

**GREATER CAMBRIDGE CITY DEAL JOINT ASSEMBLY**

Minutes of the Greater Cambridge Partnership Joint Assembly held on  
Thursday, 14 June 2018 at 2.00 p.m.

**6. PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES**

This page is left blank intentionally.

Appendix A to the minutes of the GCP Joint Assembly meeting 14 June 2018  
Public Questions and Responses

No	Questioner	Question	Response
8a	Edward Leigh	<p>With the two biggest projects paused – because the mayor (rightly in this case) believes GCP’s infrastructure schemes are not ambitious enough and too car-dependent, what should GCP’s ten year plan be now?</p> <p>First, abandon bus lanes on Histon and Milton Roads: that’s not where the problem lies. Building bus lanes signals that you are resigned to congestion being with us always. Yet GCP has already committed to reducing traffic in the city by 10-15% on 2011 levels, <b>which will virtually eliminate congestion, not just in the city centre, but on approach roads too</b>. Plan for that, not for failure.</p> <p>Focus instead on working with the county council, bus operators, users and others to fix the real problems with buses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Integration with walking and cycling.</b> Great that GCP is accelerating the cycling programme, but set your ambition higher: for 90% of the Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire population to be able to safely access a travel hub (and local schools, shops and other amenities) on foot or cycle.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Illegal parking.</b> Use targeted enforcement to keep bus routes clear.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Interchanging.</b> Minimise time lost, additional costs and risks of changing buses.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Traffic signals.</b> Accelerate the smart signals programme to assist buses.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Convolutd routes.</b> Design express routes, attractive for commuting.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Service hours.</b> Subsidise extensions to services.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Service frequencies.</b> Increase frequencies to be practical for daily use.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Dwell times.</b> Streamline ticketing and information provision to speed boarding.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Publicity.</b> Raise public awareness with maps, apps, signage and meaningful route names.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>User experience.</b> Deliver delight rather than confusion, stress and discomfort.</li> </ul> <p>One last thing: embed into every project team urban, landscape and user experience designers, and engagement professionals. Communication must be continuous, from the earliest stages of scheme development through the design process, not springing surprises on people.</p>	<p>Officers recognise and would support many of the proposals advanced by Edward and Smarter Cambridge Transport, for improving walking, cycling and public transport. However, buses and bus lanes remain an important component of the wider transport mix for the Greater Cambridge area and will complement a metro system. Achieving the reduction in traffic levels cited will require improvements across the board in public and sustainable travel modes, to which the Histon and Milton Road schemes contribute.</p>
10a	Anne Hamill, Milton Road Resident	<p>Today, the Joint Assembly will be considering the preferred option preliminary design for Milton Road with a view either to approve it or to recommend modifications prior to this design going before the Executive Board on 29 June. After that the next stage is to be a public consultation in the autumn, based on the outcome of the Executive Board’s decision.</p> <p>Two of the key objectives set down in the paper accompanying this agenda item are, at 2.1 e) Increased bus patronage and new services, and f) Maintain or reduce general traffic levels.</p> <p>Focusing on the first point, increased bus patronage and introducing new services will require more bus drivers. Current bus services, especially Park-and-Ride, are regularly affected by the lack of drivers, reducing the service available to current bus users. And, housing costs in Cambridge are high and likely to be beyond bus drivers’ salaries.</p> <p>Focusing on the second point, what hasn’t been addressed to date is how congestion will be tackled by either the GCP or by the Combined Authority, led by the Mayor for Cambridgeshire and Peterborough, which has come into existence since the City Deal was set up, and has an impact on the GCP’s broad proposals. If there is to be a metro system, then Milton Road could be little used by public transport, as the metro would go underground at the Science Park.</p> <p>So, my question is in two parts: <b>How can the design plans for Milton Road be finalised if we don’t know what measures will be taken to tackle congestion by either the GCP or the Combined Authority? And, where are the drivers for an increased bus service to be found?</b></p>	<p>The Greater Cambridge Partnership Executive Board previously agreed to take forward the “final concept” which includes the provision of Bus Lanes along Milton Road.</p> <p>Bus lanes are an important method of allowing priority and thus reliability for buses at peak times and therefore form a key component in encouraging the increased use of bus services along Milton Road. Modelling work that was undertaken at the concept stage demonstrated the journey time savings and reliability improvements that could be gained.</p> <p>Measures to tackle congestion include promoting walking, cycling and public transport, and that is exactly what the Milton Road scheme seeks to do. The scheme provides a balance between the competing modes along the route, delivering benefits to pedestrians, cyclists and bus users whilst at the same time seeking to improve the environment along Milton Road.</p> <p>The resourcing of bus drivers is rightly a matter for commercial bus operators. I am however aware that operators have plans to recruit and attract more drivers in to the area.</p>

Appendix A to the minutes of the GCP Joint Assembly meeting 14 June 2018  
Public Questions and Responses

10b	Nick Flynn	<p>The Milton Road plans fail to provide a route between the southbound cycle lane and Westbrook Place (making it impossible to safely make a right turn) despite Westbrook Place being the only access point to the Westbrook Centre (a large office block) and over 100 new homes on Lilywhite Drive - exactly the type of major employment centre and housing growth that the Greater Cambridge Partnership aims to support. The junction is used by hundreds of cyclists daily, yet the Milton Road project team have rejected calls for a safe and convenient crossing from the southbound cycle lane. The only alternatives for people cycling are to either cycle illegally on the pavement (which has been significantly narrowed), mix with general motor traffic (and face abuse for not using the segregated cycle lane), take a 250m detour via Gilbert Road, or (as suggested at the local liaison forum) "get off and walk". None of these options deliver the "high quality cycling provision" promised in the Milton Road project summary.</p> <p><b>When will the Milton Road team end their obsession with the North-South movement along Milton Road, and realise that is necessary to provide safe and convenient access points at minor junctions for people walking and cycling to enable the full benefits of the scheme to be realised? Will they implement the obvious and simple fix for this particular issue by introducing a crossing by Westbrook Place?</b></p>	<p>A formalised crossing point over Milton Road at this location was omitted based on the following factors: There are already 2 existing zebra crossings which are used by pedestrians &amp; cyclists in the local vicinity: Mitcham's Corner crossing- approx. 70m away Gilbert Road-signalised junction crossing approx. 100m away</p> <p>These crossings are very close to each other (170m separation is relatively small) and it is therefore judged that the safe crossing of Milton Road is adequately provided for in this vicinity.</p> <p>Providing such a cycle crossing would also affect the inbound cycle path. It would require providing holding area for cyclists (min 1.5m ideally 2.5m) which means it would no longer be a possible to create segregated cycle path, and it would require a shared area within the junction.</p>
10c	Erik de Visser	<p>Regarding your plans for improved transport along Milton Road, please allow me, as one of its residents for 32 years, to propose some improvements.</p> <p>Point one:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Living opposite Kendal Way, I cycle to work every day inbound on the Milton Road [MR] bus lane</li> <li>I choose to do this, because, as a Dutchman, I find even present-day bi-directional cycling on MR's north side haphazard and occasionally dangerous. Cyclists in both directions very often go much too fast. I think this behaviour is caused by each individual trying to escape from the mayhem of a narrow cycleway on which too many people are supposed to travel in safety whilst going into opposite directions. On MR pedestrians have to be considered at all times, but they are merely separated by a slightly raised line running along the length of the path.</li> <li>Cycle ways in Holland are laid in the direction of traffic, that's why I cross MR to cycle along the bus lane</li> <li>Please abolish the idea to have a shared-space path for pedestrians and cyclists on MR's north side. It is wrong and dangerous. During rush hours the present cycle path is one long scramble. A shared path running parallel to it will only increase disorder. How do cyclists overtake one another? This is already awkward now and the pavement is often used for it; a shared path will exacerbate matters. And why would one use the latter if an inbound cycle lane will be laid out?</li> <li>All you need to implement as a plan is laying out more crossings where cyclists of all ages can safely cross MR , especially one near Downhams Lane.</li> </ul> <p>Point two:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I do not favour bus lanes along the whole length of MR. The southbound bus lane between Elizabeth Way and Mitcham's Corner is very rarely used and should be abolished.</li> <li>Bus lanes should be much shorter and only laid on the approaches to junctions (say 50 meters) and, at the junction, travelling in its short designated lane, the lights will jump on green at which the bus proceeds through the junction whilst all other traffic remains stationary. Camera technology can be used at every junction in order for buses, but only those in service, to progress</li> <li>All plans should adhere, as in Holland, to three priorities: pedestrians first, cycling second, public transport third. A shared path puts pedestrians in danger.</li> </ul> <p><b>It is likely that bus lanes could become redundant if a metro system is built running from the Science Park to the city centre. Therefore, must bus lanes still be seen as the favoured panacea to gridlock?</b></p>	<p>Bus lanes are an important method of allowing priority and thus reliability for buses at peak times and therefore form a key component in encouraging the increased use of bus services along Milton Road. Modelling work that was undertaken at the concept stage demonstrated the journey time savings and reliability improvements that could be gained.</p> <p>The scheme does not include any additional inbound bus lane than that which already exists and in fact proposes a shortening of the inbound bus lane approaching Gilbert Road. Two short stretches of outbound bus lane are proposed to give buses a priority in their approach to both Elizabeth Way roundabout and King Hedges crossroads.</p> <p>There are plans for a metro system for Greater Cambridge to be part of a future transport solution. Bus services, walking and cycling will remain an important component of the wider transport mix in the area and will complement a metro system. Bus lanes give priority to public transport in the short term, encouraging residents and commuters to use alternatives to the private car. The scheme, including the use of bus lanes, provides a balance between the competing modes, delivering benefits to pedestrians, cyclists and bus users whilst seeking to improve the environment along the route.</p>
10d	Michael Page	Let us imagine a vision of the new Milton Road – an impressive tree-lined gateway into Cambridge with high quality footpaths and cycle-paths, and electric buses gliding along a smooth roadway free of potholes. A	

**Appendix A to the minutes of the GCP Joint Assembly meeting 14 June 2018**  
**Public Questions and Responses**

		<p>pollution-free street built for people to travel via a variety of modes, in safety, and to enjoy their newly-landscaped environment.</p> <p>But are we going to allow this vision to be spoiled by vehicles driving over and ruining the new grass verges and damaging the newly-planted trees?</p> <p>So my question is: <b>will Assembly members please recommend to the Executive Board that plans are put in place for a Traffic Regulation Order banning verge parking along the whole length of the road?</b></p> <p>This type of measure is not new – it has been used and enforced successfully elsewhere in north Cambridge but it takes a long time to get a TRO approved and implemented – far better to get it onto the agenda now as a preventive measure rather than waiting until after the damage is done.</p>	<p>The idea has merit and will be considered as part of the scheme development and consultation.</p> <p>Officers propose to gauge support for such measures during the forthcoming consultation, and would welcome a view from the Joint Assembly and Executive board on this matter.</p>
10e	Barbara Taylor	<p>The new plans for Milton Road are far better than those for the last consultation and I would like to congratulate the officers for listening to the residents.</p> <p>My question is about the crossings which will be used by a large number of local residents. Unless the crossings work for local people who walk and cycle it will become a road-engineered solution in favour of those travelling by car.</p> <p>The stretch between Arbury Road and Fraser Road is extremely long and residents from Downhams Lane and the Woodhead Drive area which has a cut-through to Arbury used by pupils will need a crossing here. There are a lot of journeys involving crossing the road. Journeys by cycle will be safer if made with the direction of the traffic instead of bi-directionally. Given that cyclists will be passing each other on the right hand sides and share space with pedestrians, will seriously confuse everyone.</p> <p>The City Deal's original remit was for better walking, cycling and bus journeys. Could you please ensure that this crossing is put in to enable better cycling and walking?</p>	<p>Officers discussed the matter of an additional crossing near Downhams Lane at the recent Local Liaison Forum.</p> <p>Officers propose to work with the Signals and road safety team to assess whether or not a new crossing is feasible, safe, and doesn't have adverse impacts in terms of traffic flow and maintenance in this location. If it meets these criteria then it would be proposed to include a crossing point in this vicinity in the forthcoming consultation material</p>
10f	Maureen Mace	<p>Last year the GCP made a survey of all vehicles with number plates. We knew the survey would exclude cyclists and pedestrians so Matt Danish from CamCycle and I did a count on 21 and 22 June.</p> <p>The first count was outside 121 café near Arbury Road between 8 and 9am</p> <p>The results surprised us.</p> <p>There were 534 cyclists in total. 416 going south and 118 going north.</p> <p>We counted 185 pedestrians, 122 going south and 63 going north.</p> <p>The majority were on the north west side of the road</p> <p>A total of 719 people made a journey, other than by car.</p> <p>The next day we did another count close to Kendal Way.</p> <p>We counted 385 cyclists and 93 pedestrians, a total of 478, the majority were travelling towards the Science Park.</p> <p>A quarter of all cycling and pedestrian journeys, crossed the road at the Kendal Way toucan.</p> <p>We videoed all movements and counted 955 motorised vehicles 547 outbound, 478 inbound. This again was not expected and believe a lot of traffic is driving straight through Cambridge to get to the Science Park.</p> <p>What we found</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Over a third of journeys were made by cyclists and pedestrians. A safe new provision for segregated cycling and walking protected by trees would encourage even more and lead amongst other things to a better air quality</li> <li>Motor vehicles have over four times more space as cyclists. This show the road is designed for cars.</li> <li>A significant amount of traffic going south were tradesmen and delivery vans</li> <li>There were 112 journeys across the Kendal Way toucan, the only safe crossing between King's Hedges &amp; Arbury Road.</li> <li>Projections by the GCP envisage 30,000 extra cars coming into the city by 2030. As most traffic on Milton Road is actually <b>leaving</b> the city to go to the Science and Business Parks, is there any reason</li> </ul>	<p>Officers would like to thank Maureen and Matt for the work and time that they have put into these surveys, the results of which emphasise the importance of putting in high quality solutions for Pedestrians and Cyclists on Milton Road.</p> <p>The new design goes a long way to meeting several of the points that have been raised.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fully segregated provision for Cyclists along the length of Milton Road.</li> <li>Approximately 4m in width of fully dedicated cycle provision along the length of Milton Road, more if you include the shared use path which is up to 3m wide. Compare this with 6m width for general traffic lanes plus 3m bus lane.</li> </ul> <p>Bus lanes are an important method of allowing priority and thus reliability for buses at peak times and therefore form a key component in encouraging the increased use of bus services along Milton Road. Modelling work that was undertaken at the concept stage demonstrated the journey time savings and reliability improvements that could be gained.</p> <p>The scheme does not include any additional inbound bus lane than that which already exists and in fact proposes a shortening of the inbound bus lane approaching Gilbert Road. Two short stretches of outbound bus lane are proposed to give buses a priority in their approach to both Elizabeth Way roundabout and King Hedges crossroads.</p>

Appendix A to the minutes of the GCP Joint Assembly meeting 14 June 2018  
Public Questions and Responses

		<p>to believe this?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On average 2 buses an hour use the bus lane at peak times with less at other times.</li> </ul> <p>I have done many counts in the last 18 months, all show the bus lanes are barely used and therefore make no impact on traffic flow. If bus lanes are longer and cyclists not allowed in them that leaves two lanes just for cars! If the bus lanes are reduced more space would be available to make first class cycling and walking and limited time spaces could be made for tradespeople and carers at off peak hours.</p> <p>In the future there will be far less traffic when residents parking, work place levys, some kind of congestion charge and the possibility of an underground metro is in place. The plans for Cambridge and beyond must be made in tandem</p> <p>My question is <b>Why do we need much longer bus lanes when they are not used now?</b></p>	
12a	Wendy Blythe, Chair, FeCRA	<p>Regarding the Greenways proposals. Please can you confirm that there will be no removal of historic cobbles or other historic fabric such as lights or railings in the historic core and that any signage for these proposals will be subject to planning approval.</p> <p>FeCRA members have noted that there are proposals for new greenways over sensitive areas such as Grantchester. <b>What landscape impact assessment has been done regarding the visual impact on these very sensitive historic landscape areas?</b></p>	<p>Regarding the Greenways proposals. Please can you confirm that there will be no removal of historic cobbles or other historic fabric such as lights or railings in the historic core and that any signage for these proposals will be subject to planning approval.</p> <p>Detailed proposals will be brought forward as part of public consultation. There are no proposals to remove cobbles or historic features.</p> <p>FeCRA members have noted that there are proposals for new greenways over sensitive areas such as Grantchester. <b>What landscape impact assessment has been done regarding the visual impact on these very sensitive historic landscape areas?</b></p> <p>The proposals have been developed working with Cambridge-based landscape architects 5th Studio, who have considered the environment very carefully</p>
12b	Cllr Rod Cantrill	<p><i>The Assembly is today considering moving forward with a number of cycling schemes under the Greenways project, including the Barton to city centre route.</i></p> <p><i>I welcome the investment in cycling infrastructure in general – as it will assist in a modal shift towards sustainable forms for transport and reduce the level of air pollution in Cambridge.</i></p> <p><i>I hope that officers will consider the ideas that a number of residents have put forward including</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>An off road route across the back of gough way to west cambridge site</i></li> <li><i>Improvement to the grange road and barton road junction</i></li> <li><i>Improvement to the Newnham road barton road junction</i></li> </ul> <p><i>Specifically, on the element of the route along Barton Road in the city centre, residents in Newnham during the consultation were nervous that improvements would involve the removal of verge trees along the road.</i></p> <p><i>Could the assembly confirm that: It will consider the ideas lists and that any proposals retain the existing trees that form a critical element of the street scene of this approach to the city.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>An off road route across the back of gough way to west cambridge site</i> This is currently out of scope of the original Greenways proposals, but could be included as an option in the Comberton GW consultation pending further investigations</li> <li><i>Improvement to the grange road and barton road junction</i> A proposal for this is included in the upcoming Barton Greenway consultation</li> <li><i>Improvement to the Newnham road barton road junction</i> A proposal for this is included in the upcoming Haslingfield Greenway consultation and we are aware of work that has been done on this in the past.</li> </ul> <p><i>Specifically, on the element of the route along Barton Road in the city centre, residents in Newnham during the consultation were nervous that improvements would involve the removal of verge trees along the road.</i></p> <p>We have committed to no removal of verge trees along Barton Road</p>