## Cambridgeshire County Council Record of Executive Decision Decision taken by Traffic Manager in consultation with local Members

| <ul> <li><sup>7th</sup> May 2022</li> <li><sup>7th</sup> May 2022</li> <li><sup>7th</sup> Massen (Traffic Manager) in conjunction with County Councillors Steve bount and Jan French</li> <li><sup>70</sup></li> <li><sup>70</sup></li> <li><sup>70</sup></li> <li><sup>70</sup></li> <li><sup>70</sup></li> <li><sup>70</sup></li> <li><sup>70</sup></li> <li><sup>70</sup></li> <li><sup>71</sup></li> <li<sup>71 <li><sup>71</sup></li> <li><sup>71</sup></li> <li><sup>71</sup></li></li<sup></ul> |
|--|
| bunt and Jan French<br>b<br>b<br>aving reviewed details of the proposed scheme and taking into account the<br>onsultation process and written representations received, the Traffic Manager<br>and County Councillors decided that the proposal should be implemented as<br>ablished, but with the modifications outlined below.   |
| aving reviewed details of the proposed scheme and taking into account the<br>onsultation process and written representations received, the Traffic Manager<br>ad County Councillors decided that the proposal should be implemented as<br>ablished, but with the modifications outlined below.   |
| aving reviewed details of the proposed scheme and taking into account the<br>onsultation process and written representations received, the Traffic Manager<br>and County Councillors decided that the proposal should be implemented as<br>ablished, but with the modifications outlined below.  |
| onsultation process and written representations received, the Traffic Manager<br>and County Councillors decided that the proposal should be implemented as<br>ablished, but with the modifications outlined below.   |
| ne objectors will be informed of the outcome accordingly.  |
|  |
| <ul> <li>he report was presented to the meeting to allow officers to explain the oposals. The concerns raised by the objectors were discussed in detail and the llowing summarises the main conclusions and scheme modifications:-</li> <li>1. Location H (near no.53). The objections received were primarily related to the loss of parking and manoeuvring difficulties caused by the build-out. Members reported that vehicles are regularly parked on the road outside no.53 and a parked car effectively serves the same purpose as the planned priority build-out. Hence, it was agreed that the build-out be replaced with a second speed cushion along the one already planned. That would remove the need for double yellow lines and would not create any access issues for adjacent residents.</li> <li>2. Location J (near nos.7 &amp; 9). There were five objections from residents living along this stretch of Norwood Road, with the loss of parking a major concern. It was noted that there are a number of properties on that length of road that have no off-street parking and rely heavily on being able to park on-street. Hence, it was decided that the planned build-out be replaced with a set of speed cushions, which would result in no loss of parking and would remove the need for the proposed double yellow lines.</li> <li>3. Members asked for consideration to be given to an additional set of speed cushions located to the east of the traffic signals at the railway bridge. This is because there is a larger gap between traffic calming features on this stretch of road, which results in higher traffic speeds. Having assessed the engineering feasibility of this, it was agreed that the additional speed cushions will be added to the scheme.</li> <li>4. In response to the CPCA public transport team's comments, there was a discussion about potential conflict between cars negotiating the traffic calming measures and buses using several bus stops along Norwood Road. It was reported that no issues were raised as part of the safety audit process. Nevertheless,</li></ul>   |
| 3.   |

|   | As is the case with all highway schemes of this type, the modifications described<br>in items 1, 2 and 3 above will need to be subjected to a road safety audit.<br>Assuming that there are no unresolvable concerns, the revised measures will be<br>incorporated into the final scheme that is expected to be constructed in the<br>coming weeks. |
|---|---|
| Options considered  | Please see above – 'Reasons for taking decision'.   |
| Details of any<br>conflict of interests<br>declared   | No conflicts of interest.   |
| Details of any<br>disclosable<br>pecuniary interest<br>or non-statutory<br>disclosable interest<br>declared | No pecuniary interests or non-statutory disclosable interest declared.  |

Signature of decision maker (if appropriate)