

# Health Committee

## Decision Statement

**Meeting:** 8 September 2016

**Published:** 9 September 2016

**Decision review deadline:** 14 September 2016



Each decision set out below will come into force, and may then be implemented at 9.30am on the fourth full working day after the publication date, unless it is subject of a decision review. [see note on decision review below].

Item	Topic	Decision
	<b><u>CONSTITUTIONAL MATTERS</u></b>	
1.	<b>Apologies and Declarations of Interest</b>	Apologies received from County Councillors Dent ( Cllr Mandley substituting), Hipkin and Loynes ( Cllr P Brown substituting), and from District Councillor Cornwell.  There were no declarations of interest.
2.	<b>Minutes – 14 July 2016 and Action Log</b>	It was resolved:  a) to approve the minutes as a correct record subject to recording Councillor van de Ven, spelled correctly, as sending apologies rather than attending b) to note the Action Log
3.	<b>Petitions</b>	None
	<b><u>DECISIONS</u></b>	
4.	<b>Finance and Performance Report – May 2016</b>	It was resolved:  to note the report

Item	Topic	Decision
	<b><u>SCRUTINY ITEMS</u></b>	
5.	<b>Mental Health Vanguard update (Plus Appendix on PRISM; new primary care service for Mental Health)</b>	<p>It was resolved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) to note the recent updates on Mental Health services for the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough health system</li> <li><b>b) to welcome the work being undertaken by the CPFT and CCG, and</b></li> <li><b>c) to receive a further update in six months' time</b></li> </ul>
6.	<b>Outpatient services at community hospitals</b>	<p>It was resolved</p> <p>to note the <b>update on the East Cambridgeshire and Fenland review of some of the health care services delivered from the community hospitals and GPs.</b></p>
7.	<b>CCG urgent and emergency care review</b>	<p>It was resolved to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Support the motion as presented in section 2 of the report before Committee</li> <li>b) Establish a task force to scrutinise with some urgency <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) The terms of reference of the CCG's current review;</li> <li>ii) The process whereby it is carrying it out;</li> <li>iii) The extent to which local needs are being factored into it;</li> <li>iv) The objective criteria which it is using in order to identify the preferred options; and</li> <li>v) The way in which it has and will engage, consult and communicate with the communities which will be affected.</li> </ul> </li> <li>c) Appoint <b>Councillors Clapp, Orgee and Sales (plus a Labour substitute)</b> as members of the task force, <b>with Local Members to be invited to attend;</b> and</li> <li>d) Agree <b>that the task force conclude its work by, and report to, the Committee's next meeting on 6 October</b></li> </ul>

Item	Topic	Decision
8.	<b>Proposal to form a Joint Committee to scrutinise the proposed merger of PSHFT with HHCT</b>	<p>It was resolved</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) to support the establishment of a joint scrutiny committee with Peterborough City Council to scrutinise proposals for the merger of Hinchingsbrooke Health Care NHS Trust and Peterborough and Stamford Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust</li> <li>b) that the Health Committee's preferred size for the Joint Committee was <b>five</b> members each from Cambridgeshire County Council and from Peterborough City Council</li> <li>c) to appoint Councillors <b>P Brown, Clapp, Jenkins, Orgee and Sales</b> to serve as members of the Joint Committee, with Councillor <b>P Hudson</b> as <b>Conservative</b> substitute, and <b>Labour, Liberal Democrat and UKIP substitutes to be identified and their names notified to the Democratic Services Officer</b></li> <li>d) to authorise the joint committee to respond on behalf of the Health Committee to the public engagement / consultation proposals</li> <li>e) subject to the agreement of Peterborough City Council's Scrutiny Commission for Health Issues, to require that the joint committee scrutinise the implementation and governance arrangements, should the proposed merger be agreed by the two NHS Trust Boards</li> <li>f) <b>endorse</b> the draft terms of reference.</li> </ul>
9.	<b>Health Committee working group update and membership</b>	<p>It was resolved to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) note and endorse the progress made on health scrutiny through the liaison groups and the schedule of liaison meetings.</li> </ul>
	<b><u>DECISIONS</u></b>	

Item	Topic	Decision
10.	<b>Costed proposal to implement a pilot harm reduction project for stopping smoking</b>	It was resolved to approve <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) the approach and costs of the pilot</li> <li>b) implementation of the model in this financial year.</li> </ul>
11.	<b>Health Committee training plan</b>	It was resolved: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) to note the training plan</li> <li><b>b) to combine the October session on the New Communities JSNA with a session on health inequalities.</b></li> <li><b>c) to hold the session on the CCG's Sustainability and Transformation Plan (STP) in December, following publication of the STP in November</b></li> </ul>
12.	<b>Appointments to internal Advisory Groups and panels, and Partnership Liaison and Advisory Groups</b>	It was resolved: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) to note that there were currently no outstanding appointments to be made</li> </ul>
13.	<b>Health Committee Agenda Plan</b>	It was resolved: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) to note the agenda plan</li> <li><b>b) to add an update on the Mental Health Vanguard and PRISM to the agenda for 16 March 2017</b></li> <li><b>c) to add an update on the pilot harm reduction project for stopping smoking to the agenda for 8 June 2017</b></li> </ul>

## **Notes:**

- (a) Statements in bold type indicate additional resolutions made at the meeting.
- (b) Requests for review of a decision can be made as indicated below:-
  - a. At least 8 elected members of the Council may submit a request for a review of a decision by the General Purposes Committee;
  - b. At least 24 elected members of the Council may submit a request for a review of a decision by the Full Council;
  - c. The request must be submitted in writing to the Monitoring Officer or Chief Executive before the expiry of 3 full working days after the decision has been published and shall specify the reason(s) why the decision should be reviewed.
- (c) Full details of the decision review process, including those decisions which may not be subject to review, are set out in the Decision Review Procedure Rules at Part 4.5 of the Constitution.

For more information contact: Ruth Yule, telephone: 01223 699184 or e-mail: [ruth.yule@cambridgeshire.gov.uk](mailto:ruth.yule@cambridgeshire.gov.uk)