forward the future commissioning of 16-19 education.

651. CARERS STRATEGY 2008-2011

Cabinet noted that back in 2004, a Review of Carers Services had been carried out by the Primary Care Trust (PCT) and following on from this, a consultation exercise was carried out with Carers in 2005, based on the key findings of the 2004 Review. From this subsequent consultation, three priority areas had been identified by Carers which then formed the basis of the Carers Project Plan 2005 to 2008.

Cabinet now received a report updating it on the development and completion of the Cambridgeshire Carers Strategy as appended to the report.

It was resolved:

- i) To note and to congratulate officers on the contents of the report.
- ii) To approve the Carers Strategy and Action Plan attached as an appendix to this report.

652. HUNTINGDON TO ST IVES BUS PRIORITY MEASURES

Cabinet received a report in order to consider proposals for changing the previously approved programme of bus priority works in the Huntingdon area.

Cabinet noted that a package of bus priority measures between Huntingdon and St Ives had been developed as part of the Huntingdon & Godmanchester Transport Strategy adopted in 2003. The measures were designed to minimise the impact of congestion on bus journey times and improve reliability and to extend the benefits of the Cambridgeshire Guided Busway from St Ives to Huntingdon as well as contributing to the delivery of the Transport Strategy by enhancing the quality of bus services to and through Huntingdon. Since that report the following two major changes had taken place resulting in the need for the overall programme having to be reconsidered:

- As a result of the inclusion of additional measures requested by the Area Joint Committee (AJC) and approved by Cabinet in January plus inflation in the construction industry, the estimated cost of the Hinchingsbrooke scheme had now risen to around £1M, £200K over the budgeted figure.

- Stagecoach had amended their bus services in the area, resulting in a material effect on the Bus Priority schemes approved.

Clarification was given in respect of an error in the paper regarding Hartford Road Huntingdon at paragraph 2.3 which stated that “As these services will not now be using Hartford Road **and no other services will use this route**, a bus lane here cannot be justified. “ The part in bold should have read “ **with few other services using the route**, ...” with the final words still the same. The only services that would now use the inbound bus lane during the peak morning were the Whippet 1a and the stagecoach 45 with officers believed would only be 3 buses during the morning peak and a similar number during the evening peak.

Local Members for Godmanchester supported deferring implementation of the Hinchingsbrooke Bus Priority scheme with Councillor Dutton expressing the view that the money would be better spent by providing traffic lights at the Hartford roundabout, rather than what was being proposed in respect of the Old Houghton Road scheme. However if the scheme did go ahead, he believed its use should be restricted to public transport, emergency vehicles and cyclists.

Comments from one of the local members representing St Ives made reference to the existing shared use path along Houghton Road from the B1090 Wyton Hill Junction with the A1123 being narrow and in poor condition. In response it was noted that the path was due to be improved as part of the provision of the bus lane and developers proposals for the housing development adjacent to the A1123. As stated in the report, the proposals were subject to securing land and cycleway provision as part of the Section 106 agreements and therefore progress could not be made until progress was made on the development.

In respect of the funding for the Old Houghton Road project it was clarified that this was primarily for the creation of a bus lane and could not be carried out without amending the existing cycleway. The intention would be for Huntingdon bound buses only to use this route. This was seen as a real improvement for public transport travelling towards Huntingdon. The Cabinet Member for Growth and Infrastructure indicated that the constructive restriction usage proposals for the bus lane suggested by Councillor Dutton would be looked into further.

It was resolved to:

- i) Cancel the Hartford Road, Huntingdon Bus Priority measures and progress the cycleway elements through the Market Town Strategy process;
- ii) Reaffirm the approval to construct the Old Houghton Road, Huntingdon Bus and Cycleway Measures;
- iii) Reallocate the £300,000 LTP funding allocated to the Hinchingsbrooke scheme to the Old Houghton Road scheme.
- iv) Defer further work on the Houghton Road, St Ives Bus Priority and Cycleway Measures until the associated developments and funding come forward;
- v) Defer implementation of the Hinchingsbrooke Bus Priority scheme.

653. CAMBRIDGE CYCLING DEMONSTRATION TOWN

Cabinet received an update report on the County Council's successful bid to become a Cycling Demonstration Town, reporting on progress so far, and seeking endorsement of the provisional programme.

Cabinet congratulated all those involved in the bid as from 74 applications made, only 11 had been successful. It was noted that the programme would not only be important for Cambridge City, but also for the necklace villages within a radius of some 5-6 miles around Cambridge. The successful bid would provide £3.6m of additional funding over the next two and a half years, which would require match funding from sources such as Section 106 funding negotiated for cycling schemes and also from the mainstream Local Transport Plan capital programme. It was confirmed that any additional staff required would be provided from the central government allocation.

One of the local members for Sawston spoke in support of the major infrastructure project NCN11 and the Sawston-Babraham-Abington route as set out in Appendix A detailing the CDT draft provisional programme of projects. The other local Member for Sawston congratulated the officers for securing such a large source of additional local funding and requested that some of the money should go to making the crossing at Sawston bypass safer for children travelling to Sawston Village College from Stapleford and Shelford.

The Leader of the Liberal Democrat Group, who was also one of the local members for Cottenham Histon and Impington, in supporting the northern corridor major projects made the point that the projects should focus on whole routes and not just sections of routes. He also urged that there should be no compromise in respect of them being built to national standards in order to ensure all cycle routes were safe. This approach was confirmed. He also called for more cycle parking spaces to be created in the city.

There was discussion regarding the importance of training cyclists how to use the roads safely and to be aware of what lethal weapons cars could be. Cabinet supported the proposals for increased training for cyclists to help reduce motor vehicle / pedestrian / cyclist conflicts.

Officers also undertook to take on board where practicable points made by the local Members at the meeting and also those who provided comments brought to the attention of Cabinet before the meeting, including the local members for Duxford (questioning the prioritisation process) and from Willingham (suggesting a link between Willingham and Rampton and improving the link between Over and Longstanton), for which responses would be made outside of the meeting.

It was resolved to:

- i) Note the progress of the project.
- ii) Approve the provisional programme of both the softer measures and infrastructure projects.

- iii) Delegate to the Cabinet Member for Growth and Environment in consultation with the Deputy Chief Executive, Environment and Community Services approval regarding the final decisions on the programme.

654. JOINT STRATEGIC NEEDS ASSESSMENT FOR CAMBRIDGESHIRE PHASE 2

Cabinet received a report presenting the main details of the updated Phase 2 Joint Strategic Needs Assessment for Cambridgeshire (Appendix 1, together with an accompanying report: 'Joint Strategic Needs Assessment: Community Views' (Appendix 2). required under The Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act (2007) which placed a duty on upper tier local authorities and Primary Care Trusts (PCTs) to undertake a Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA). It was noted that the JSNA was a process to identify the current and future health and wellbeing needs of a local population, informing the priorities and targets set by Local Area Agreements and leading to agreed commissioning priorities that would result in improved outcomes and the reduction of health inequalities.

Cabinet was reminded that the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment for Cambridgeshire: Phase 1 had been published in May and provided an analysis of data showing the health and well-being status of local communities and defining where inequalities existed. At phase 1 it had been agreed that further work would be undertaken over the summer on the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment process as set out in the report. The current report set out the progress made

It was resolved:

- i) To pass on congratulations to all the officers involved in the production of the excellent document, which would be very useful in helping shape future policy.
- ii) To agree that the information contained in the Phase 2 Joint Strategic Needs Assessment and accompanying documents should contribute to those aspects of County Council's planning which addressed health and social well being issues, health inequalities and the strategic joint planning of health and social care services.

655. PUBLICATION OF THE 2007/08 ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE COUNCIL

Cabinet received a report requesting approval to the 2007-08 Annual Report attached as part of the second despatch agenda in order that it could be released for wider publication.

Cabinet was reminded that last year the Annual Report had only been issued online in order to minimise overall distribution costs. This year, in an attempt to achieve wider circulation in addition to the online release, it was recommended that 2,000 hard copies should be produced and placed in public buildings (e.g. libraries etc). The Chairman additionally requested that parish councils should also be included in the proposed expanded distribution list. Officers therefore orally agreed that the final distribution list would be the subject of final consultation with the Leader of the Council.

An error was highlighted at the meeting in respect of page 7 where the paragraph beginning with the words “The latest assessment of our Children and Young People’s Service is underway...” the third line required amendment so that the words “Older People’s” was replaced with the word “Adult” so that the line read: “..its annual performance assessment of Adult Services, in terms of...”

It was resolved:

- i) To approve the 2007-08 Annual Report for release subject to the above amendment on page 7.
- ii) To delegate to the Director of Finance, Property and Performance in consultation the Leader of the Council the authority to agree the final distribution of the hard copy colour version which should include district and parish councils and other partner organisations.

656. DELEGATIONS FROM CABINET TO CABINET MEMBERS/OFFICERS

Cabinet received and noted a report on the progress made on matters delegated to individual Cabinet Members and/or to officers to make decisions on behalf of the Cabinet up to October 2008.

657. DRAFT AGENDA FOR 2nd DECEMBER CABINET MEETING

The draft agenda was noted with the following changes notified since the publication of the agenda.

Additional reports:

A new report for final Council decision regarding “Corporate Leadership Team (CLT) – Proposed Changes” in the name of the Chief Executive

Key decision report “Lease to Fenland Area Community Enterprise Trust) of Marwick Centre March” at less than best consideration.

Monitoring report: Integrated Finance and Performance Report September

Items moved off the agenda;

15. Archiving Policies – future date to be confirmed

16. Older People’s Strategy – moved to 24th February 2009

Chairman
2nd December 2008