

CITY LOCAL HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT MEMBER PANEL ARRANGEMENTS

To: **Cambridge City Joint Area Committee**

Meeting Date: **26 January 2016**

From: **Executive Director Economy, Transport and Environment**

Electoral division(s): **All**

Forward Plan ref: **N/A** *Key decision:* **No**

Purpose: **To amend the arrangements for nominating substitutes and alternates for the Local Highway Improvement (LHI) Member Assessment Panel.**

Recommendation: **The Committee is recommended to:**

a) agree that substantive LHI Panel Members be authorised to nominate a substitute or alternate member, should they not be available to attend.

<i>Officer contact:</i>	
Name:	Richard Lumley
Post:	Head of Local Infrastructure and Street Management
Email:	richard.lumley@cambridgeshire.gov.uk
Tel:	01223 703839

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 The Committee at its meeting on 14 July 2015 agreed to establish a Local Highway Improvement (LHI) Member Assessment Panel to prioritise proposed LHI schemes. The panel was made up of three City Councillors and three County Councillors.
- 1.2 These members included County Councillors Kavanagh, Taylor and Walsh and City Councillors Blencowe, A Smith and C Smart.
- 1.3 County Councillors Cearns and Scutt were nominated as substitutes, and City Councillors Ratcliffe and Tunnacliffe as alternates.

2. PROPOSAL

- 2.1 Availability of panel members, substitutes and alternates has proven problematic when arranging panel meetings, due to the time limitations of planning five separate LHI panels across the county, some held over more than one day, combined with the diaries of both City and County Councillors.
- 2.2 To mitigate this issue it is proposed that in the event that a panel member is not available for a meeting of the panel, the substantive member be authorised to nominate a substitute or alternate member.
- 2.3 This will also reflect arrangements for the other LHI Member Panels across the county.

3. ALIGNMENT WITH CORPORATE PRIORITIES

3.1 Developing the local economy for the benefit of all

There are no significant implications for this priority.

3.2 Helping people live healthy and independent lives

There are no significant implications for this priority.

3.3 Supporting and protecting vulnerable people

There are no significant implications for this priority.

4. SIGNIFICANT IMPLICATIONS

4.1 Resource Implications

There are no significant implications for this category.

4.2 Statutory, Risk and Legal Implications

There are no significant implications for this category.

4.3 **Equality and Diversity Implications**

There are no significant implications within this category.

4.4 **Engagement and Consultation Implications**

There are no significant implications within this category.

4.5 **Localism and Local Member Involvement**

It is hoped that the changes will enable full attendance of the panel by Local Members.

4.6 **Public Health Implications**

There are no significant implications within this category.

Source Documents	Location
Cambridge City Joint Area Committee 14 July 2015 report and minutes – Local Highway Improvement Scheme	http://www2.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/CommitteeMinutes/Committees/Meeting.aspx?meetingID=995