

South Cambridgeshire Hall
Cambourne Business Park
Cambourne
Cambridge
CB23 6EA

t: 03450 450 500
f: 01954 713149
dx: DX 729500 Cambridge 15
minicom: 01480 376743



27 February 2018

To: Members of the Greater Cambridge Partnership Joint Assembly

Dear Sir / Madam

Please find a supplement for the next meeting of the **GREATER CAMBRIDGE PARTNERSHIP JOINT ASSEMBLY**, which will be held in **COUNCIL CHAMBER - SOUTH CAMBS HALL** at South Cambridgeshire Hall on **WEDNESDAY, 28 FEBRUARY 2018** at **2.00 p.m.**

Yours faithfully
BEVERLY AGASS
Chief Executive

Requests for a large print agenda must be received at least 48 hours before the meeting.

AGENDA

5. Questions from Members of the Public

PAGES
1 - 6

This page is left blank intentionally.

Agenda Item 5

Questions to Greater Cambridge Partnership Joint Assembly - 28 February 2018

Questions under Agenda Item 7: Histon Road: Bus, Cycling and Walking

Question 7a: From Lilian Rundblad, Chair of Histon Road Area Residents' Association

An important principle for the Greater Cambridge Partnership has been to involve as many as possible of the local residents and councillors in the various transport projects. The LLF is a forum that gives the opportunity to review and discuss the officers' design work before presentation to the Joint Assembly. The meetings give the Assembly members the full picture before they form their recommendations to the Executive Board.

The present Agenda Item 7, GCP Joint Assembly, for Histon Road Preliminary Concept has not been discussed at an LLF and the residents and councillors have not been given an opportunity to express their opinion. In the budget for 2017-2018 we have noticed that sufficient funds are available.

We would like to remind the GCP of the November 22nd 2017 recommendation from the Executive Board to **"Agree that officers should work up and model a revised concept design for Histon Road that aims to provide improved cycling and pedestrian infrastructure, to be brought back for board approval in March 2018"**.

Questions:

1. As the Joint Assembly has not received any feedback on these concept designs from the Local Liaison Forum, because a meeting has not been scheduled until 5th March, how can the Assembly give them proper consideration and make recommendations to the Board?
2. Will the LLF be able to give feedback direct to the Board meeting on 21st March?
3. Will you agree to recommend that design presentations should always be given first to a LLF meeting so that the Assembly has the benefit of feedback from the local community and councillors before having to make its own recommendations?

Question 7b: From Lilian Rundblad, Chair of Histon Road Area Residents' Association

Bus Lanes and Bus Stops (ref. 3.5, 3.6, 3.7)

As Chair of the Histon Road Area Residents Association and Vice-Chair of the Local Liaison Forum, I appreciate that the officers have worked to avoid taking people's private gardens, according to the principle we set forward in Resolution 7. I hope that resolution continues to be upheld. Now in the new plans the bus lane is proposed to run from Blackhall Road south, until just past Carisbrooke Road. However, that creates a problem at Carisbrooke Road: it will be very difficult for residents to cross three lanes of Histon Road on foot or make right turns into or out of Carisbrooke Road while cycling. That is along a popular route to the Mayfield Primary School.

I believe that there is a better option that should be considered, one that keeps the same length of bus lane but shifts the starting and ending point to the north. Suppose that the bus lane was shifted so that it ended around Hazelwood Close. This would allow space for a much safer junction at Carisbrooke Road. In addition, it may be possible to combine the proposed toucan crossing at Hazelwood Close with a bus gate, which might even give better bus priority than the current proposal.

Please recommend to the Executive Board that this idea be analysed. It complies with the objectives, improves safety and is compatible with the officers' response to Resolution 7 that they would look into alternative measures to help enhance bus journey time and reliability.

Question 7c: Lesley Ridgewell, Headline Haircutters (Question to be asked by Lilian Rundblad)

Park and Display along Histon Road, Ref 3.13

As I am the isolated business situated at 69 Histon Road, CB43JD, Headlines Haircutters, for 40 years, I ask if there is any more action that can be taken to change the mind of the Officers and Project Manager as regards to removal of the Pay & Display directly outside my Salon. It has been an endless fight for years to secure parking. Firstly, the street opted permit holder only without us being informed and I fought for 2 years to get the meter parking added to this area. Within a short time after this the new changes became public. I have attended many meetings and sent emails to the relevant people I've been told to put my case forward to. I felt hopeful that they would have accepted the suggestion of peak time restrictions on parking. I hoped they would see that this way Businesses stand a chance to remain open and a sense of community continued.

Now, I have read through Removal of on-street parking and it seems they are pretty firm on business parking being very low down the priority list. I see they still mention a possibility of parking on Linden close. I have said to them in many of my emails that Dominos Pizzas will be sure to hog any spaces as they do now and actually park regularly outside my Salon as they are like a taxi rank waiting for work. When they have filled Linden close they will overflow to my address on Histon Road.

I hereby propose that, if the present Park and Display by my Salon is removed, there will be made available improved and designated business parking for Headlines Haircutters nearby.

Question 7d: Liz Hughes (Question to be asked by Lilian Rundblad)

1 Darwin Green cycle and pedestrian link - crossing required

Has the development of the plans for the Histon Road fully considered the implications of the addition of a cycle and pedestrian route joining the Histon Road just North of the Carisbrooke Road junction, connecting to nearly 2000 homes on Darwin Green to the Histon Road?

There is also outline planning provision for for 27 homes on the former squash court site, including detailed planning consent for how this joins the Histon Road (pdf attached).

It is already hard to cross the Histon Road here with just two lanes of traffic, with the proposed inbound bus lane at this point a crossing would be required to enable pedestrians and cyclists to cross safely.

2 Limited space - buses prioritised over cycle path?

As the attached diagram shows there is limited space on the Histon Road route. Could the Committee consider if it is more important to prioritise a good cycle route, capable of taking trailers etc, above a stretch of bus lane that will end in a few metres?

3 Cycles turning right

Will there be some islands or provision for cyclists turning right from the Histon Road in bound to town and from the cycleway connecting with Darwin Green North of Carisbrooke Road?

It is already difficult to cross the road here, and as a cyclist waiting to turn right here who has already needed to report harassment by a bus driver to Stagecoach, I feel that some provision should be made, especially as this is very likely to become a much more well used turning point for cyclists with the creation of a link to Darwin Green.

Question 7e: Philip Squires, Judith Perry and Anna Crutchley Committee members of Benson Area Residents Association (BenRa) (Questions to be read out by Lilian Rundblad)

BenRA residents and businesses would appreciate detailed responses within the next consultation to the following concerns:

Removal of Parking at the south end of Histon Road

Off-peak parking

Can the GCP confirm that they will consider retention of parking outside rush hour?

If peak time only restrictions are not accepted, the following must be addressed:

Businesses

What will be the impact on small businesses on Histon Road?

Will a detailed proposal for usage of alternative available parking spaces (e.g. Linden Close) be included in the final concept plan?

Can the parking spaces in the lay-by outside Cranwell Court (used by customers of Midan) be preserved?

Access for carers, disabled people and deliveries

Could GCP provide explicit short-term exemptions to parking restrictions for disabled people outside their own houses?

Could spaces for carers and disabled be reserved in North Street?

Weekend parking

BenRA and WSP parking survey results are broadly consistent, however, weekend parking was not addressed by WSP. The BenRA weekend survey identified a potential lack of space in streets closest to Histon Road. Can the GCP guarantee BenRA residents will have adequate parking and access to their own homes at weekends?

Environment

Can the GCP reassure residents that air quality and vibrations will not worsen as a result of changes on Histon Road? What specific measures are proposed to reduce noise and vibration?

Mitigation

An original major objective of the City Deal (Objective 6, to assess the impacts on existing residents) must now be addressed. Will the GCP now undertake to work cooperatively with BenRA, WIRE and ORRA to develop traffic calming measures within the Huntingdon – Histon Road triangle to deal with the expected increase in rat running through Canterbury/Benson Streets and Oxford/Windsor Roads?

Question 7f: Matthew Danish of Camcycle

What has happened to walking priority? Resolution 8 of the Local Liaison Forum states that: 'At minor road junctions, cycle lanes and footways should be continuous and have priority.' For example the popular Copenhagen-style crossing design could be used. The officers'

response supported the resolution and stated that they would seek as much priority as possible.

But that doesn't seem to be the case when we look at the latest plans. Sixteen of the side road junction are designed with priority given to cars (see Appendix for the full list). Some junctions are raised, and some are dropped, but in both cases the priority markings favour motorists over pedestrians.

Giving priority for people on foot at side road junctions would better meet the project objectives of bus and waling priority, while making the scheme friendlier for people walking along Histon Road.

We request the Assembly to recommend to the Executive Board that they instruct the officers to design side road junctions so that the footway is continuous and has priority over turning traffic, as described by Resolution 8.

On a separate topic, we would like to commend the officers for working to incorporate many other ideas from the Local Liaison Forum into this current concept. There is much progress, especially at major junctions. However, we have serious doubts about whether the proposed 1.5m cycle lane next to a 3m bus lane could be considered suitable for all ages and abilities. We would like the designers to keep these questions in mind when working: could children safely cycle here? And their grandparents as well?

We recognise the difficulties that officers face and will simply note right now that we hope continue engagement throughout the consultation and final design process to try and find solutions.

Thanks You.

Question 7g: Nicola Davies, Anne Mullinger, Brian Walker, Mary Wheeler of Windsor Road Residents' Association (WIRE)

1. Can the GCP please confirm that all currently permitted turns for all forms of transport will remain at the junction of Histon Road, Victoria Road and Huntingdon Road, commonly known as Murketts Corner, and that no new turning restrictions will be imposed?

2. Would the GCP consider the potential time-saving in bus journey times offered by smart ticketing, compared with the 2.5 min saving predicted for peak time journeys as a result of the proposed bus lanes in Histon Road? Such a system would also save journey time on buses throughout the city, without any need for road modifications.

3. Would the GCP please consider retaining the lay-by in front of Cranwell Court for short-term parking, with the cycleway running next to the footpath, allowing parking between the cycleway and the moving motor vehicles? This would reduce the impact of the proposed Histon Road parking restrictions on local businesses and carers for Histon Road residents and also improve safety for cyclists. The bus stop could be located elsewhere.

4. We welcome the improved proposals for Histon Road and its main junctions. Would the GCP now consider, in conjunction with BENRA, WIRE and ORRA, another of the original major objectives of the City Deal, ie. objective 6: to assess the impacts on existing residents? This includes mitigation of the consequences for local residents of side streets.

There is a particular concern about traffic inappropriately using Canterbury Street and also the Windsor Road/Oxford Road link.

5. Can the CPP please confirm that the scheme for Histon Road been extended beyond the junction with Kings Hedges Road to the junction with the A14?

Question 7h: Michael Page

The details and text shown in the diagrams of Appendix A on the website are not actually readable – hence my question.

I am generally in favour of the concept of floating (or by-pass) bus-stops so long as there is sufficient width and length of waiting area to enable pedestrians and wheelchair users to manoeuvre and queue in comfort and safety.

Most importantly, vulnerable pedestrians and wheelchair users are reporting a need to have reassurance and confidence when crossing over the cycle lane to get access to and from the footpath.

1. Can we have an assurance from officers that there will be zebra-crossing type markings across the cycle path, and perhaps signage, to clearly indicate that cyclists should give way to pedestrians when approaching these bus-stops?
2. Will Assembly members make a recommendation to that effect to the Executive Board?

Questions under Agenda Item 8: Western Orbital

Question 8a: Mal Schofield, Resident of Newnham

*"West of Cambridge Package – Park & Ride 2.4. The proposals for a Park & Ride at Junction 11 support the Greater Cambridge Partnership's transport vision of delivering public transport improvements across the City and tackling traffic congestion. They also support delivery of the CAM Mass Rapid Transit system and the P&R proposals would, working in partnership with the Combined Authority, **ultimately transition to form part of that network.** This approach was recommended by the recent **Strategic Options Appraisal undertaken by Steer Davies Gleave.**"*

However

*"Beyond the city, local planning documents also identify **seven radial corridors (or spokes)** connecting Cambridge to new developments and the local villages within and adjacent to South Cambridgeshire. These corridors are*

- Cambridge – Waterbeach and Ely (to the north);
- Cambridge – Newmarket (to the east);
- Cambridge – Haverhill (to the southeast);
- Cambridge – Saffron Walden (to the south);
- Cambridge – Royston (to the southwest);
- Cambridge – Cambourne and St Neots (to the west);
- Cambridge – Northstowe, St Ives, Huntingdon and Alconbury (to the northwest).

Source: **Greater-Cambridge-Partnership-First-Last-Mile-Strategy-Report-2017. Steer Davies Gleave**

The deployment of P&R facilities appears to be a critical foundation piece in the formation of an attracting and resilient public transport network.

An eighth corridor, Cambridge - Comberton has been added (Chris Tunstall) in recent report submissions.

Question. Is the Assembly in its "Vision" preparing in the longer term time frame for a minimum of two P&R sites/travel hubs on each corridor?

(In the case of the Cambridge to Royston corridor, P&R/travel hub facilities are being considered also at the proposed Foxton Rail interchange.)

The southern guided busway is not, so far, a Trumpington P&R connection.

Supplementary question; food for thought only.

What city centre capacity will be needed, where and when, to accommodate the extended P&R bus services, prior to any decision in relation to alternative access such as tunnelling?