

Item: 3**ECONOMY AND
ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE****Minutes - Action Log**Cambridgeshire
County Council

This is the updated minutes action log as at 7th November 2018 and captures the actions arising from the most recent Economy and Environment Committee meetings and updates Members on the progress on compliance in delivering the necessary actions.

ACTIONS FROM THE 8th FEBRUARY 2018 COMMITTEE

MINUTE NO.	REPORT TITLE	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ACTION	COMMENTS	STATUS
88.	TRANSPORT SCHEME DEVELOPMENT - REVIEW OF SIFT PROCESS	Action: Karen Kitchener Principal Transport & Infrastructure Officer / Chris Poultney	a) That the process proposed would be further reviewed after a period of operation to see whether any changes were required.	An update will be coming forward to the December Committee meeting.	ACTION ONGOING

ACTIONS FROM THE 12TH APRIL 2018 COMMITTEE

MINUTE NO.	REPORT TITLE	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ACTION	COMMENTS	STATUS
105.	ELY SOUTHERN BYPASS – COST AND ADDITIONAL FUNDING REQUIREMENT	Rob Sanderson Democratic Services / Mairead Kelly Internal Audit	a) To inform Internal Audit of the Committee's requirement that it should review the costs of the project and what	Internal Audit were contacted on 19 th April and confirmed on 20 th April that they had already agreed (at the March Audit and Accounts Committee) to look at the Ely Bypass project as part of a review of capital budgets overspends and variations. As the intention had	

			<p>lessons could be learnt and that their conclusions should be shared with this Committee.</p>	<p>been to look at a number of different projects, this would be a high-level review rather than an in-depth review solely looking at the Ely Bypass project.</p> <p>Due to the complexity of the investigation on the Ely Bypass project the high level review has been delayed and no report is expected until a Committee meeting sometime in 2019. No date can be confirmed at the current time</p>	<p>ACTION ONGOING</p>
--	--	--	--	---	------------------------------

ACTIONS FROM THE 16TH AUGUST 13TH SEPTEMBER AND 11TH OCTOBER COMMITTEE MEETINGS 2018

140.	<p>FINANCE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT - REVIEW OF KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR (PI) FOR CONTINUED RELEVANCE (AUGUST MEETING)</p>	<p>Jeremy Smith / Andy Preston</p>	<p>For officers to review the rating and explanation for the PI 'the average journey time per mile during the morning peak on the most congested routes'.</p>	<p>The update to the October meeting indicated that the PI has been reviewed and the rating amended to more accurately reflect the realistic position. Multiple partner organisations now also have a key influence over this performance indicator, including the Greater Cambridge Partnership and Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Combined Authority as the Transport Authority. The future approach to this PI is therefore under consideration.</p> <p>At the October meeting there was request for an updates on when the discussion would take place regarding the approach to be adopted and a target date for resolution.</p>	<p>ACTION ONGOING</p>
------	--	------------------------------------	---	--	------------------------------

				The future of this PI will be included in the KPI report that will be presented to Committee in December.	
151.	FINANCE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT – JULY 2018 (SEPTEMBER COMMITTEE) Cycling way uptake	Andy Preston / Sarah Heywood	Whether data from existing traffic counters could monitor the take up on new cycleways as a way of showing their value and as a criteria to measure their success.	<p>At the October meeting it was reported that this data would be challenging to make available on a monthly basis in the F&P Report, but publishing it as an open data set on a 6 monthly basis would be more achievable.</p> <p>There was a request to confirm which Committee date this data would be reported to.</p> <p>The first 6 months data [July – December 2018] will be published in February 2019.</p>	ACTION ONGOING
SPECIFIC ACTIONS FROM THE 11th OCTOBER COMMITTEE MEETING 2018					
157.	MINUTE ACTION LOG - Matters raised in respect of the response note on the Guided Busway	Councillor Bates / Christine May / Paul Nelson	Councillor Jenkins highlighted that in Northstowe, Stagecoach were reducing their service and Whippet were completely withdrawing	Officers have checked with Stagecoach as The Passenger Transport's manager understanding was that Stagecoach were not reducing their service from Northstowe but will be increasing it to replace the	

	Development Build Out Assumptions		<p>their service and asked how these issues would be taken forward. The Chairman undertook to discuss the issues raised with officers and get back to him.</p>	<p>capacity lost through the removal of the Whippet service.</p> <p>Stagecoach confirm that they are not reducing services. They will look to run duplicate buses at peak between November and January resources allowing. Once additional drivers are in position they will look to register another 2 peak time journeys on the A from St.Ives through to Addenbrookes.</p> <p>In terms of what can be done in the future, officers hold regular meetings with operators to keep abreast of their plans. Once it was known that Whippet were withdrawing their services officers engaged with Stagecoach about what they could do to replace the services being lost and they have managed to provide some additional capacity in the peak. Based on observations of off peak capacity it is believed that the existing service level will be sufficient.</p>	
163.	WATERBEACH NEW TOWN SPATIAL FRAMEWORK AND INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT (SPD)	Action: Juliet Richardson	Officers to liaise with Chris Swain from the	The Business Manager Growth and Development liaised with Chris Swain	ACTION COMPLETED

	A) ENVIRONMENT AGENCY FLOODING CONCERNS	Business Manager, Growth and Development	Environment Agency on their concerns regarding flood issues so they could be included in the final response.	and agreed a response which has now been sent to South Cambridgeshire. A meeting took place on 05.11.18 with South Cambridgeshire senior officers discussing flooding concerns.	
	B) FLOOD ZONE QUERY	Action: Juliet Richardson Business Manager, Growth and Development	A Member asked which flood zone (1,2 or 3) was the site within? As it could not be confirmed at the time, Officers would write to Cllr Connor outside of the Meeting.	See above. Officers are awaiting formal information from the Environment Agency at which time they will be able to respond definitively to this query.	ACTION ONGOING
164.	APPROACH TO THE AGREEMENT AND DISTRIBUTION OF COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY (CIL) AND SECTION 106 FUNDING	Action: Juliet Richardson. Business Manager, Growth and Development	<p>a) Agreed that the information in the report needed to imparted more widely via a workshop with the invite extended to all County Councillors and district councillors on their respective planning committees.</p> <p>b) There was also a request that all County Councillors should be sent the current report.</p>	<p>This will be arranged for the new year.</p> <p>The Lead officer's intention was to send it when the workshop date had been confirmed.</p>	<p>ACTION ONGOING</p> <p>ACTION ONGOING</p>

--	--	--	--	--	--