

SERVICE COMMITTEE REVIEW OF THE DRAFT 2018-19 CAPITAL PROGRAMME

To: Highways and Community Infrastructure Committee

Meeting Date: 12th September 2017

From: Executive Director, Economy Transport and Environment

Electoral division(s): All

Forward Plan ref: Not applicable **Key decision:** No

Purpose: This report provides the Committee with an overview of the draft Business Plan Capital Programme for Economy Transport and Environment

Recommendation:

- a) It is requested that the Committee note the overview and context provided for the 2018-19 Capital Programme for Economy Transport and Environment
- b) It is requested that the Committee comment on the draft proposals for Economy Transport and Environment's 2018-19 Capital Programme and endorse their development

<i>Officer contact:</i>		<i>Member contact:</i>	
Name:	Graham Hughes	Name:	Councillor Mathew Shuter
Post:	Executive Director, ETE	Chairman	Highways and Community
		:	Infrastructure Committee
Email:	Graham.Hughes@cambridgeshire.gov.uk	Email:	mathew.shuter@cambridgeshire.gov.uk
Tel:	01223 715660	Tel:	01638 508729

1. CAPITAL STRATEGY

- 1.1 The Council strives to achieve its vision through delivery of its Business Plan. To assist in delivering the Plan the Council needs to provide, maintain and update long term assets (often referred to as 'fixed assets'), which are defined as those that have an economic life of more than one year. Expenditure on these long term assets is categorised as capital expenditure, and is detailed within the Capital Programme for the Authority.
- 1.2 Each year the Council adopts a ten year rolling capital programme as part of the Business Plan. The very nature of capital planning necessitates alteration and refinement to proposals and funding during the planning period; therefore whilst the early years of the Business Plan provide robust, detailed estimates of schemes, the later years only provide indicative forecasts of the likely infrastructure needs and revenue streams for the Council.
- 1.3 This report forms part of the process set out in the Capital Strategy whereby the Council updates, alters and refines its capital planning over an extended planning period. New schemes are developed by Services and all existing schemes are reviewed and updated as required before being presented to the Capital Programme Board and subsequently Service Committees for further review and development.
- 1.4 An Investment Appraisal of each capital scheme (excluding committed schemes and schemes with 100% ring-fenced funding) is undertaken / revised, which allows schemes within and across all Services to be ranked and prioritised against each other, in light of the finite resources available to fund the overall Programme and in order to ensure the schemes included within the Programme are aligned to assist the Council with achieving its outcomes.

2. DEVELOPMENT OF THE 2018-19 CAPITAL PROGRAMME

- 2.1 Prioritisation of schemes (where applicable) is included within this report to be reviewed individually by Service Committees alongside the addition, revision and update of schemes. Prioritisation of schemes across the whole programme will be reviewed by General Purposes Committee (GPC) in October, before firm spending plans are considered again by Service Committees in November. GPC will review the final overall programme in December, in particular regarding the overall levels of borrowing and financing costs, before recommending the programme in January as part of the overarching Business Plan for Full Council to consider in February.
- 2.2 The introduction of the Transformation Fund for the 2017-18 planning process has not impacted on the funding sources available to the Capital Programme as any Invest to Save or Earn schemes will continue to be funded over time by the revenue payback they produce via savings or increased income. This is the most financially sensible option for the Council due to the ability to borrow money for capital schemes and defray the cost of that expenditure to the Council over the life of the asset. However, if a scheme is transformational, then it should also move through the governance process agreed for the transformation programme, in line with all other transformational schemes, but without any funding request to the Transformation Fund.

- 2.3 There are several schemes in progress where work is underway to develop the scheme, however they are either not sufficiently far enough forward to be able to include any capital estimate within the Business Plan, or a draft set of figures have been included but they are, at this stage, highly indicative. The following are the two main schemes that this applies to:
- The Adults Committee first considered the Older People's Accommodation Strategy in 2016. Following consideration of outline modelling and a business case to increase the availability of affordable care home beds in the County through more direct intervention in the market by the Council, the Adults Committee is due to receive an update in September on market engagement and next steps towards a more detailed business case and procurement. Amongst a number of options, there is potential for implications for the Council's capital plans through provision of land, other assets or involvement with construction. The Council is engaged with health partners on these challenges, and plans are also in development for an investment in housing for vulnerable people using improved better care fund monies.
 - The Council is in the fortunate position of being a major landowner in Cambridgeshire and this provides an asset capable of generating both revenue and capital returns. This has, however, required the Council to move from being a seller of sites to a developer of sites, through a Housing Company. A Special Purpose Vehicle has been established, the Cambridgeshire Housing Investment Company (CHIC), through which the Council will operate to make best use of sites with development potential in a co-ordinated and planned manner, in order to progress those sites for a range of development options. This will generate capital receipts to support site development and create significant revenue and capital income for the Council which will help support services and communities.

A comprehensive 10-year pipeline of development projects has been identified and the initial model is currently being reviewed, refined and developed by both the Housing Company and the Council. As such, it is expected that the figures within the Business Plan will continue to be refined as the model evolves over the next few months.

3. REVENUE IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1 All capital schemes can have a potential two-fold impact on the revenue position, relating to the cost of borrowing through interest payments and repayment of principal and the ongoing revenue costs or benefits of the scheme. Conversely, not undertaking schemes can also have an impact via needing to provide alternative solutions, such as Home to School Transport (e.g. transporting children to schools with capacity rather than investing in capacity in oversubscribed areas).
- 3.2 The Council is required by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's (CIPFA's) Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities 2011 to ensure that it undertakes borrowing in an affordable and sustainable manner. In order to ensure that it achieves this, GPC recommends an advisory limit on the annual financing costs of borrowing (debt charges) over the life of the Plan. In order to afford a degree of flexibility from year to year, changes to the phasing of the limit is allowed within any

three-year block (starting from 2015-16), so long as the aggregate limit remains unchanged.

- 3.3 For the 2017-18 Business Plan, GPC agreed that this should continue to equate to the level of revenue debt charges as set out in the 2014-15 Business Plan for the next five years (restated to take into account the change to the MRP Policy agreed by GPC in January 2016), and limited to around £39m annually from 2019-20 onwards. GPC will be asked to reconfirm this decision for the 2018-19 process as part of the Capital Strategy paper, also being presented at the September meeting.

4. SUMMARY OF THE DRAFT CAPITAL PROGRAMME

- 4.1 The revised draft Capital Programme is as follows:

Service Block	2018-19 £'000	2019-20 £'000	2020-21 £'000	2021-22 £'000	2022-23 £'000	Later Yrs £'000
People and Communities	87,573	121,024	78,846	37,229	25,992	85,353
Economy, Transport and Environment	34,250	25,232	17,631	18,561	20,098	19,182
Public Health	-	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial and Investment Committee	46,994	6,938	1,120	12,371	760	18,970
Corporate and Managed Services	7,136	460	460	460	-	-
LGSS Operational	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	175,953	153,654	98,057	68,621	46,850	123,505

- 4.2 This is anticipated to be funded by the following resources:

Funding Source	2018-19 £'000	2019-20 £'000	2020-21 £'000	2021-22 £'000	2022-23 £'000	Later Yrs £'000
Grants	53,009	32,373	33,046	29,716	31,712	78,020
Contributions	19,927	44,375	54,545	14,164	8,160	196,305
Capital Receipts	21,676	5,252	6,615	19,536	1,909	9,556
Borrowing	51,426	72,842	20,659	12,690	9,215	2,426
Borrowing (Repayable)*	29,915	-1,188	-16,808	-7,485	-4,146	-162,802
Total	175,953	153,654	98,057	68,621	46,850	123,505

* Repayable borrowing nets off to zero over the life of each scheme and is used to bridge timing gaps between delivery of a scheme and receiving other funding to pay for it.

- 4.3 The following table shows how each Service's borrowing position has changed since the 2017-18 Capital Programme was set:

Service Block	2017-18 £'000	2018-19 £'000	2019-20 £'000	2020-21 £'000	2021-22 £'000	2022-23 £'000	Later Yrs £'000
People and Communities	1,832	15,545	37,793	3,022	3,903	-6,486	-2,333
Economy, Transport and Environment	10,712	2,976	-1,665	-2,859	-3,055	-6,484	-1,723
Public Health	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Corporate and Managed Services	958	438	-	-	-	-	-
LGSS Operational	-100	-	-	-	-	-	-

Commercial and Investment Committee	-650	1,449	-165	-17	4	2	2,258
Corporate and Managed Services – relating to general capital receipts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	12,752	20,408	35,963	146	852	-12,968	-1,798

4.4 The table below categorises the reasons for these changes:

Reasons for change in borrowing	2017-18 £'000	2018-19 £'000	2019-20 £'000	2020-21 £'000	2021-22 £'000	2022-23 £'000	Later Yrs £'000
New	580	12,806	20,957	5,761	2,630	300	3,850
Removed/Ended	-6,054	180	200	30	-100	-9,300	11,965
Minor Changes/Rephasing*	-3,757	8,639	5,198	-9,318	5,741	3,320	-8,192
Increased Cost (includes rephasing)	-2,002	4,096	12,050	2,667	901	-839	-420
Reduced Cost (includes rephasing)	2,822	-3,341	-2,174	-1,820	-1,885	-3,182	0
Change to other funding (includes rephasing)	4,978	-459	5,715	5,373	-4,092	-254	-6,752
Variation Budget	16,185**	-1,513	-5,983	-2,547	-2,343	-3,013	-2,249
Total	12,752	20,408	35,963	146	852	-12,968	-1,798

*This does not off-set to zero across the years because the rephasing also relates to pre-2017-18.

**This reflects removal of this budget for 2017-18, as it is a rolling budget that is refreshed every year

4.5 The revised levels of borrowing result in the following levels of financing costs:

Financing Costs	2018-19 £'000	2019-20 £'000	2020-21 £'000	2021-22 £'000	2022-23 £'000
2017-18 agreed BP	18.6	18.9	22.0	22.9	-
2018-19 draft BP	16.6	17.4	21.6	23.6	25.1
CHANGE (+) increase / (-) decrease	-2.0	-1.5	-0.4	0.7	25.1

4.6 Invest to Save / Earn schemes are excluded from the advisory financing costs limit – the following table therefore compares revised financing costs excluding these schemes. In order to afford a degree of flexibility from year to year, the limit is reviewed over a three-year period – based on the revised programme, the advisory limit is not exceeded for either of these 3 year blocks.

Financing Costs	2018-19 £m	2019-20 £m	2020-21 £m	2021-22 £m	2022-23 £m	2023-24 £m
2018-19 draft BP (excluding Invest to Save / Earn schemes)	26.5	28.8	32.2	34.4	36.1	36.1
Recommend limit	37.9	38.6	39.2	39.7	40.3	40.8
HEADROOM	-11.4	-9.8	-6.9	-5.3	-4.2	-4.8
Recommend limit (3 years)	115.7			120.8		
HEADROOM (3 years)	-28.1			-14.3		

- 4.7 Although the limit hasn't been exceeded, the Business Plan is still under review and as such adjustments to schemes and phasing will continue over the next two to three months. However, as there is significant headroom available, it is not expected that any further revisions will cause a breach of the advisory limit.

5. OVERVIEW OF ECONOMY TRANSPORT AND ENVIRONMENT's DRAFT CAPITAL PROGRAMME

- 5.1 The revised draft Capital Programme for Economy Transport and Environment (ETE) is as follows:

Service Block	2018-19 £'000	2019-20 £'000	2020-21 £'000	2021-22 £'000	2022-23 £'000	Later Yrs £'000
Economy, Transport and Environment	34,250	25,232	17,631	18,561	20,098	19,182

- 5.2 This is anticipated to be funded by the following resources:

Funding Source	2018-19 £'000	2019-20 £'000	2020-21 £'000	2021-22 £'000	2022-23 £'000	Later Yrs £'000
Grants	18,730	16,108	16,686	17,668	16,664	21,662
Contributions	9,752	3,473	200	1,000	1,000	9,700
Borrowing	5,768	5,651	745	-107	2,434	-12,180
Total	34,250	25,232	17,631	18,561	20,098	19,182

- 5.3 The full list of ETE capital schemes is shown in the draft capital programme at Appendix 1. Table 4 lists the schemes with a description and with funding shown against years. Table 5 shows the breakdown of the total funding of the schemes, for example whether schemes are funded by grants, developer contributions or prudential borrowing.

- 5.4 Papers on the individual schemes have been, or will be, considered separately by the appropriate Service Committee.

5.5 Changes to Existing Capital Schemes

- 5.5.1 Changes to existing schemes, such as re-phasing, re-costing, and revised funding are highlighted below. The Integrated Transport Schemes apply to both Economy and Environment Committee and Highways and Community Infrastructure Committee, so those are listed first. Following that, items are grouped by Service Committee.

5.6 Integrated Transport and Operating the Network

- 5.6.1 This area is mainly funded by Local Transport Plan grant funding from the Department for Transport as well as schemes funded by developer contributions.

The assumption is made that funding that now goes via the Combined Authority will now be passported across to Cambridgeshire. There is no change from the 2017-18 Business plan.

5.7 Highways and Community Infrastructure Committee

5.7.1 Highways Maintenance

Highways Maintenance (£90m) has been re-profiled on the assumption that £2.25m is carried forward from 22/23 to assist the Challenge Fund bid. There is a budget cut of £1.7m for first 4 years to reflect the savings being made from the capital element of the Highways contract. Total contract saving of £2.2m from the Highways contract with £500k coming from revenue.

5.7.2 Ely Archives Centre

This scheme has been re-phased to reflect the majority of the work that will take place in 2018/19.

5.8 Economy and Environment Committee

5.8.1 Ely Crossing and Kings Dyke

No uplift has been made to this scheme and reflect the figures in 2017-18 business plan. As with all large civil engineering projects there are significant risks with this scheme that have the potential to increase costs. All efforts are being made to manage and mitigate these risks, and where risks are realised to minimise the cost impact. Rather than make speculative budget provision for additional costs it is proposed to adjust the business plan only when any extra costs have been fully assessed.

5.8.2 Guided Busway

The figures are adjusted to allow for the retention monies payable to the contractor for 10 years with an expectation that the dispute could be settled in 2019-20. We still have £3m built in for further land compensation.

5.8.3 Energy Efficiency Fund

The energy team have now transferred into the Growth & Economy team within ETE. They manage the Energy Efficiency fund on behalf of CCC, £250k per annum over 4 years from 2016-17.

5.9 Overview of new schemes – including justification

5.9.1 East Barnwell Library

Fit out costs of refurbished library arising out of a CHIC redevelopment of a community centre into a mixed use scheme that will result in the library moving into these new premises. Existing furniture and equipment etc. will not be suitable and there is insufficient funding from other sources to cover the replacement costs.'

5.9.2 Milton Road Library

Fit out costs of refurbished library arising out of the CHIC redevelopment of the library as a mixed use scheme. Existing furniture and equipment etc. will not be suitable and there is insufficient funding from other sources to cover the replacement costs.'

6. ALIGNMENT WITH CORPORATE PRIORITIES

6.1 Developing the local economy for the benefit of all

The following bullet points set out details of implications identified by officers:

- Investing in key infrastructure schemes will promote growth in the number of jobs in our area and thus growth of the economy.
- Transport schemes are critical in allowing people to get around effectively and efficiently and to access work and other facilities they need.

6.2 Helping people live healthy and independent lives

See wording under 6.1 above.

6.3 Supporting and protecting vulnerable people

See wording under 6.1 above.

7. SIGNIFICANT IMPLICATIONS

Implications	Officer Clearance
Have the resource implications been cleared by Finance?	Yes Name of Officer: Sarah Heywood
Has the impact on Statutory, Legal and Risk implications been cleared by LGSS Law?	Yes Name of Legal Officer: Fiona McMillan
Are there any Equality and Diversity implications?	Yes Name of Officer: Tamar Oviatt-Ham
Have any engagement and communication implications been cleared by Communications?	Yes Name of Officer: Eleanor Bell
Are there any Localism and Local Member involvement issues?	Yes Name of Officer: Tamar Oviatt-Ham
Have any Public Health implications been cleared by Public Health	Yes Name of Officer: Iain Green

7.1 Resource Implications

The following bullet points set out details of significant implications identified by officers:

- There may be revenue implications associated with operating new or enhanced capital assets but equally capital schemes can prevent the need for other revenue expenditure.
- The overall scale of the capital programme has been reduced to limit the impact on the Council's revenue budget and this in turn will have beneficial impacts on the services that are provided from that source

7.2 Statutory, Risk and Legal Implications

The following bullet points set out details of significant implications identified by officers:

- Regulations for capital expenditure are set out under Statute. The possibility of capital investment, from these accumulated funds, may ameliorate risks from reducing revenue resources.
- At this stage, there are no proposals with significant risk arising from "pay-back" expectations.

7.3 Equality and Diversity Implications

There are no significant implications within this category.

7.4 Engagement and Consultation Implications

The following bullet point sets out details of significant implications identified by officers:

- Consultation is continuous and ongoing between those parties involved to ensure the most effective use of capital funding.

7.5 Localism and Local Member Involvement

The following bullet point sets out details of significant implications identified by officers:

- Local Members will be engaged where schemes impact on their area and where opportunities for strategic investment arise.

7.6 Public Health Implications

The following bullet point sets out details of significant implications identified by officers:

- Strategic investment in some of the schemes outlined may have potential to improve Public Health outcomes. This includes schemes that encourage active travel through cycling, walking and use of public transport.

Source Documents	Location
The 2017/18 Business Plan, including the Capital Strategy	https://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/council/finance-and-budget/business-plans/
Capital Planning and Forecast: financial models	c/o Group Accountants 1st Floor Octagon

	Shire Hall, Cambridge
--	-----------------------