## **Cambridgeshire County Council**

Ri	sk	01. V	01. Vulnerable children or adults are harmed										
	5	5					Risk Owners Wendi Ogle-Welbourn	Current Score Target Score	15	Last Review Next Review			
	4							_	15				
٥	3					v	Triggers	Likelihood Factors (\	/ulnerability)	Potential Conse	quences		
90			X	Council's arrangements for safeguarding vulnerable	1. Funding cuts		1. Child or vulner	able adult is killed or seriously harmed					
Likelih	2						children and adults fail and someone dies or is seriously harmed			<ol> <li>People lose trust in Council services</li> <li>Council is judged to have failed in statutory duties</li> </ol>			
	1						<ol><li>A serious case review is commissioned because a child or a vulnerable adult dies or is seriously harmed and abuse or neglect is thought to be involved</li></ol>						
		1	2	3	4	5	Risk related to the providers we commission for adults whose actions or practice could present a risk to the						
		,	Conse	quenc	е		adults they support						

Controls	Adequacy	Critical Success
Multi-agency Safeguarding Boards provides oversight and review of safeguarding activity across agencies	Good	Regular reporting
02.Comprehensive and robust safeguarding training, development opportunities, and supervisions for staff to instil and monitor safeguarding practice	Good	High quality supervision and support. Professional staff are able to continue registration with their professional bodies
03.Audits, reviews and training provided to school staff, governors and settings. All schools must have child protection training every 3 years.	Good	Audit and inspection recognises good compliance with procedures
04.Continuous process of updating practice and procedures according to latest developments in practice and responding to national and local issues	Good	

Action Plans	Responsibility	Target Date
--------------	----------------	-------------

05.Whistleblowing policy, robust Local Authority Designated Officer arrangements, complaints process, all of which inform practice	Good	
06. Robust process of internal Quality Assurance, including case auditing and monitoring of performance	Good	Regular auditing and reporting
07.Multi-Agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH) supports timely, effective decision making between partners	Good	
08. Regular monitoring of social care providers	Good	Regular auditing and reporting
09. Ensure that there is a quality assurance and improvement process in place	Good	Regular auditing and reporting
10. Ensure there is a clear process across partner organisations to share concerns or early warnings that a provider may be having difficulties	Good	Effective and safe implementation
11. Continue to work with the CQC to share information	Good	Regular reporting
12. This information to inform the contract monitoring and quality improvement process	Good	

Ri	sk	02. T	he B	usine	ss Pl	an (inc	cluding budget and services) is not delivered				
	5						Risk Owners Chris Malyon	Current Score	20	Last Review	
								Target Score		Next Review	
	4	1				X	1	Previous Score	20		
b	2						Triggers	Likelihood Factors	(Vulnerability)	Potential Conseque	ences
Likelihood	3							sources than it has by the 1. Current forecast is for £5m overspend			
ikel	2						end of the year and does not have sufficient reserves to cover a minor deviation	<ol> <li>Demand pressures</li> <li>Population continue</li> </ol>		Reactive in-year s     Government interver	avings or in worst-case,
ľ							Services are not delivered at the quantity or to the	· ·	•	The Council does not deliver its statutory	
	1	quality required as per the plan					quality required as per the plan	0	challenging with S114 notices issued by local county		responsibilities, leading to judicial review 3. People do not receive the services to which they are
		1	2	3	4	5		council		· ·	nd may be harmed as a result
Consequence				quence	•						

Controls	Adequacy	Critical Success
01. Robust Business Planning process	Good	Continued support from SMT to act collectively to develop budget proposals which meet the financial challenge
02.Robust service planning, priorities cascaded through management teams and through appraisal process	Good	Staff have clarity of what is expected of them and deliver services within the available budget
03.Integrated resources and performance reporting (accountable monthly to GPC), tracking budget, savings, activity and performance	Good	Saving proposals delivered
04.Operational division Finance and Performance Reports (accountable monthly to Service Committees), tracking budget, savings, activity and performance	Good	Saving proposals delivered

Action Plans	Responsibility	Target Date
Integrated Resources and Performance Report	DCEX	31/03/2020
See information in monthly Integrated Resources and Performance Report about remedial action required to correct over- or underspends, or below target performance		
Finance and Performance Reports	DCEX	31/03/2020
Detail is available in the monthly Finance and Performance Reports (which are accessible via hyperlinks in IRPR)		

05. Scheme of Financial Management, including Budget Control Report for the Council as a whole and operational divisions	Good	Clear budget process, effective engagement with it and compliance
06.Procurement processes and controls ensure that best value is achieved through procurement	Good	
07.Regular meetings between Finance and budget holders at all levels of the organisation to track exceptions and identify remedial actions	Good	Meeting of financial targets and deadlines. Political engagement and approval
08.Rigorous treasury management system plus tracking of national and international economic factors and Government policy	Good	
09.Rigorous risk management discipline embedded in services and projects	Good	
10.Limited reserves for minor deviations	Good	

Ris	Risk		03. Personal data is inappropriately accessed or shared										
	5						Risk Owners	Sue Grace	Current Score	9	Last Review	T	
									Target Score		Next Review		
	4								Previous Score	9			
þ	3			х			Triggers		Likelihood Factors	(Vulnerability)	Potential Conse	quences	
hoc				^				cess to data (e.g. cyber-attack, break-in)	<ol> <li>Recent cyber atta</li> </ol>	cks affecting public sector	<ol> <li>Harm for indivi</li> </ol>		
Likelihood	2						Accidental or recipient)	lata breach (e.g. email sent to wrong			<ol> <li>Loss of trust in</li> <li>Penalties from</li> </ol>	the Council regulator including fines	
	1												
		1	2	3	4	5							
	-		Conse	quence	е								

Controls	Adequacy	Critical Success
Mandatory information security training for all staff	Reasonable	
Further training available and encouraged	Good	
Regular communications to all staff and in key locations (e.g. printers)		
Strategic Information Management Board, including Senior Information Risk Owner (member of SMT) and Caldicott Guardian, oversee all information governance activity	Good	Regular reporting
Comprehensive Information Management     Policy Framework	Good	
Procedure for notifying, handling and managing data breaches	Good	

Action Plans	Responsibility	Target Date
Reviewing IT systems with suppliers	DPO	30/06/2019
Completed an initial review however they are following this on with a more detail evaluation and action plan, so the initial work has been completed. However there is a follow on action which will be completed May/June 2019.		
4. Reviewing key contracts with suppliers First lot of contract reviews have been completed, chasing responses happening during Feb and March. This will be completed by end of March.	DPO	31/03/2019

Data breaches and performance indicators reported to Information Management Board and SMT	Good	Regular reporting
IT security – data encryption, hardware firewalls, network traffic monitoring, inbound mail monitoring, spam filters, web content filtering, anti-virus software	Good	

Ris	k 04. A serious incident occurs, preventing services from operating and / or requiring a major incident response											
	5						Risk Owners Sue Grace	Current Score	12	Last Review		
	4							Target Score	40	Next Review		
	4						<u>                                     </u>	Previous Score	12		<u>l</u>	
po	3				Х		Triggers	Likelihood Facto	`	Potential Conse	•	
iho							1. Loss of large quantity of staff	,	House IT Disaster Recovery	,	ver services to vulnerable people,	
Likeliho	2						<ol><li>Loss of premises (including to access)</li></ol>		One Angel Square, Northampton environment hazards such as	resulting in harm 2 Inability to mee	to them et legislative and statutory	
-			_				3. Loss of IT, equipment or data		flooding and severe weather		requirements	
	1		4. Loss of a supplier				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·	4. Decreasing resilience in CCC services due to		3. Increase in service demand	
							<ol><li>Loss of utilities or fuel</li></ol>	ongoing financial o	ongoing financial constraints and cost reduction		Reputational damage	
		1	2	3	4	5	6. Pandemic	programmes				
		Consequence										

Controls	Adequacy	Critical Success
Corporate and service business continuity plans	Reasonable	Up to date business continuity plans available across the Council
Relationships with trade unions including agreed exemptions	Good	
Corporate communication channels in case of emergency	Good	Responsive media strategy
Multi-agency collaboration through the Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Local Resilience Forum	Good	
5. IT disaster recovery arrangements	Reasonable	Up to date IT disaster recovery plans in place

Action Plans	Responsibility	Target Date
2. Fire Safety	DCEX	30/09/2019
Review of Fire Safety procedures. This is complete. They are now rolling out the implementation over a period of time including staff training.		
<ol> <li>Audit all Corporate buildings to ensure compliance with the Equality Act 2010</li> </ol>	DCEX	31/12/2020

Resilient Internet feed	Good			
7. Business continuity testing	Good	Regular testing undertaken		
Corporate Emergency Plan	Good			

Ri	sk	05. T	05. The Council does not deliver its statutory or legislative obligations									
	5						Risk Owners Fion	na McMillan	Current Score	8	Last Review	
									Target Score		Next Review	
	4								Previous Score	8		
g	,						Triggers		Likelihood Factors	(Vulnerability)	Potential Consec	quences
ğ	3				Major business disruption			Current local financial pressures		Harm to people as a result of them not getting		
Likelihood	2				Х		<ol> <li>Lack of managem</li> <li>Negative inspection</li> </ol>		Ongoing national reduction in public sector funding		services they need or are entitled to 2. Criminal or civil action against the Council	
-							Poor financial mar	, ,		Negative impact on Council's reputation		
	1										Jg	
		1	2	3	4	5						
		Consequence										

Controls	Adequacy	Critical Success
Monitoring Officer role	Good	
Code of Corporate Governance	Good	
Community impact assessments required for key decisions	Good	
Business Planning process used to identify and address changes to legislative/regulatory requirements	Good	
Projects and training to ensure the implementation of legislative changes (e.g. Care Act)	Good	
Constitutional delegation to Committees     and SMT	Good	
7. Health and safety policies and processes	Good	
Preparation and improvement undertaken for inspections by regulators (e.g. Ofsted)	Good	

Action Plans	Responsibility	Target Date
--------------	----------------	-------------

9.Service managers kept up to date with changes by Monitoring Officer / LGSS Law, Government departments, professional bodies, involvement in regional and national networks	Good	

Ri	sk	06. Our resources (human resources and business systems, CCC and providers) are not sufficient to meet business need											
	5						Risk Owners	Gillian Beasley	Current Score	16	Last Review		
	_								Target Score		Next Review		
	4				X				Previous Score	16			
bo	2						Triggers		Likelihood Factors	(Vulnerability)	Potential Conse	equences	
ipo	3							1. The Council is unable to recruit staff with the right sk		Cost of living in some areas of Cambridgeshire is particularly high		Failure to deliver effective services	
ikeliho	2							nd experience  Providers / partners are unable to recruit staff with the		tem developments underway	Regulatory criticism / sanctions     Civil or criminal action		
-			-				right skills and		(Agresso / Mosaic)	tem developmente underway		lamage to the Council	
	1							s systems are unavailable or insufficient	· ·	discussions around immigration	5. Low morale, increased sickness levels		
							4. LGSS service	es not sufficient in quantity or quality	and rights to work in UK impact on recruitment in care services  4. Issues with ERP Gold				
		1	2	3	4	5							
	_	Consequence							ii ioodoo wiiii Erri	50.4			

Controls	Adequacy	Critical Success
1.LGSS Joint Committee structure including CCC councillor representation, LGSS Overview and Scrutiny Working Group including CCC councillor representation, Chief Executive sits on LGSS Management Board	Good	
2. LGSS director representation at SMT	Good	
LGSS Strategic Plan, Strategy Map and Improvement Activities identified	Good	
Deputy Chief Executive responsible for managing LGSS / CCC relationship	Good	
Robust performance management and development practices in place for staff	Good	
Flexible terms and conditions of employment	Good	
7. Employee support available	Good	

Action Plans	Responsibility	Target Date		
Reference to the SLA and KPI review per service line	LGSS	30/09/2018		
All activity is on hold due to the LGSS Review. Once they have clarity on the Lead Authority model, a business case and timeline they will then understand what happens next in terms of the action.				
Cross referencing customer satisfaction with service delivery standards	LGSS	31/08/2018		
All activity is on hold due to the LGSS Review. Once they have clarity on the Lead Authority model, a business case and timeline they will then understand what happens next in terms of the action.				

8. Cross-directorate Social Care Strategic Recruitment and Workforce Development Board and Social Work Recruitment and Retention Task and Finish Group proactively address issue of social care recruitment and retention	Good	
IT resilience arrangements	Good	

Ri							services (e.g. at the right ti	transport, education, services fome	or children, famil	ies and adults) required	to meet the cur	rent and future needs of a	
	5						Risk Owners	Graham Hughes	Current Score	12	Last Review		
									Target Score		Next Review		
	4								Previous Score	12			
ρ	2				х		Triggers	-	Likelihood Factors	(Vulnerability)	Potential Conse	quences	
Likelihood	3				^			unding to meet needs received from	•	ucture funding available from	· ·	nsport, economic, environmental and	
keli	2		•Growth funds				-		-	Partnership, and Combined	social outcomes		
=	_						•Section 106	frastructure levy	Authority 2 Willingness of sta	keholders to embrace	Greater borrowing requirement to deliver infrastructure which is unsustainable financially		
	1						•School infrast	•	development	Reflected to emplace		Increased pressure on already stretched	
							· ·	do not deliver new infrastructure /	Changes in grant funding		maintenance budgets		
		1	2	3	4	5		et needs of population					
			Conse	quenc	e		3. Infrastructur maintain	e undermined due to inability to adequately					

Controls	Adequacy	Critical Success
Maximisation of developer contributions through Section 106 negotiations. Policy is to deal with strategic development sites through s106, not including CIL	Good	
Section 106 deferrals policy is in place.	Good	Agreed and adopted by the Council
Capital Programme Board	Good	Regular reporting
Prudential borrowing strategy	Good	
<ol><li>Review, scrutiny and challenge of design and build costs to ensure maximum value for money</li></ol>	Good	
Co-ordination of requirements across     partner organisations to secure viable shared     infrastructure	Good	

Action Plans	Responsibility	Target Date
15. County Planning obligation strategy	EDP&E	31/07/2019
15. County Planning obligation strategy for district's and County Council use, to go to E&E Committee		

7. Annual school capacity return to Department for Education seeks to ensure maximum levels of funding for basic need	Good	
8.Maintain dialogue with Cambridge City Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council to input into Community Infrastructure Level prior to the adoption of the Local Plan (anticipated 2017)	Good	
Maximise annual maintenance block funding from Central Government, maintaining band 3 of the incentive fund.	Good	

Ri	sk	08. T	he C	ounci	l is a	victim	of major frau	d or corruption				
	5						Risk Owners	Gillian Beasley	Current Score	6	Last Review	
									Target Score		Next Review	
	4								Previous Score	6		
ρ	2						Triggers		Likelihood Factors	(Vulnerability)	Potential Consequence	s
jyo	3			loses money through fraudulent action or			1. Financial loss					
Likelihood	2			Х			corrupt activity 2. Partners lose	e money			<ol> <li>Reputational damage</li> <li>Regulator sanctions</li> </ol>	
	1						3. Council is ur	nable to deliver its obligations				
		1	2	3	4	5						
			Conse	quence	)							

Controls	Adequacy	Critical Success
Financial Procedure rules	Good	
Anti Fraud and Corruption Strategy incl Fraud Response Plan	Good	
3. Whistle blowing policy	Good	
4. Codes of conduct	Good	
5. Internal control framework	Good	
Fraud detection work undertaken by Internal Audit, Counter Fraud Team in LGSS	Good	
7. Awareness campaigns	Good	
8. Anti Money Laundering policy	Good	
Publication of spend data	Good	

Action Plans	Responsibility	Target Date
--------------	----------------	-------------

Risk Category:

Linked Objective(s):

Ri	sk	09. lı	nequ	alities	in th	e cour	ty continue						
	5						Risk Owners Gillian Beasley	Current Score	12	Last Review			
				_				Target Score		Next Review			
	4							Previous Score	12				
b	,		Triggers		Triggers	Likelihood Factors	(Vulnerability)	Potential Consequences					
lpod	3				^	Health, economic, educational and other inequalities		other inequalities			1. People living in deprived areas in the county do not		
Likelihood	2						increase in Cambridgeshire  2. Failure across Council services and	I nartnerships to			have the same life chances as people living in non- deprived areas, in terms of health, educational		
17							target or promote services to disadva	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		achievement, income and other areas			
	1						populations, or in areas of deprivation	, appropriately for			2. People from minority groups living in the county do		
		4	_	3	1	_	local need			not have the same life chances as people living in non- deprived areas, in terms of health, educational			
		'	2	3	4	3					achievement, income and other areas		
			Conse	quenc	е								

Controls	Adequacy	Critical Success
Council's business plan and community impact assessments for change to service	Good	
10. Cambridgeshire Older People Strategy	Good	
Committee monitoring of indicators for outcomes in areas of deprivation	Good	
<ol> <li>Joint Strategic Needs Assessment, Annual Public Health Report, and Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy</li> </ol>	Good	
Health Committee Priority on health inequalities, targetting of Public Health programmes	Good	
5. Child Poverty Strategy	Good	
Targetted services e.g: Travellers Liaison, Traveller Health Team, Chronically Excluded Adults Team, etc.	Good	

Action Plans	Responsibility	Target Date	
Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy	DoPH	31/03/2019	
Implementation of health inequalities aspects of Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy			
Accelerating Achievement action plan to support children in disadvantaged groups	DoL	31/03/2018	
Opportunity Area programme to support children from disadvantaged groups in East Cambs and Fenland	EDP&C	30/09/2020	

7. Buy with confidence approved trader scheme.	Good	
8. Wisbech 20:20 programme	Good	
Cambridgeshire 0-19 Education     Organisation Plan	Good	

Ri	sk	k 10. Change and transformation of services is not successful											
	5						Risk Owners	Amanda Askham	Current Score	9	Last Review		
									Target Score		Next Review		
	4								Previous Score	9			
bo	3			Х			Triggers		Likelihood Factors	(Vulnerability)	Potential Conse	•	
liho	Ů			^				not change to meet current demands		roject status = 14 Green, 4 Amber		ations not delivered	
Likelihood	2						sufficient impa	l programmes stall or do not make ct	of savings and bene	avings), 3 Red (risk on non-delivery fits	Over-spend on		
	1												
		1	2	3	4	5							
	-		Conse	quence	)								

Controls	Adequacy	Critical Success
Transformation Team established to support change in services	Good	
Transformation Fund to ensure access to resources	Good	
Communications with staff about innovation and opportunities for development	Good	
GPC monitor transformation programme monthly as part of Integrated Resources and Performance Report	Good	
Project and programme governance established to oversee delivery	Good	
Rigorous risk management embedded in project and programme governance	Good	
7. Members involved in transformation	Good	

Action Plans	Responsibility	Target Date
--------------	----------------	-------------

Risk Category:

Linked Objective(s):

Ri	Risk		11. Impact of the Brexit Risk Assessment										
	5						Risk Owners	Adrian Chapman	Current Score	12	Last Review		
									Target Score		Next Review		
Likelihood	4	4		Х					Previous Score				
	3						Triggers		Likelihood Factors	(Vulnerability)	Potential Consequ	iences	
	3			1. This deper	This depends on the outcome of Brexit								
	2												
	1												
		1	2	3	4	5							
		Consequence											

Controls	Adequacy	Critical Success	
A Brexit delivery board has been developed and is up and running.	Good		
The Board has developed a Brexit Risk Assessment and delivery plan outlining the implications and mitigating actions required for this risk	Good		

Action Plans	Responsibility	Target Date
--------------	----------------	-------------

Risk Category:

Linked Objective(s):