

<b>Developer</b>	
<b>Development</b>	Tim Brinton Site – Permission for dwellings 92 dwellings
<b>S106 Contribution</b>	£362,358 Southern Corridor Area Transport Plan
<b>Contribution Due</b>	£362,358
<b>Deferral Request</b>	Eliminate request on viability grounds
<b>Consideration has been given to:</b>	
<b>Which scheme the money is allocated to?</b>	Southern Corridor Area Transport Plans (SCATP) – to fund highway improvements and signalling works at Hills Road / Cherry Hinton junction
<b>When the scheme is programmed to take place?</b>	Works currently taking place
<b>What percentage is the S106 requirement to the overall scheme cost?</b>	Not specified
<b>What relationships are there between funding streams from separate S106 agreements?</b>	Other S106 agreements in the vicinity will contribute towards these SCATP works
<b>Are there any financial costs to County Council if the deferral request is accepted?</b>	Yes – there would be a shortfall in expected funds for the improvements
<b>If a deferment is agreed, should interest be sought?</b>	NA
<b>Have instalments been considered?</b>	No – the developer is not seeking deferral on the grounds that the scheme is not viable without this contribution being eliminated
<b>What security is there to the County Council in agreeing to a deferment?</b>	None
<b>What social cost is there to the community?</b>	
<b>Likely consequences of rejecting the request</b>	The developer has advised the scheme is not viable without the elimination of this s106 requirement.
<b>Officer Recommendation</b>	<b>It is recommended that this request is refused.</b>

<b>Developer</b>	
<b>Development</b>	Tim Brinton Site – Permission for dwellings 92 dwellings
<b>S106 Contribution</b>	£89,320 Education (pre-school and Life Long Learning)
<b>Contribution Due</b>	
<b>Deferral Request</b>	Reduce the requirement on viability grounds by 50%
<b>Consideration has been given to:</b>	
<b>Which scheme the money is allocated to?</b>	No specific scheme but towards provision for pre-school and Life Long Learning in the local area
<b>When the scheme is programmed to take place?</b>	NA
<b>What percentage is the S106 requirement to the overall scheme cost?</b>	100% - the contribution would fund provision directly related to this development
<b>What relationships are there between funding streams from separate S106 agreements?</b>	No direct links, but other s106 contributions may co-fund larger provision
<b>Are there any financial costs to County Council if the deferral request is accepted?</b>	Yes – there would be a shortfall in the local provision which the County Council would be under pressure to resource
<b>If a deferment is agreed, should interest be sought?</b>	NA
<b>Have instalments been considered?</b>	No – the developer is not seeking deferral on the grounds that the scheme is not viable without this contribution being eliminated.
<b>What security is there to the County Council in agreeing to a deferment?</b>	None
<b>What social cost is there to the community?</b>	Under provision of infrastructure to meet the needs of the area would lead to increased pressure on existing infrastructure
<b>Likely consequences of rejecting the request</b>	The developer has advised the scheme is not viable without the reduction of this s106 requirement.
<b>Officer Recommendation</b>	<b>It is recommended that this request is refused.</b>