# FINANCE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT – AUGUST 2015

То:	General Purposes Committee		
Meeting Date:	20th October 2015		
From:	Director of Customer Service and Transformation/ Chief Finance Officer		
Electoral division(s):	All		
Forward Plan ref:	Not applicable	Key decision:	Νο
Purpose:	To present to General Purposes Committee (GPC) the August 2015 Finance and Performance Report for Corporate Services and LGSS Cambridge Office. The report is presented to provide GPC with an opportunity to comment on the projected financial and performance outturn position, as at the end of August 2015.		
Recommendation:	The Committee is a upon the report.	asked to review, r	note and comment

	Officer contact:
Name:	Chris Malyon
Post:	Chief Finance Officer
Email:	Chris.malyon@cambridgeshire.gov.uk
Tel:	01223 699796

#### 1. BACKGROUND

1.1 At its meeting in May 2014, Committee was informed that it will receive the Corporate Services and LGSS Cambridge Office Finance and Performance Report at its future meetings, where it will be asked to both comment on the report and potentially approve recommendations, to ensure that the budgets and performance indicators for which the Committee has responsibility, remain on target.

### 2. MAIN ISSUES

- 2.1 Attached as **Appendix A**, is the August 2015 Finance and Performance report.
- 2.2 At the end of August, Corporate Services (including the LGSS Managed and Financing Costs) was forecasting a year-end underspend on revenue of £1,342,000.
- 2.3 The LGSS Operational budget was expected to break-even by year-end. This element of the budget is monitored by the LGSS Joint Committee and is not the responsibility of General Purposes Committee.
- 2.4 There are four significant forecast outturn variances by value (over £100,000) being reported for Corporate Services / LGSS Managed, these are in relation to:
  - LGSS Managed is currently predicting a year-end overspend of £123k, which is a decrease of £0.9m from the figure reported last month, reflecting the actions identified to recover the overspend position.
  - County Offices is forecasting an overspend of £760k, a decrease of £207k from the figure reported last month. Under the agreement to lease Castle Court, the 50% rental period is due to commence on 31st October 2015, subject to planning permission being granted. Should this be forthcoming, additional income of £281k will be generated in 2015/16, and this has now been reflected in the forecast outturn position. A number of additional small budgetary pressures totalling £74k have been identified across the portfolio, partially offsetting the improvement in outturn position.

The balance of the overspend  $(\pounds967k)$  is as previously reported. Full details can be found in CS **Appendix 2**.

- An underspend of £421k is being reported on the IT Managed budgets. To contribute towards recovery of the overall LGSS Managed overspend the balance on the IT Asset replacement fund (£475k) will be written back to revenue. This is facilitated by the move towards provision of mobile devices, which are funded from the IT for Smarter Business Working capital scheme. This is partially offset by £54k net pressures across the centrally held budgets.
- The Transformation Fund covers the costs of Section 188 redundancies. Assuming a straight-line spend profile based on costs to date, an underspend of £225k is now being reported.

- 2.5 The debt charges and interest budget is currently predicting a year-end underspend of £1,320,000, largely as a result of favourable variances for Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) and Interest Payable.
- 2.6 At the end of August, Corporate and LGSS Managed was forecasting a yearend underspend on capital of £5.9m variations on capital in 2015-16.
- 2.7 There are six significant forecast underspends by value being reported for Corporate Services / LGSS Managed, these are in relation to:
  - The Effective Property Asset Management (EPAM) Sawston Community Hub scheme is forecasting an in-year underspend of £1.0m. Ongoing discussions with the District Council and Sawston Village College regarding siting of the compound are significantly delaying the start of construction, which is now expected to commence in early 2016.
  - Members have undertaken a review of the EPAM East Barnwell Community Hub scheme and have decided that it should not progress in its current form. Work is underway to assess alternative options and a decision is due later in the year regarding how the scheme should progress. As a consequence, an in-year underspend of £1.8m is being reported. A feasibility study has been commissioned to reflect the mixed use scope now required and will be part of a revised scheme cost when costs have been refined.
  - The EPAM Disposal / Relocation of Huntingdon Highways Depot scheme is no longer required and so a total scheme underspend of £1.6m is being reported. This has been superseded by a new Joint Highways Depot scheme under Making Assets Count, which is being submitted via the 2016/17 Business Planning process.
  - The EPAM Making Assets Count (MAC) Market Towns Project has been reassessed for Business Planning, resulting in rephasing of activity from 2015/16 to 2016/17, producing an in-year underspend of £0.6m and a reduced total scheme cost (-£0.3m).
  - The Optimising IT for Smarter Business Working scheme is forecasting an inyear underspend of £0.9m. Expenditure has been rephased to reflect the priorities set by the County Council for the provision of the IT infrastructure and devices to support mobile working, and a revised timescale for implementation.
  - The IT Infrastructure Investment scheme is showing an in-year underspend of £0.7m. Expenditure has been rephased to better reflect timescales for the delivery of upgrades / refresh of the core IT software and hardware systems that underpin the use of IT across the Council.
- 2.8 Corporate Services / LGSS have eleven performance indicators, all of these are currently at green status.

## 3. ALIGNMENT WITH CORPORATE PRIORITIES

### 3.1 Developing the local economy for the benefit of all

There are no significant implications for this priority.

## 3.2 Helping people live healthy and independent lives

There are no significant implications for this priority.

### 3.3 Supporting and protecting vulnerable people

There are no significant implications for this priority.

## 4. SIGNIFICANT IMPLICATIONS

#### 4.1 **Resource Implications**

This report sets out details of the overall financial position for Corporate Services / LGSS and this Committee.

## 4.2 Statutory, Risk and Legal Implications

There are no significant implications within this category.

## 4.3 Equality and Diversity Implications

There are no significant implications within this category.

## 4.4 Engagement and Consultation Implications

There are no significant implications within this category.

#### 4.5 Localism and Local Member Involvement

There are no significant implications within this category.

#### 4.6 Public Health Implications

There are no significant implications within this category.

Source Documents	Location
	Box No: OCT1114
There are no source documents for this report	Room No:1st Floor
	Octagon
	Shire Hall
	Castle Hill
	Cambridge
	CB3 0AP