**SECTION 5** 



# CAMBRIDGESHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL BUSINESS PLANNING CONSULTATION

# **RESULTS SUMMARY**

(For Residents Household survey – see separate report)



**OCT 2016** 



#### SUMMARY RESULTS

#### COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

Council Members and officers talked with well over 350 people (some interviewed as part of groups) at five separate events around the County. 342 people were able to indicate the level of Council Tax increase that they would be happy with. This choice was made after people were shown information about the County Council's budget challenge and the current costs of services. The interviewers asked people why they were making their particular choice and which services were particularly valued.

#### Figure 1: Total Responses from community events

Council Tax Options	Number of votes	% of votes
Option 1: Not increasing council tax. This would mean not raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%	47	14%
Option 2: Only raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%.	69	20%
Option 3: Only having a general increase in council tax of 1.99% instead of the Adult Social Care Precept.	69	20%
Option 4: Raising both the Adult Social Care Precept and having a general increase council tax. A total increase of 3.99%	157	46%
Total	342	-

Looking across all the responses (see individual sections) some clear themes emerge:

- A significant reason given for not increasing council tax was for issues of affordability. During the engagement sessions we spoke to people who didn't think that that could afford an increase because they were currently struggling with their household bills. We also met those that were against tax increases as a matter of principle. This group were generally sceptical about public services and linked together many disparate issues as reasons why public services 'couldn't be trusted'.
- Of particular importance was the balance between those opting for the Adult Social Care (ASC) precept (2%) or the general increase of 1.99%.

- Those supporting the (ASC) precept did so because they had a clear understanding as to what the additional income was for and / or they could clearly identify with the demands arising from this service area through personal experience.

- Those supporting the 1.99% general increase particularly spoke about the needs for children's services.

• Those seeking the maximum increase (option 4) were likely to comment about the need to 'protect' services or they expressed the 'value' that they felt services delivered for the community together with the feeling that there should be continued support. There were those who felt that they could happily afford an increase, particularly in Cambridge.

#### ONLINE CONSULTATION

#### Figure 2: Total Responses from the On-line consultation

Council Tax Options	Number of Responses	% of votes
Option 1: Not increasing council tax. This would mean not raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%	30	15%
Option 2: Only raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%.	32	16%
Option 3: Only having a general increase in council tax of 1.99% instead of the Adult Social Care Precept.	42	21%
Option 4: Raising both the Adult Social Care Precept and having a general increase council tax. A total increase of 3.99%	97	48%
Total	201	100%

Looking across all the responses (see individual sections) the following themes emerge:

- There was a very high level of awareness of the County Council's financial situation amongst on-line responses. There was also a similarly high level of worry / concern about the situation.
- Only 15% of the on-line respondents voted for a 0% increase in Council Tax; 48% voted for a 3.99% increase
- The clear priorities for the on-line respondents were that "Children are helped to reach their full potential" and that "People at risk of harm and kept safe"
- The full results for the on-line survey are shown at the end of this document.

#### COMMUNITY EVENTS

#### METHODOLOGY

County Council attendance at local community events to discuss business planning was coordinated by the Community Engagement Team; five community events were selected. Selections was made as a matter of convenience, due to their timing (during September) and spread across each of Cambridgeshire's five districts. Events attended were:

- Friday 9<sup>th</sup> September St Ives Market (Huntingdonshire)
- Saturday 10<sup>th</sup> September Haddenham Steam Rally (East Cambridgeshire)
- Sunday 11<sup>th</sup> September Whittlesey Festival (Fenland)
- Saturday 24<sup>th</sup> September Cambridge Market (Cambridge)
- Sunday 25<sup>th</sup> September Milton Country Park, Autumn Festival (South Cambridgeshire)

Members of staff from across the organisation volunteered to talk to members of public. In advance a briefing document and a set of consultation questions were prepared. Display boards were also used at each event so show the breadth of County Council services. In addition members of the public were shown a series of tubes in which to place their 'vote' for their preferred level of council tax increase. Each of the options were communicated in detail (see figure one) and people's opinions / reaction recorded. The level of public understanding differed with some being aware of the issues whilst others needed a detailed explanation in order to participate. Awareness of the Adult Social Care precept was generally low. Also the ability to explain the precise impact of the budget changes was limited due to where the County Council is within the current business plan cycle.

Option	Description	Example
1	Not increasing council tax or Adult Social Care Precept. The council would need to find over £5 million in savings from the planned Adult Social Care budget.	An average band D property would not have to pay the 45p per week currently planned (£23.34 a year) The County Council would have to find an additional £5.13 million of savings from Adult Social Care in order to balance the budget.
2	<b><u>Only</u></b> raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%.	An average band D property would pay an extra 45p per week ( $\pounds$ 23.34 a year). Resulting in $\pounds$ 5.13 million already included in our plans would just be spent on Adult Social Care.
3	<b>Only</b> having a general increase in council tax of 1.99% instead of the Adult Social Care Precept.	An average band D property would pay an extra 45p per week (£23.22 a year). The County Council would have to find at least an extra £200,000 in savings from Adult Social Care to balance our budget, however it means the £5.11m raised can be spent on all services rather than only ring fenced and currently planned to Adult Social Care.
4	Raising both the Adult Social Care Precept and having a general increase council tax. A total increase of 3.99%	An average band D property would pay an extra 90p per week ( $\pounds$ 46.56 a year). This would mean that the $\pounds$ 5.13 million currently planned would be spent on Adult Social Care and a further $\pounds$ 5.11 million would be available to be spent on other services

#### Figure 3: Options for council tax

The results were later summarised in a report and circulated to those that had attended the events to check understanding.

Council Tax Options	Number of votes	% of votes
Option 1: Not increasing council tax. This would mean not raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%	47	14%
Option 2: Only raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%.	69	20%
Option 3: Only having a general increase in council tax of 1.99% instead of the Adult Social Care Precept.	69	20%
Option 4: Raising both the Adult Social Care Precept and having a general increase council tax. A total increase of 3.99%	157	46%
Total	342	-

#### Figure 4: Total Responses from community events

Figure two above shows the summary of people's response to the core council tax question. It should be noted that the result is skewed towards the relatively large response from Cambridge Market and Milton Country Park where a significant proportion of people were in favour of a total increase of 3.99% and away from Haddenham Steam Rally where poor weather hampered responses.

	St Ives	Whittlesey	Haddenham	Cambridge	Milton	Total
Option 1	26%	20%	18%	8%	10%	14%
Option 2	33%	33%	24%	13%	13%	20%
Option 3	13%	17%	41%	17%	24%	20%
Option 4	28%	30%	18%	61%	53%	46%
Total for an increase of 1.99% or above	74%	80%	82%	92%	90%	86%

#### Figure 5: Variation in response between community events

Looking across all the responses (see individual sections) there are some clear themes that emerge.

- A significant reason given for not increasing council tax was for issues of affordability. During the engagement sessions we spoke to people who didn't think that that could afford an increase because they were currently struggling with their household bills. This was notable amongst those in older age ranges. The broad sentiment from this group was that they understood why council tax increases were necessary but
- We met those that were against tax increases as a matter of principle. This group were generally sceptical about public services and linked / interchanged between many disparate issues such as their perception that public services 'waste' money, devolution, MPs expenses, migration and local infrastructure projects (e.g. guided bus or Whittlesey crossing) as reasons why public services 'couldn't be trusted'.
- There were a small number of people who didn't want to pay an increase because they didn't use services; viewing council tax in the same bracket as utility bills. There was also a small group of individuals (only within Cambridge City / South Cambridgeshire) who made the case for no increase on the basis that 'Westminster' or central government should be footing the bill rather than local people.
- Of particular importance is the balance between those opting for the Adult Social Care (ASC) precept (2%) or the general increase of 1.99%.

- Those supporting the (ASC) precept did so because they had a clear understanding as to what the additional income was for and / or they could clearly identify with the demands arising from this service area through personal experience.

- Those supporting the 1.99% general increase particularly spoke about the needs for children's services.

- Those seeking the maximum increase (option 4) were likely to comment about the need to 'protect' services or they expressed the 'value' that they felt services delivered for the community together with the feeling that there should be continued support.
- There were those who felt that they could afford an increase, particularly in Cambridge, with '£50' across the whole year being a slight increase in their eyes. Another sub group of those opting for the maximum increase was those who worked within the public sector e.g. police officer, pharmacist, NHS worker.

#### ST IVES MARKET

#### Figure 6: Responses from the St Ives Market event

Council Tax Options	Number of votes	% of votes		
Not increasing council tax. This would mean not raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%	10	26%		
Only raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%.	13	33%		
Only having a general increase in council tax of 1.99% instead of the Adult Social Care Precept.	5	13%		
Raising both the Adult Social Care Precept and having a general increase council tax. A total increase of 3.99%	11	28%		

The following are the main findings / observations from the St Ives Market event:

- In total 74% of people that we spoke to indicated that they'd be content for a council tax increase of some sort.
- 46% opted to increase council tax by either 2% or 1.99% (options 2 or 3).
- People opting for no increase in council tax offered the following views:

- liked the idea of and increase but struggled to pay council tax as they were a pensioner on a low income.

- stop funding smoking cessation services as people could pay for this themselves.

- need to repair roads and pot-holes

- If services are being cut anyway it seems unfair to put up council tax.

- Not a heavy user of council services as having to pay for everything myself, pay enough tax already.

- Waste should be tackled first such as the 'Police and Crime Commissioner', 'Guided Busway', 'Devolution' and any regional government.

- People opting for only raising the Adult Social Care Precept gave the following comments:
  - Older people need support and libraries need to continue with reasonable opening hours.
  - I have elderly parents and elderly in-laws who need social care support.
  - Have a parent in the 'adult social care system' and I'm very worried about the future.

- You can only cut things so far, some essential services need to go up

• People opting for a general increase of 1.99% said the following:

- Grandchildren use the local children's centre.

- It is important that people understand how it is spent as money is being used to support others in society.

- Don't think the government is going to give you any more money!

• People opting for an increase of 3.99% gave the following reasons:

- Cycle ways in Cambridge are better looked after than in St Ives.

- Keep services for disabled, more hedge-trimming for road safety, worried about effect on residents of further service cuts.

- Can't see away around not increase Council Tax (owns a care business), library services should be protected.

#### HADDENHAM STEAM RALLY

It should be noted that the weather was particularly poor on the day which limited the number of people out and about at the steam rally and their willingness to stop and talk.

Council Tax Options	Number of votes	% of votes		
Not increasing council tax. This would mean not raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%	3	18%		
Only raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%.	4	24%		
Only having a general increase in council tax of 1.99% instead of the Adult Social Care Precept.	7	41%		
Raising both the Adult Social Care Precept and having a general increase council tax. A total increase of 3.99%	3	18%		

#### Figure 7: Responses from the Haddenham Steam Rally event

The following are the main findings / observations from the Haddenham event:

- In total 82% of people that we spoke to indicated that they'd be content for a council tax increase of some sort.
- 65% opted to increase council tax by either 2% or 1.99% (options 2 or 3).
- People opting for no increase in council tax offered the following views:

- would like to increase council tax but personal income was low (retired).

- wants to see improvements in public transport; it is insufficient and too costly. Doesn't think the Council uses its money well.

- The increases in parish precepts put some people off the thought of additional increases. Some parishes have seen higher increases than others.

- People opting for only raising the Adult Social Care Precept gave the following comments:
  - Happy if this is definitely ring-fenced. Libraries and adult care services are a priority.

- Shocked at the figures (level of cuts); appreciate that the extra income is needed. Did not realise the extent to which services need money / are being cut.

- Knows that we will all need adult social care at some point in our lives.

- Thinks that there should be more back office efficiencies.

• People opting for a general increase of 1.99% said the following:

- Lives 'off the grid' and doesn't use any services. Don't have street lights where they live but appreciates that others need them.

- Need funding to support preventative work with young people

• People opting for an increase of 3.99% gave the following reasons:

- Understands as they are a pharmacist. Particularly values prevention services such as public health / social care. Cuts are affecting both health and social care.

- Increases are fine as I'm in a position to afford these. Not the same for everyone.

#### WHITTLESEY FESTIVAL

#### Figure 8: Responses from the Whittlesey Festival event

Council Tax Options	Number of votes	% of votes		
Not increasing council tax. This would mean not raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%	14	20%		
Only raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%.	23	33%		
Only having a general increase in council tax of 1.99% instead of the Adult Social Care Precept.	12	17%		
Raising both the Adult Social Care Precept and having a general increase council tax. A total increase of 3.99%	21	30%		



The following are the main findings / observations from the Whittlesey Festival event:

- In total 80% of people that we spoke to indicated that they'd be content for a council tax increase of some sort.
- 50% opted to increase council tax by either 2% or 1.99% (options 2 or 3).
- People opting for no increase in council tax offered the following views:

- Didn't think we get enough for our money as it is!

- stop funding smoking cessation services as people could pay for this themselves.
- If we pay more we want to see more.
- More efficiency can be made.
- Interest from my savings is too low to afford an increase.
- We are all on benefits and we can't afford an increase.
- People opting for only raising the Adult Social Care Precept gave the following comments:
  - Council tax will go up and it's good to know where it is going.
  - More and more people are going to need care as they get older.
  - Happy with 2% if it is ring-fenced.
  - I'm retired and look after even older parents.
- People opting for a general increase of 1.99% said the following:
  - Opted for this as feels that children who are looked after should be the main priority
  - Concerned about the provision of school places for primary children in Whittlesey, this should be a priority.
- People opting for an increase of 3.99% gave the following reasons:
  - Previously worked in children's social care for 17 years. Yes put it up.
  - Put it up as the 'bills need to be paid'. Increases are due to migration.

## CAMBRIDGE MARKET

## Figure 9: Responses from the Cambridge Market event

Council Tax Options	Number of votes	% of votes	
Not increasing council tax. This would mean not raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%	9	8%	
Only raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%.	14	13%	
Only having a general increase in council tax of 1.99% instead of the Adult Social Care Precept.	19	17%	
Raising both the Adult Social Care Precept and having a general increase council tax. A total increase of 3.99%	67	61%	



The following are the main findings / observations from the Cambridge Market event:

- In total 92% of people that we spoke to indicated that they'd be content for a council tax increase of some sort.
- 30% opted to increase council tax by either 2% or 1.99% (options 2 or 3) and 61% opted for a 3.99% increase
- People opting for no increase in council tax offered the following views:

- Cuts should be stopped and government should pay. Maggie Thatcher is dead!

- Cambridge is a very expensive place to live and 2% sounds high. Rates are too high already.

- There is 'deadwood' left to cut. We can't afford child care at the moment so can't afford a tax increase.

- No. Give the council more money centrally.
- People opting for only raising the Adult Social Care Precept gave the following comments:
  - Adult social care is hugely important.
  - I would opt for 4 if I knew the money would be well directed and spent.
- People opting for a general increase of 1.99% said the following:
  - If I ruled the world I would abolish Council Tax and replace with another tax system.
  - I think the money should go to all services.
  - Investment in younger people should be prioritised.
- People opting for an increase of 3.99% gave the following reasons:
  - Believe that this is important for a fair and 'social' society
  - It is not a huge amount.

- An extra £50 per year is not a big amount to pay. Put the money towards the benefit of society.

- My council doesn't consult like this so 'full-marks' for being here!
- Would pay 5% health services for older people are so important.
- Central government spends billions on less important things than social care.
- Houses needed to be taxed according to their rateable value. This should be reviewed.

- Moved from 0% to 4%. Recognise that whilst I'm skint – people getting stuck in hospital unable to be discharged are a big problem.

## MILTON COUNTRY PARK AUTUMN FESTIVAL

#### Figure 10: Responses from the Milton Country Park event

Council Tax Options	Number of votes	% of votes
Not increasing council tax. This would mean not raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%	10	10%
Only raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%.	13	13%
Only having a general increase in council tax of 1.99% instead of the Adult Social Care Precept.	23	24%
Raising both the Adult Social Care Precept and having a general increase council tax. A total increase of 3.99%	51	53%



The following are the main findings / observations from the Milton Country Park event:

- In total 90% of people that we spoke to indicated that they'd be content for a council tax increase of some sort.
- 37% opted to increase council tax by either 2% or 1.99% (options 2 or 3) and 53% opted for an increase of 3.99%
- People opting for no increase in council tax offered the following views:
  - Struggling to afford it at the moment.
  - Houses should be re-banded and high cost housing pay much more.
  - Get rid of the 'Cambridge Matters' glossy magazine.
  - Adult Social Care precept wouldn't benefit me yet.
  - I think we pay too much and don't get anything in Cambourne.
- People opting for only raising the Adult Social Care Precept gave the following comments:
  - I think Adult Social Care is the most important service.

- Practically I haven't got the time to volunteer. 2% increase in tax is above my annual wage increase but I'm willing to pay it.

- I know some people who live in social housing.
- People opting for a general increase of 1.99% said the following:
  - Need to raise money but having flexibility on how it is spent is also important.
  - I want to support children's centres and children's social care as well.

- I've taught in Cambridgeshire's schools and they are the lowest funded of all the schools in the Country. Think increase should be for everyone not just Adult Social Care.

- People opting for an increase of 3.99% gave the following reasons:
  - I'm a police officer. The less Council Services then the more work for me.
  - Nobody likes raising taxes but if we want the services then we have to pay for them.
  - I'm lucky and can afford the increase. We should all help each other.
  - Doesn't seem like all that much. We can afford 90p extra a week.

- Since the Thatcher years there has been a lack of social conscience, we all need to be more social minded.

- It is not right that government is taking so much away.

#### ON-LINE SURVEY

#### METHODOLOGY

Unlike last year where the on-line survey was the main element of our consultation this year the approach was very much to see this as an additional activity. The on-line survey was made available on the County Council's website. The survey was supported by a short animated video<sup>1</sup>. The link to the survey and video were then promoted on the front page of the County Council's website, via mailing lists to organisations such as parish councils and via Facebook.

#### METHODOLOGY

A total of 201 people responded to the survey. The following are the main points of the survey results.

Council Tax Options	Number of Responses	% of votes
Option 1: Not increasing council tax. This would mean not raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%	30	15%
Option 2: Only raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%.	32	16%
Option 3: Only having a general increase in council tax of 1.99% instead of the Adult Social Care Precept.	42	21%
Option 4: Raising both the Adult Social Care Precept and having a general increase council tax. A total increase of 3.99%	97	48%
Total	201	100%

#### Figure 11: Total Responses from the On-line consultation

Looking across all the responses (see individual sections) some clear themes emerge:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LE7E0raHStQ</u>

- There was a very high level of awareness of the County Council's financial situation amongst on-line responses. There was also a similarly high level of worry / concern about the situation.
- Only 15% of the on-line respondents voted for a 0% increase in Council Tax; 48% voted for a 3.99% increase
- The clear priorities for the on-line respondents were that "Children are helped to reach their full potential" and that "People at risk of harm and kept safe"

The full results for the on-line survey are shown at the end of this document.

## Cambridgeshire County Council: Business Plan Consultation

#### 1. Introduction

#### 2. Awareness

1. Before today, how aware were you of the level of financial challenges facing Cambridgeshire County Council? (i.e. the amount they need to save) Response Response Percent Total 1 Very aware 47.26% 95 2 Somewhat aware 39.80% 80 3 8.46% 17 Not very aware 4 Not at all aware 4.48% 9 5 Unsure / Don't know 0.00% 0 201 answered Analysis Mean: 1.7 Std. Deviation: 0.8 Satisfaction Rate: 17.54 Variance: 0.65 Std. Error: 0.06 skipped 0

# 2. How do you feel about the continuing financial challenges faced by the County Council?

									Response Percent	Response Total
1	Very	worried							35.82%	72
2	Some	what worrie	ed						49.75%	100
3	Not v	ery worried							10.95%	22
4	Not a	t all worried	ł						2.49%	5
5	Unsu	re / Don't kr	now						1.00%	2
An	alysis	Mean:	1.83	Std. Deviatio	n:	0.79	Satisfaction Rate:	20.77	answered	201
		Variance:	0.63	Std. Error:		0.06			skipped	0

## 3. Services

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Don't know	Respons e Total
Older people live independently	1.5 % (3)	0.5 % (1)	0.5 % (1)	1.0 % (2)	2.5 % (5)	9.5% (19)	4.5% (9)	10.4 % (21)	25.4 % (51)	17.9 % (36)	25.9 % (52)	0.5% (1)	201
People with disabilities live well independently	0.5 % (1)	1.0 % (2)	0.5 % (1)	1.5 % (3)	1.5 % (3)	9.5% (19)	3.0% (6)	6.5% (13)	23.4 % (47)	19.4 % (39)	33.3 % (67)	0.0% (0)	201
People live in strong, supportive communities	1.0 % (2)	0.0 % (0)	2.5 % (5)	2.5 % (5)	3.0 % (6)	12.6 % (25)	8.0% (16)	16.1 % (32)	21.1 % (42)	9.5% (19)	22.6 % (45)	1.0% (2)	199
The road network is safety maintained	0.5 % (1)	1.5 % (3)	0.5 % (1)	0.5 % (1)	3.0 % (6)	6.5% (13)	4.5% (9)	14.4 % (29)	20.4 % (41)	14.9 % (30)	32.3 % (65)	1.0% (2)	201
Children are helped to reach their full potential	0.5 % (1)	0.0 % (0)	1.5 % (3)	0.0 % (0)	2.5 % (5)	2.0% (4)	4.5% (9)	9.0% (18)	14.4 % (29)	10.9 % (22)	52.7 % (106)	2.0% (4)	201
People at risk of harm and kept safe	0.5 % (1)	0.5 % (1)	1.5 % (3)	1.0 % (2)	2.5 % (5)	6.0% (12)	3.0% (6)	6.5% (13)	16.5 % (33)	11.0 % (22)	49.0 % (98)	2.0% (4)	200
The Cambridgeshi re economy prospers to the benefit of all residents	2.0 % (4)	0.5 % (1)	3.5 % (7)	1.5 % (3)	2.5 % (5)	9.0% (18)	10.0 % (20)	10.4 % (21)	21.9 % (44)	9.0% (18)	28.9 % (58)	1.0% (2)	201
People lead a healthy lifestyle and stay healthy longer	2.0 % (4)	1.5 % (3)	3.0 % (6)	2.0 % (4)	2.0 % (4)	10.9 % (22)	11.4 % (23)	13.9 % (28)	19.9 % (40)	10.4 % (21)	21.9 % (44)	1.0% (2)	201
												answere d	201
												skipped	0

# 2. On a coole of 0 to 10, with 10 being (yery important) and 0 being (not at all important)

## Matrix Charts

3.1. O	lder people live independe	ently	Response Percent	Response Total
1	0	I	1.5%	3
2	1		0.5%	1
3	2	I	0.5%	1
4	3	I	1.0%	2

3.1. O	lder	people live	e inde	pende	ently				Response Percent	Response Total
5	4								2.5%	5
6	5								9.5%	19
7	6								4.5%	9
8	7								10.4%	21
9	8								25.4%	51
10	9								17.9%	36
11	10								25.9%	52
12	Do	n't know							0.5%	1
Analy	sis	Mean: Variance:	8.9 4.41	Std. I Std. I	Deviation: Error:	2.1 0.15	Satisfaction Rate:	71.82	answered	201

3.2. P	eopl	e with disa	bilitie	s live	well inde	pende	ently		Response Percent	Response Total
1	0				I				0.5%	1
2	1								1.0%	2
3	2				I				0.5%	1
4	3								1.5%	3
5	4								1.5%	3
6	5								9.5%	19
7	6								3.0%	6
8	7								6.5%	13
9	8								23.4%	47
10	9								19.4%	39
11	10								33.3%	67
12	Do	n't know							0.0%	0
Analy	sis	Mean: Variance:	9.18 4.13		Deviation: Error:	2.03 0.14	Satisfaction Rate:	74.36	answered	201

3.3. P	eople live in strong, suppo	ortive communities	Response Percent	Response Total
1	0		1.0%	2
2	1		0.0%	0
3	2	I	2.5%	5
4	3	I	2.5%	5
5	4		3.0%	6
6	5		12.6%	25
7	6		8.0%	16

3.3. P	eopl	e live in st	rong	suppo	ortive co	mmun	ities		Response Percent	Response Total
8	7								16.1%	32
9	8								21.1%	42
10	9								9.5%	19
11	10								22.6%	45
12	Do	n't know							1.0%	2
Analy	sis	Mean: Variance:	8.4 5	Std. Do	eviation: rror:	2.24 0.16	Satisfaction Rate:	67.29	answered	199

3.4. Th	e road netwoi	rk is s	afety maintaine	d			Response Percent	Response Total
1	0						0.5%	1
2	1						1.5%	3
3	2		1				0.5%	1
4	3						0.5%	1
5	4						3.0%	6
6	5						6.5%	13
7	6						4.5%	9
8	7						14.4%	29
9	8						20.4%	41
10	9						14.9%	30
11	10						32.3%	65
12	Don't know						1.0%	2
Analys	Mean: Variance:	9.08 4.26	Std. Deviation: Std. Error:	2.06 0.15	Satisfaction Rate:	73.45	answered	201

3.5. Cł	nildren are helped to reach	their full potential	Response Percent	Response Total
1	0	I	0.5%	1
2	1		0.0%	0
3	2	I	1.5%	3
4	3		0.0%	0
5	4		2.5%	5
6	5	I	2.0%	4
7	6		4.5%	9
8	7		9.0%	18
9	8		14.4%	29
10	9		10.9%	22

3.5. Ch	nildr	en are hel	ped to	reach	their ful	l pote	ntial		Response Percent	Response Total
11	10								52.7%	106
12	Do	Don't know							2.0%	4
Analys	sis	Mean:	9.76	Std. D	eviation:	1.88	Satisfaction Rate:	79.6	answered	201
		Variance:	3.53	Std. E	rror:	0.13			answereu	201

3.6. Pe	ople at risk of	f harm	and kept safe				Response Percent	Response Total
1	0		I				0.5%	1
2	1						0.5%	1
3	2		1				1.5%	3
4	3		I				1.0%	2
5	4						2.5%	5
6	5						6.0%	12
7	6						3.0%	6
8	7						6.5%	13
9	8						16.5%	33
10	9						11.0%	22
11	10						49.0%	98
12	Don't know						2.0%	4
Analys	Mean: Variance:	9.53 4.45	Std. Deviation: Std. Error:	2.11 0.15	Satisfaction Rate:	77.55	answered	200

	The Cambridgeshire ecor dents	nomy prospers to the benefit of all	Response Percent	Response Total
1	0	I	2.0%	4
2	1		0.5%	1
3	2	I	3.5%	7
4	3	1	1.5%	3
5	4	I	2.5%	5
6	5		9.0%	18
7	6		10.0%	20
8	7		10.4%	21
9	8		21.9%	44
10	9		9.0%	18
11	10		28.9%	58
12	Don't know	1	1.0%	2
	Mean: 8.55 Ste	d. Deviation: 2.45 Satisfaction Rate: 68.61	answered	201

3.7. The C residents	3.7. The Cambridgeshire economy prospers to the benefit of all residents						Response Total
Analysis	Variance:	5.98	Std. Error:	0.17			

3.8. Pe	eopl	e lead a he	althy	lifestyle	e and st	ay hea	althy longer		Response Percent	Response Total
1	0			I					2.0%	4
2	1								1.5%	3
3	2								3.0%	6
4	3			I					2.0%	4
5	4			I					2.0%	4
6	5								10.9%	22
7	6								11.4%	23
8	7								13.9%	28
9	8								19.9%	40
10	9								10.4%	21
11	10								21.9%	44
12	Do	on't know		I					1.0%	2
Analy	sis	Mean: Variance:	8.25 5.99	Std. De Std. Err	eviation: ror:	2.45 0.17	Satisfaction Rate:	65.94	answered	201

## 4. Council Tax

				omeone in yo t, tick YES)	our ho	ousehold pay council tax? (If c	ouncil tax i	S
							Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes						99.50%	200
2	No				1		0.50%	1
3	Don	't know					0.00%	0
Anal	ysis	Mean:	1	Std. Deviation:	0.07	Satisfaction Rate: 0.25	answered	201
		Variance:	0	Std. Error:	0		skipped	0

## 5. Council Tax (cont)

5. D	o you receive a reduction in C	Council Tax due to household circum	stances?	
			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes		13.78%	27
2	No		85.20%	167

#### 5. Do you receive a reduction in Council Tax due to household circumstances? Response Percent Total Don't know 3 1.02% 2 answered 196 Satisfaction Rate: 43.62 Analysis Mean: 1.87 Std. Deviation: 0.36 0.03 Variance: 0.13 Std. Error: skipped 5

## 6. Council Tax Increase

6. Which of the following four options for the County Council' you support?	's part of Counci	l tax do
		Response Total
Option 1: Not increasing council tax	100.0% (30)	30
Option 2: Only raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%	100.0% (32)	32
Option 3: Only having a general increase in council tax of 1.99% instead of the Adult Social Care Precept	100.0% (42)	42
Option 4: Raising both the Adult Social Care Precept and having a general increase in council tax. A total increase of 3.99%	100.0% (97)	97
	answered	201
	skipped	0

## 7. Council Tax Increase (cont)

			Respons e Percent	Respons e Total							
		Open-Ended Question 100.00%									
	1	<ul><li>Funding is needed for all areas of the Council not just Adult Social Care, and understand more funds are required.</li><li>You need the money, 2018 you will need to do this anyway plus we should have paid more years ago so</li></ul>									
	2	You need the money, 2018 you will need to do this anyway plus we should have parservices were not CUT	id more year	rs ago so							
	3	I would rather we all paid a bit more than see services lost									
	4	I think we should all pay more tax to make sure services run well in Cambridgeshire									
	5	Would effect people paying council tax less that option 4 but would also raise mone	y for adult so	ocial care							
	6	Money should be for services generally and not ring fenced to one area.									
	7	It is impossible to meet all the demands on the Council if there is a concentration only on providing additional funding for adult social care. We are heading for a disastrous situation where the grwing, ageing population is support more than younger people and children who need to be protected and able to fulfill their potential. The rapid and disproportionate population growth eg more children with special needs in new communities, more ex service men and women returning with major health and physical health issues needs to be supported - at the expense of people whone life style choices make them uber consumers of public service.									
	8	If we want services they need to be paid for however appreciate that many househo increase difficult to bear.	olds will find	any							
	9	Because where am I suppose to find the increase when I have had not a increase in	n my wages	for 7 years							
	10	Council tax has risen *way* over inflation for many years. Yet the council is riddled v some of that and you'll have your 4%.	with inefficie	ncy - fix							
	11	To forestall cuts									
	12	The additional cost to me of less than £50 is more than worth it to ensure vital servic Although increased council tax will negatively impact those on lower incomes I belies services would be far greater.									
	13	Adult social care will place greater and greater demand on the authority and therefo would be prudent. I have 3 relatives who have been service users and hope that inc help residents access services more readily and efficiently to maintain independent	reased fund	ing would							
	14	It's what the Council should have done last year. The council *must* recognise that effectively is more of a priority than keeping council taxes low.	carrying out	is duties							
	15	I am only choosing this option if it stops the cuts including library closures and hours	s reductions.								
	16	Pressure on adult services can only grow. One would hope that savings could be m non-statutory services to help ease pressure on frontline services.	ade in other	areas ie							
	17	Because elderly need support after paying all there working life									
	18	because without an increase our services will not be properly funded									
	19	It allows the flexibility for the funds raised to be spent on both adult care and other a	ireas								
	20	There are other ways to save, IE public libraries, why not charge for use									
	21	it seems the fairest									
	22	You spend a significant amount on "debt management". If funds are properly manage necessary. Manage the money you have more effectively rather than taking even m									
	23	Social care is the largest problem area for the future									
	24	We need to make sure we fund our services like social care and looking after our ro	ads. Like ari	ttina							

## 7. Can you please tell us why you chose this option for Council tax?

	Respons e Percent e Total
25	I think the council will struggle without an increase to its general budget as wellty as to the adult social care.
26	We must fund services sufficiently to endure that they continue to be available to our community
27	Too much money is wasted
28	I would expect that any adult care that affects my family would have to be funded by my family and we would not get support from the council. As a result I would prefer not to pay towards a service I would not be able to benefit from in the future.
29	21 increases in the last 24.yrs, enough is enough, we don't want another mortgage to pay for !!!
30	We need to provide for the elderly
31	To maintain services as much as is possible
32	To maintain some level of services residents have to understand that they have to be paid for.
33	Money is not being spent wisely. I feel social care deserves more funding and I am happy to pay this, but the rest of what I pay to council tax is mis spent.
34	Older people chose brexit it is also the older generations that have past in this situation
35	M\ny people are struggling to make ends meet. An extra increase in /Council Tax to meet specific adult social care needs could topple them over the edge if it is in addition to a general rise.
36	Because we are basically an affluent society, we are fortunate to be living in a relatively safe environment and those who need help should be able to access it. As a Community Carer for a number of years I know how vital this service is ; particularly in this day and age when many families live many miles apart and elderly relations are frequently isolated.
37	There has to be a way to increase income from non government funding as the cuts are seriously affecting the levels of service. Already the cuts have meant that lots of preventative work has stopped, the thresholds for help have risen and services are reduced. Simply stating you want communities to be resilient is nieve and dangerous. Communities will not replace support, there will be a postcode lottery and you are not investing enough to make this happen. You need more money not more outsourcing like Peterborough.
38	Fair option
39	I suspect previous reductions in government funding mean that the council's budget situation is now very difficult to manage without additional funding from somewhere.
40	Fairest option on offer
41	So long as admin costs are slashed and managers' salaries are frozen for 10 years. This will help. Better still make 50% cuts in middle and senior management. This will free up money to care for the community at large.
42	I am happy to contribute to ensure services continue to be delivered.
43	We already pay too much tax
44	Because the ageing and less healthy population needs it
45	I do not want to see the services school as the local children's centre cut.
46	BECAUSE ADULT SOCIAL CARE IS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE
47	I think that it is better to preserve existing services and this rise would help that.
48	Because the cuts in adult social care are appalling and immoral
49	Don't want to restrict funding increase to just one area.
50	Adult care is underfunded and I cannot see how other services can be maintained at a satisfactory level with constant cuts.
51	Maintaining the roads is equally important to older people services
52	There are more services in need apart from elderly and disabled. Feel I already contribute enough.

#### 7. Can you please tell us why you chose this option for Council tax? Respons Respons e Percent e Total The tax should rise to improve services. The council is delivering our shared services and had no right to 53 cut them. 54 Meet budget shortfall Aging population 55 Council tax is already too high. Council should run their affairs with regard to the cost to the taxpaver and if 56 councillors are not up to spending less of the taxpayers money, they should consider their position. In general I support higher taxes and higher services. I think it's a relatively small increase for each 57 household and would be better than cutting services further. I don't think council tax should be increased by 3.99% at a time we are being squeezed in every direction 58 already and there is a prospect of having to pay for our parking at work. Because I struggle to live now as my hours have been cut due to you cutting funding given to schools. 59 Children need our support too and having one teacher and a TA only for mornings is not giving children the help they need. The government has given you this option, why wouldn't you use it? Also, maybe if you collected more of 60 the council tax you wouldn't have such gaps in the budgets anyway Central governement should not be cutting funding and expecting taxpayers to make up the difference is 61 unfair. 62 No brained - ought to have been done last year Because everybody should pay a little bit more for the benefit of everyone. Too many people want more but 63 don't want to pay. This is a fairly low cost option that is fair to all Its important that adult social care is prioritised there is too much emphasis on the younger generation who 64 can be looked after by parents and guardians 65 I would rather not have an increase at all but realise it it important that our elderly and vulnerable people generally are looked after properly £45 a year increase is sffordable 66 I am having to give up my job to care for my disabled child because the Local Authority has failed to provide 67 appropriate care. I can't afford to pay any more money. 68 Because local authorities are responsible for their citizens and the elderly often neglected in preference to those living in social housing and/or in receipt of benefits 69 Wages are not rising but cost of living is. Every year I am worse off. 70 An increase should go to helping all parts of the councils funding, other issues as well as adult allowance are important too, a 4% to accommodate both would be too high for many people 71 Adult social care is important. Dignity, safety and living in a reasonable level of comfort are important for well being. We must look after each other 72 Vital services are needed. However if this money is used to fund things like 3 PAs for an executive at the CC then I would choose differently. We need to support each other, but money is limited. Wages are not increasing at the same rate as costs. 73 We could pay a little more, but not this much more. 74 In general the cost of living is either staying the same or rising for most families, this should be reflected proportionally in any rise in council tax 75 Amount per household is tiny, benefits far outweigh extra cost. I think it is fair to have a raise however this should cover all areas 76 77 We pay a huge amount already but don't really benefit from it we have no street lights, no roads swept, continuous pot holes, roads never gritted in winter. No mains sewage. No policing. Fly tipping along the roads frequently.

an y	you please tell us why you chose this option for Council tax?						
	Respons e Percent e Tota						
78	We all need to pay a little extra to be fit the community.						
79	Because my wage has not increased for years and I have to pay a lot to get my children to school to do A levels as there are no sixth forms that do it local to me.						
80	Social services are sucking far too much funding from other areas of Council services. Their funding need heavy cuts.						
81	Because I am 64 years old. Purely selfish reasons.						
82	Small difference in yearly cost						
83	Council funding has been under pressure for too long and given the demands being placed on services, leaving rates frozen is only going to result in cutbacks and deterioration of services.						
84	Tax keeps increasing and services reduced						
85	When government funding is decreasing, contributions from residents clearly need to increase. Care of the elderly is important and increasingly totally unaffordable for most. On the other hand, ensuring that children reach their full potential is not really the job of councils, but most importantly of the child's parents, and secondly of the education system - most of which is determined by the government.						
86	If you didn't waste money on a non strategic approach to GCCP you might have enough money for adult social care. The two budgets are separate.						
87	A small increase for the greater good helping the vulnerable and disabled and our local economy						
88	While I am not happy for any increase I am also not wanting so many cuts but I truly hope that money is spent more wisely in the future For instance with so many bike lanes being built they should be payed for by. People who are benefiting from them. Allowing money to improve roads care homes children's servic I count any bikes on the newly made bike path on the way to Cambridge five has been the highest number this doesn't make good use of my money x						
89	I am happy to contribute more if it helps those less well off in my community						
90	I would rather pay more and know that people needing services can access them						
91	Because it is foolish to think that services can be provided without increasing council tax when central go has cut funding. The council should stop fooling itself that it can continue capital road developments such as Kings Dyke and the Ely bypass adding additional prudential borrowing to satisfy the vanity of groups of Councillors. Instead it should concentrate on maintaining revenue funded services by better maintains roads. Cambridge City continues to drain resources from the rest of the county and failing to use the ben of the City Deal for fundamental improvements to access for Cambridgeshire residents to the Cambridge economy. Instead, Cambridge City and South Cambs are using the City Deal to restrict access to Cambridge for local political kudos in attacking the general access of Cambridgeshire residents. Cycle we must not be increased unless these are better balanced with improve vehicular access routes to the City centre.						
	I support a 3.99% council tax rise because it is dishonest for the Govt to cut funding to County Council whilst expecting continuation of services. However, it is also dishonest of the County Council to claim to I more efficient when failing to maintain current infrastructure in favour of interest on capital borrowing. And when the County Council leaves Parish Councils deciding either to accept cuts in local residents County provided services or increasing precepts. The financial crisis was caused by lack of responsibility in borrowing. Borrowing because you cannot afford to pay for something today but have no prospect of pay for it tomorrow puts self gratification ahead of financial sense.						
92	It is important that services are properly funded						
93	I chose this option because I am ok with taking on more responsibility for the services we receive. Plus, I want communities to thrive and be more resilient to cuts. In my opionion, the proposed raise in council tax, spread over a year is not that much.						
94	I would like to see all the services provided by the council maintained if not improved. Increasing the CT is percentage terms will generally ensure that people will contribute depending on their wealth/ability to pay						

#### 7. Can you please tell us why you chose this option for Council tax? Respons Respons e Percent e Total the govt is restricting cash they should not restrict the ability of local authorities to raise capital instead of worrying about votes. As the govt has changed its fiscal policy surely we don't need to worry anymore! 95 I pay enough Council Tax and my salary has not increased in line with inflation Raising council tax should benefit everyone, as their will be a cost to everyone. Though it's an immature 96 view, I don't like the idea of my council tax getting higher to pay for Adult care, when for myself there are more pressing issues such as the state of our roads, the need for pedestrians, cycles and motorised road vehicles to each have their space on the roads, the emergency services being so stretched and my local area needing repair and tidy up work. 97 The Council should look to find more efficiencies and concentrate on key core serivces. 98 Because it makes more sense to support people before they need more expensive health care. Because I am a decent human being who understands the need to look after the most vulnerable people in the community. 99 I'm happy to pay more and have better services in the City. That includes MY ability to freely drive in Cambridge. Blocking Cambridge to residents alienates both the city and the council from its citizens 100 Savings should be found. Our council tax is already disproportionate to our burden on the system. 101 We need these services and they have to be paid for. Because the services are vital and would have significant impact if cut 102 103 We all need to pay more to make sure that the help that is needed can be given 104 It is the fairest for all members of society whether that is those who will contribute in the future, those who currently contribute or those who have already contributed and now receive favourable pension benefits. Need to pay more if the government are not funding our services adequately. 105 106 Best option. Adult social care is a must. The rest isn't 107 People are already paying out more than they can afford in guite a few cases 108 Because I think the council has now cut its services to the bone and there is no more room to manoeuvre. Too many things are getting cut that are affecting peoples lives and well being. The public need to see what 109 the peal costs are for services as many are oblivious to what is happening. Money is tight enough, but i want to do my share 110 As low income families who do not receive any benefits at all will struggle to pay a larger increase, this is 111 the area that needs investment more than the rest It's not that large an increase & we need to care for the more vulnerable people in our society. 112 113 Unfair to have one or the other when both needed, cuts rarely beneficial in the long run. 114 some households should pay more for the general good its fairer for all people in the county and will help to reduce the impact of government cuts 115 So many sections need more help. 116 117 Personal Finances 118 We pay enough already and you need to look at back room staffing - too many and need to cut back With an ageing population this is the area most stretched financially 119 Pay enough already and wages we are paid do not increase for most people 120 It worries me greatly that the public seem to demand more all the time when clearly the council is struggling 121 to cut back and save money where it can. Services are already suffering - I am most concerned about social care & eduction services - which is abysmally underfunded and desperately needs more money. I think our refuse services are brilliant, especially compared to some areas I have visited so that should not be touched. I think the public have to wake up to the fact that we must pay more in to save and maintain

## 7. Can you please tell us why you chose this option for Council tax?

		Respons e Percent	Respons e Total
	essential services and I would be happy to do that. There would be an outcry no do the same, I think it would have to be accepted.	ubt but if all	councils did
122	Other services should be cut - adult care cannot.		
123	It's fairer to everyone		
124	It seems to me the only way to keep the majority of the services the county council	is responsibl	e for.
125	I use many services that the council financially support including baby groups and I disappointed if they were to shut due to funding.	would be ex	tremely
126	It is the right and only proper thing to do to help people in Cambridgeshire.		
127	The money has to come from somewhere.		
128	Like any business the council should look to cut as much COST as possible before revenue by increasing prices (council tax). I don't feel this has been done sufficient!		crease
129	Think we pay to much already		
130	Because we are an ageing population and services for the elderly CANNOT keep b areas need more money ploughed into them so, whether we like it or not, we as Co have to fund them.		
131	I am prepared to pay more for most council services , particularly policing. What I do productive services such as flower displays. I also feel house occupiers should be r they don't cut there plants back that overgrow on to footpaths. And while I am at it, g cutting contractors should be forced to complete their works - cutting hedges back the around road signs.	named and s grass cutting	hamed if and hedge
132	More money for adults and children in the care of the social services, I work with ad disabilities I am heart broken at what the last 5 years of cuts has already done. Can bigger buddget not to cut further.		
133	I do not feel council tax is calculated fairly. However, I do believe those of us who cal local services and to keeping each other safe. None of us want to pay more council so to maintain appropriate adult social care and other services, I'd prefer an increase	tax, but if w	e need to d
134	The increase in Council Tax is greater than inflation, and greater than any increase It is unfair, and unconscionable, to keep increasing council tax for those who are de there are other savings that should be realised first.		
135	Cuts cause hardship and put additional pressure on communities and families. I'd b money to maintain and even improve services.	e happy to p	ay the extra
136	We can and should do more		
137	With people living longer it's important to help them live reasonably comfortably and hospitals bed blocking.	keep them	out of
138	I think it's very important to maintain safety and security in all the services the count reasonable increases to pay for increased demands.	cil provides.	These are
139	I'm worried about the cuts in social care so hope a little increase could go towards t	hat.	
140	The more money you can raise (provided that you spend it efficiently and responsib provision of elderly care. The ageing population across the country but also specific increased pressure on local services, so they need to be equipped to handle that ex	ally in this re	egion will pi
141	The council need to demonstrate its strategy and risk analysis on how it manages it seen or been shown how it prioritises it's spending. Until it can effectively demonstrate allowed additional revenue.		
142	Its a small amount		
143	If I'm being asked to pay more I would like it to benefit areas that are of relevance to	o me.	

## 7. Can you please tell us why you chose this option for Council tax?

		Respons e Percent	Respons e Total				
145	Help towards the cuts that will be put in place						
146	I can personally afford to pay more and believe that the money is needed for suppo position to otherwise help themselves. I understand that not everyone can afford su hopeful that those most unable to pay would be in receipt of some discount or bene pay.	ch an increa	se, but am				
147	Social care is important. Fed up being ripped off by the council for other so called services. We cannot even have a street lighting now in the dead of night. This country is a disgrace.						
148	The cost per week to the average house is negligible, less than the cost of a daily p	aper					
149	I think I could afford the rise. However, I feel these services should be paid for by ce	entral govern	ment.				
150	Elderly need help. Don't waste money on encouraging healthy living. You encourage people to be lazy and unmotivated honk someone else has to sort out their unhealthy lives. It's not rocket science: eat less, move more.						
151	Too much spent on Adult care						
152	I am willing to pay more tax to get a better service.						
153	Council should raise the money it requires						
154	40 quid a year isnt much, but im not confident that it wont be wasted on red tape an management	d overpaid s	enior				
155	I think it's best new revenue can be spent in a variety of areas and not just care for adults						
156	I'm young, I'm happy to pay more local tax to help the council and local services, but I don't want the services for the young to be penalised						
157	Too much tax across everything, fuel, vat, income tax starting to reach a stage whe eroded to the point I'd be better off on benefits than working	re my salary	has been				
158	Adult social care desperately needs this money						
159	Because I don't know what 'other services' means in case of a higher tax increase.						
160	Because essential services are being cut and this is NOT acceptable						
161	It is imperative that we protect our services for the elderly and vulnerable. Cutting se economy as increases pressure on other services such as the NHS	ervices is a f	alse				
162	As long as councils keep paying astronomical fees for social care, providers will kee charges.	ep increasing	their				
163	We desperately need our Council to provide adequate services and should accept trise in order to achieve this. The Government should not be putting restrictions on loand adult services are very important and should not be restrictive.						
164	This survey is balatantly biased by the style of questions featuring words that emoti responder. If the council genuinely wants to canvas views it should be more compet The only response which is unbiased is option1.						
165	People in need are depressed enough as it is. More money means more services						
166	take pressure of hospitals and doctors						
167	Because it gives you the flexibility to use the money in the way you see fit rather tak the populalation	nn just for on	e group of				
168	I think the council have opportunity to reduce costs in many areas without raising m	ore taxes					
169	we need to care for our most vulnerable citizens, and our current rates are amongst country	the lowest i	n the				
		answered	169				

#### 8. Council Tax Increase (cont)

				rictions on tl an 3.99%?	ne siz	e of Council ta	x incı	rease wou	ld you ind	crease
								1	Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes	3							18.41%	37
2	No								64.18%	129
3	Dor	n't know							17.41%	35
Anal	ysis	Mean:	1.99	Std. Deviation:	0.6	Satisfaction Rate:	49.5		answered	201
		Variance:	0.36	Std. Error:	0.04				skipped	0

### 9. Council Tax (cont)

9. In total, including 3.99%, by how much would you increase Council Tax? Please put a total percent (%) figure below. (As a guide, for each 1% an average band D property would pay approximately an extra 23p per week £11.67 a year)

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Ор	en-Ended Question	100.00%	37
	1	10%		
	2	10		
	3	20%		
	4	2		
	5	10%		
	6	5		
	7	10%		
	8	5		
	9	8		
	10	5		
	11	20%		
	12	6%		
	13	10%		
	14	5		
	15	10%		
	16	8		
	17	7		
	18	5		
	19	2%		
	20	7		
	21	20%		

		Response Percent	Respor Tota
22	5%		
23	8		
24	10		
25	5%		
26	6		
27	5%		
28	6%		
29	5%		
30	10		
31	7%		
32	10%		
33	5		
34	10%		
35	5%		
36	9%		
37	5%		

## 10. Experience of County Council Services

	10. Which of the following County Council services do you or someone in your household use regularly? Please tick all that apply.							
			Response Percent	Response Total				
1	Help with parenting provided by Children's Centres		8.08%	16				
2	Extra help in school for children with additional needs		7.07%	14				
3	Help for disabled children including children with learning disabilities	I	2.53%	5				
4	Libraries		41.41%	82				
5	Help with living a healthier lifestyle such as giving up smoking or losing weight		4.55%	9				
6	Help with managing mental health issues		9.09%	18				
7	Help for disabled adults including adults with learning disabilities		3.03%	6				

## 10. Which of the following County Council services do you or someone in your household use regularly? Please tick all that apply.

									Response Percent	Response Total
8	Social care or help to live at home for older people								6.06%	12
9	Subsidised public transport or community transport schemes such as dial-a-ride							11.11%	22	
10	None of the above							45.96%	91	
11	Other (please specify):							2.02%	4	
AnalysisMean:9.24Std. DeviaVariance:23.92Std. Error:		ion:	4.89	Satisfaction Rate:	78.33	answered	198			
		Variance:	23.92	Std. Error:		0.35			skipped	3

1 cfs service

2 Roads

3 bus pass

- 4 I work in mental health
- 11. Keeping in mind that as well as the above the County Council also maintains the County's roads and cycle-ways, manages the disposal of waste and develops the County's economy. Is there any part of County Council services that you particularly value?

van				
			Response Percent	Response Total
1		Don't know	16.58%	33
2		No	19.10%	38
3		Yes (please explain):	64.32%	128
Analysis		Mean: 2.48 Std. Deviation: 0.76 Satisfaction Rate: 73.87	answered	199
		Variance: 0.58 Std. Error: 0.05	skipped	2
Yes	(plea	se explain): (128)	,	
	1			
	2			
	3			
_	4	to e vaste		
	5			
	6	Household recycling seems to be among the best in the country		
	7			
	8			
	9	Protecting and keeping vulnerable chuldren safe.		
	10	Better road maintenance, street lighting, libraries.		

36
 		Response Percent	Response Total
11	Wisbech recycling centre		1
12	Road maintenance and waste disposal are services we use.		
13	Street Lighting and Wast Collection Including Garden Wast		
14	Highways, Libraries, Recycling, cycle ways		
15	Repairing potholes		
16	Open spaces need to be kept accessible for all and grass needs cutting along wit paths kept clear	h	
17	All services are valued.		
18	Disposal of waste		
19	Libraries		
20	Safety is my main concern, no potholes on the roads, safe clean pavements and health from regular bin collections and waste disposal.	safe	
21	Highways		
22	support for vulnerable adults		
23	I particularly value the work of the county council staff who deliver the services, I not value the unnecessary layers of managers, consultants and senior management		
24	Libraries		
25	All those services that reduce all types of inequality. For example the problems associated with becoming a parent are not based on income so services need to universal, likewise lonliness is not income dependent.	be	
26	Libraries - allow access for me and my children to a great wealth of books and learning		
27	Cycling facilities and the Guided Bus Route.		
28	highways and refuse, keeps the area safe and looking good		
29	Better roads, better healthcare.		
30	Highways,street lighting		
31	Maintenance of the road network. Maintenance of other Rights of Way. Waste recycling centres.		
32	children's centres as they offer excellent support to young families to aid child development where I live (march)		
33	Road maintenance, keeping it moving and safe.		
34	the guided busway		
35	The Voluntary sector. Help for those who wish to volunteer but have additonal new	eds	
36	Maintenance of the transport infrastructure for economic development.		
37	Children's Centres in Kings Hedges and Chesterton are my lifeline		
38	Recycling centre; cycle lanes		
39	cycle ways. keep fit and meet goals easily		
40	Education and treating the elderly with dignity and tespect		
41	Road mending		

			ponse rcent	Respo Tota
42	Services for disabled children. We have a disabled son and I work at a special school. I see the effects of the cuts every day, for example a severely overstretche school nursing service.	ed	_	
43	why spend money on cycle ways when they are under used? until people have to use them if they are there, there is no point!			
44	It's all valuable to someone - it's all part of a maintaining a decent, modern society	/		
45	Cycleways are a cheap and safe way of maintaining healthy lifestyle for my family			
46	Better cycleways			
47	Libraries. Important in helping children and adults learn			
48	The total lack of support the schools receive for children with additional education needs is disgraceful and it should be substantially improved	al		
49	Services that support families		-	
50	Maintaining roads.			
51	Disabled children		-	
52	Libraries - help my children to be adventurous readers			
53	Waste disposal and road maintenance		-	
54	Libraries		-	
55	Learning centres		-	
56	Cycle routes.			
57	Waste recycling centre			
58	Children's services and education			
59	Waste disposal and road/cycleway maintenance are critical. Education is also criti but seems to be out of council control - teachers need to be paid much more and much more highly valued.			
60	Our cycle paths are in desperate need of maintenance (and building!) Fromm Haslingfield to Cambridge, no street lighting or cycle paths until out of village. If yo want people to cycle (improving health and congestion) and to link to GCCP then should be a priority.		-	
61	Most of the above services even though I don't use them at the moment but I feel they are important			
62	Waste disposal, roads,			
63	Adult Social Care services which my parents access.			
64	Roads but not cycle ways which add little value for the County transport movemer as a whole and are only of benefit to a minority of residents.	nts		
65	Items look listed in q11		-	
66	I'm interested in keeping the county clean whilst making sure that everything that i done is with regard to the environment and wildlife that is under huge pressure du to the overpopulation of this country and in fact the world.			
67	Roads & cycleways, waste management and emergency services.			
68	Well maintained roads			
69	ALL social care for children, adults, people with disabilities and older people.			

			oonse cent	Response Total
70	Road network for cars and cycles including city centre road network			
71	Children's centres, breastfeeding support, support for schools			
72	cycle path and walking/cycling networks			
73	Road maintenance and public transport, health education and support			
74	Adult services and highways.			
75	Highways. Not cycleways. Waste management			
76	Refuse collection			
77	Cycle ways for a healthy lifestyle, and work with young people.			
78	Too many to list			
79	cycle ways. They encourage greener lifestyles			
80	Archives and culture - should be looked after locally			
81	Libraries			
82	street lights			
83	I would like to see road markings made clearer thus reducing costs to NHS and insurance companies too			
84	Refuse services because they are so efficient & recycling is so easy			
85	Library services			
86	Cycle routes			
87	Baby groups			
88	Libraries			
89	Waste disposal and ongoing road maintenance			
90	As a driver more money spent on safer roads would be a must.			
91	Waste collection as it is better than delivering waste to a central point			
92	I valued the street lights before they were replaced and then switched off.			
93	Roads as have a long commute and travel to see family often. Waste collections a vital to public health and the environment	are		
94	Libraries, swimming pools, and cycle paths and bicycle parking			
95	The transport services and infrastructure are things upon which the entire commu depends for its economic wellbeing.	nity		
96				
97	roads			
98	Local infrastructure, so: roads, general upkeep of the area, modernisation			
99	Refuse, environment and roads. A far better public transport system would be advantageous			
100	Waste management			
101	Roads and waste management. I cycle, so safer cycle paths are important to me. also want to see safe playgrounds available for my child.	I		

		esponse Percent	Response Total
102	Safety individuals and roads		
103			
104	childrens centres		
105	Of value to me personally, maintenance of the roads is the most valued. However support for the elderly, disabled, and homeless is something that I care deeply about I also value libraries highly.	 t.	
106			
107	Bin collections, road repairs, town redevelopment (better shops)		
108	It would be nice to have a street lighting in the dead of night. What exactly am I getting for $\pounds 160$ per month. Precious little. This country is a disgrace.		
109	Recycling		
110	cycle way / road management		
111	Social care		
112	All		
113	recycling, cycle-ways maintenance and development		
114	Caring for our elderly, disabled and vulnerable		
115	Please build a cycle path between Great Paxton and St. Neots! Please provide regular a bus service from Great Paxton!		
116	Physical infrastructure such as roads and cycle ways		
117	Disabled adult services		
118	Encouraging reducing waste and energy use		
119	Cycle ways		
120	Waste disposal.		
121	Waste disposal		
122	All childrens and adult care services		
123	Care, waste management, help with disability		
124	maintenance of roads and drains		
125	all are important		
126	Waste disposal is excellent		
127	Education, education, and education. Highways.		
128	trying to mantain good roads, including rapid repairs when necessary		

13. Which district do you live in?									
	Response Perce	nse Response nt Total							
1 Cambridge City	18.37	% 36							

13.	13. Which district do you live in?													
								Response Percent	Response Total					
2	East	Cambridges	shire					9.69%	19					
3	Fenla	nd						14.80%	29					
4	Hunti	ngdonshire						37.24%	73					
5	South	Cambridge	eshire					19.90%	39					
An	Analysis Mean: 3.31 Std. Deviation				n: 1.38	Satisfaction Rate:	57.65	answered	196					
	Variance: 1.91 Std. Error:							skipped	5					

14.	14. What is your gender?													
									Response Percent	Response Total				
1	Male								39.30%	79				
2	Fema	ale							54.73%	110				
3	Othe	r							1.49%	3				
4	4 Prefer not to say								4.48%	9				
Ana	Analysis Mean: 1.71 Std. Deviation					71	Satisfaction Rate:	23.71	answered	201				
		Variance:	0.5	Std. Error:	0.	05			skipped	0				

15.	15. What age band do you fall in?													
								Response Percent	Response Total					
1	Und	er 18						0.00%	0					
2	18-2	4						1.49%	3					
3	25-3	4						14.43%	29					
4	35-4	4						20.90%	42					
5	45-5	4						28.86%	58					
6	55-6	4						22.89%	46					
7	65-7	4						10.45%	21					
8	75 o	r over						1.00%	2					
Ana	lysis	Mean:	4.93	Std. Deviation	n: 1.28	Satisfaction Rate:	56.08	answered	201					
		Variance:	1.65	Std. Error:	0.09			skipped	0					

	16. Do you have any long-standing illness, disability, or infirmity that limits your activities in any way?													
		Response Percent	Response Total											
1	Yes							12.44%	25					
2	No							81.09%	163					
3	Prefe	er not to sa	у					6.47%	13					
Ana	Analysis Mean: 1.94 Std. Deviation				0.43	Satisfaction Rate:	47.01	answered	201					
Variance: 0.19 Std. Error:								skipped	0					

									Response Percent	Response Total
1	British	ı							85.57%	172
2	Irish								0.50%	1
3	Gyps	/ & Travelle	er						0.00%	0
4	Easte	rn Europea	ın						0.00%	0
5	Other								3.48%	7
6	Africa	n							0.00%	0
7	Carib	bean							0.00%	0
8	Other								0.00%	0
9	White	and Black	African						0.00%	0
10	White	and Black	Caribbe	ean					0.00%	0
11	White	and Asian							0.00%	0
12	Other								0.50%	1
13	Indiar	ı							0.50%	1
14	Pakis	tani							0.00%	0
15	Bangl	adeshi							0.00%	0
16	Chine	se							0.00%	0
17	Other							0.50%	1	
18	Any o	Group						1.00%	2	
19	Prefe	r not to say							7.96%	16
An	alysis	Mean:	4.34	Std. Deviati	ion:	6.46	Satisfaction Rate:	14.51	answered	201
		Variance:	41.77	Std. Error:		0.46			skipped	0

18	18. What is your working status?													
										Response Percent	Response Total			
1		yee: Part-tii per week)	me (30	) or fewer						14.50%	29			
2		yee: Full-tin per week)	ne (31	or more						50.50%	101			
3		nployed: Pa nours per w		e (30 or						5.00%	10			
4		nployed: Fu per week)	ull-time	e (31 or mo	re	I				3.50%	7			
5	Unemp	oloyed and	availa	ble for work						0.50%	1			
6	Retired	ł								15.50%	31			
7	Studer	nt (including	g full-ti	me student	s)					1.00%	2			
8	Lookin	g after hom	ne or fa	amily						3.00%	6			
9	Long-t	erm sick or	disabl	ed						3.00%	6			
10	Other	(please spe	ecify):							3.50%	7			
Ar	Analysis Mean: 3.33 Std. Deviatio					2.48	Satisfaction Rate:	25.89		answered	200			
		Variance:	6.13	Std. Error:		0.18				skipped	1			
Oth	er (plea	se specify):	: (7)											

19.	19. Including yourself how many people (adults and children) live in the household?													
								Response Percent	Response Total					
1	1							13.93%	28					
2	2							38.81%	78					
3	3							20.40%	41					
4	4							19.90%	40					
5	5							5.97%	12					
6	6				I			1.00%	2					
7	7							0.00%	0					
8	8							0.00%	0					
9	9							0.00%	0					
10	10 c	or more						0.00%	0					
Ana	lysis	Mean:	2.68	Std. Deviation	: 1.17	Satisfaction Rate:	18.68	answered	201					
		Variance:	1.37	Std. Error:	0.08			skipped	0					

### 12. About You (cont)

20. Are there any children, under 16 years old living in the household?											
										Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes									43.35%	75
2	No									56.07%	97
3	Prefe	r not to say	/		I					0.58%	1
An	alysis	Mean:	1.57	Std. Deviatio	n: (	0.51	Satisfaction Rate:	: 2	28.61	answered	173
		Variance:	0.26	Std. Error:	C	0.04				skipped	28

#### 13. About You (cont)

21. Are you a carer? By carer we mean, do you look after, or give any help or support to family members, friends, neighbours or others because of either (1) they have long-term physical or mental ill-health or disability or (2) they have problems related to old age? [Additional notes: This is an unpaid carer, but they can be seeking carer benefits. They don't need to live in the same household.]

							F	Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes							17.91%	36
2	No							79.10%	159
3	Prefer not to	say						2.99%	6
Analys	is Mean:	1.85	Std. Deviation:	0.43	Satisfaction Rate:	42.54		answered	201
	Variance:	0.19	Std. Error:	0.03				skipped	0

22. The County Council would like to offer you the opportunity to remain in touch by email and from time to time and send you links so you can take part in further consultation surveys.Would you like to participate?

							Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes						40.00%	80
2	No						55.50%	111
3	Don't know						4.50%	9
Analys	is Mean:	1.64	Std. Deviation:	0.56	Satisfaction Rate:	32.25	answered	200
	Variance:	0.32	Std. Error:	0.04			skipped	1



Business Plan Consultation: 2016 Public Survey

**Cambridgeshire County Council** 

Final Report October 2016



# **Contents Page**

Project details
Executive Summary4
Introduction5
Results
Awareness and Priorities7
Valued Services
Potential Changes to Council Tax11
Reasons for choosing each option16
Conclusions
Appendix A: Respondent Profile
Appendix B: Questionnaire
Appendix C: About CACI ACORN
Appendix D: Subgroup analysis for priority areas



# **Project details**

Title	Business Plan Consultation: 2016 Public Survey
Client	Cambridgeshire County Council
Project number	16115
Author	Kate Green
Research Manager	Kate Green

#### M·E·L Research

2nd Floor, 1 Ashted Lock, Birmingham Science Park Aston, Birmingham. B7 4AZ

Email: info@melresearch.co.uk

Web: www.melresearch.co.uk

Tel: 0121 604 4664





## **Executive Summary**

Cambridgeshire County Council commissioned M·E·L Research to undertake a public survey to better understand residents views on council priorities and a proposed increase to council tax. In total 1,327 residents participated in a face-to face interview during the month of September 2016.

### **Awareness and Priorities**

- 44% were aware of the financial challenges facing the County Council
- 72% of respondents under 35 were unaware of the financial challenges
- 53% were worried about the financial challenges facing the Council
- Respondents over 35 were more likely (58%) to be worried than young people (18-34) (38%)
- All outcome priority areas for the council were rated highly, in order of importance (out of 10):
  - 8.84—Children reaching their full potential
  - 8.55—People with disabilities live well independently
  - 8.37—People at risk of harm are kept safe
  - 8.20—The road network is safely maintained
  - 8.06—Older people live independently
  - 7.86—The Cambridgeshire economy prospers to the benefit of all residents
  - 7.86—People live in strong, supportive communities
  - 7.75—People lead a healthy lifestyle and stay healthy longer

### Valued Services

- 33% of respondents use libraries regularly, this was the most popular service used from those listed
- 47% did not use any of the services listed
- 56% 'particularly valued' a County Council service.
- 49% who valued a service, said they valued recycling and/or waste services (unprompted)
- 27% who valued a service, said they valued roads (unprompted)

## **Potential Changes to Council Tax**

- Respondents chose from 4 options
  - 34% support no change in council tax (Option 1)
  - 25% support a 2% increase for the Adult Social Care Precept (ASCP) (Option 2)
  - 18% support a 1.99% general increase (Option 3)
  - 23% support a 3.99 increase (includes 2% ASCP and 1.99% general increase) (Option 4)
- Those who were aware of the financial challenges facing the Council were more likely (72%) to support an increase in council tax than those who were not aware (61%)
- Respondents who use council's services were more likely to support an increase in council tax (69%) than non-service users (62%)
- Working age respondents and those who live in more affluent areas (using ACORN profile, see Appendix C for details) tend to support Option 4 more than other groups



## Introduction

## Background

Cambridgeshire County Council, like all councils, faces the major challenge of shrinking budgets along with rising costs and increased demand on services. This means that the Council has to do a lot more with less money. To better understand residents views on levels of council tax and to inform the Council's transformation plans, Cambridgeshire County Council commissioned M·E·L Research to undertake a public survey on their behalf. The main aim of this research was to understand residents informed preference for their council tax; pro or against an increase. Residents were provided with context around and reasons for a potential increase and asked to choose between four options that best aligned with their preference.

# Methods

### **Design and Sampling**

A 10-minute, face to face (doorstep) survey was administered by trained interviewers via a computerassisted personal interview (tablet computer) to a broad cross-section of residents during the month of September 2016. In total, 1,327 residents responded to the survey. A full respondent profile is available in **Appendix A**. A copy of the paper survey is located in **Appendix B**.

A sample of starting addresses was drawn randomly from the Postal Address File and was stratified by ward. From each starting postcode, interviewers aimed to achieve approximately 6 interviews. This varies slightly (between 3 and 8 interviews) to align with the population of the ward and most wards had more than one starting postcode. In addition to achieving the desired number of interviews by ward, quotas were set for age, gender, ethnicity, and working status. Interviewers were sent to urban and rural areas to reflect the same split as the county.

### Analysis

The adult population (18+) of Cambridgeshire is nearly 500,000; a sample size of 1,327 yields a 95% confidence interval of 2.7 for a response of 50%. This means that when a result is 50%, we can be 95% confident that the true result lies between 47.3% and 52.7%. Data were analysed using SNAP Professional v11 and IBM SPSS V24. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for all of the main questions. Cross-tabulations were calculated by key variables including district, age, ethnicity, gender, working status and if there were children in the household to represent the demography of the county. Average scores were computed for survey items with a 0 to 10 scale (Question 4).



A powerful segmentation tool from CACI called ACORN has been utilised in the analysis and is referenced throughout this report. A detailed explanation of ACORN can be found in **Appendix C**.

Differences in proportions were compared using z-tests and statistically significant results (at the 5% level) are indicated in the text. Where average scores were computed, differences across subgroups were tested for significance using unpaired t-tests and F-tests (ANOVA), where appropriate. Statistical significance means that a result is unlikely due to chance (i.e. It is a real difference in the population).

### Reporting

Owing to the rounding of numbers, percentages displayed visually on graphs in the report may not always add up to 100% and may differ slightly when compared with the text. The figures provided in the text should always be used. For some questions, respondents could give more than one response (multi choice). For these questions, the percentage for each response is calculated as a percentage of the total number of respondents and therefore percentages do not add up to 100%.

The main body of this report presents the key findings including subgroup analysis of the key sections of the survey. The results do not appear in the order of the questionnaire.



## Results

## **Awareness and Priorities**

Cambridgeshire County Council sought to gather insight into the level of awareness about the financial challenges the County faces (i.e. the need to save £23 million in the next year and £86 million in the next 5 years). More than half (56%) of respondents said they were unaware of the financial challenges facing the Council (Figure 1).

Young people (35 and under) were the least aware (72% unaware) compared to those aged 35-44 (58% unaware) and people over 45 (46% unaware). Respondents from the Affluent Achievers ACORN group were the most aware (54%) compared to all the other groups (42%).



Figure 1: Awareness of financial challenges of the Council

The Council also wanted to understand how respondents felt about the financial challenges and just over half (53%) said that they were worried (Figure 2). Respondents over 35 were more likely to be worried (58%) than younger people (38%). Women were also more likely (56%) to be worried than men (49%). Worrying and awareness tended to overlap. Nearly seven in ten (68%) respondents who were aware of the challenges prior to the interview were also worried, compared to just four in ten (40%) who were unaware and also worried.

Percentage of respondents – base size 1312

#### Figure 2: Feelings about continuing financial challenges of Council

Percentage of respondents – base size 1210



## **Valued Services**

The Council aims to achieve specific outcomes that ensure the wellbeing and safety of their residents; these outcomes overlap with key service areas. Respondents were asked to indicate the importance of each from 0 to 10, where 10 is very important. Average scores were calculated for each outcome and are shown in order of importance (Figure 3). Generally, respondents rated each area as high in importance with scores ranging from 7.75 to 8.84. Helping children to reach their full potential was rated as the most important with an average score of 8.84 out of 10.

#### Figure 3: Average Score for importance

Percentage of respondents - base size 1294

search

Children are helped to reach their full potential People with disabilities live well independently People at risk of harm are kept safe The road network is safely maintained Older people live independently The Cambridgeshire economy prospers to the... People live in strong, supportive communities People lead a healthy lifestyle and stay healthy...



A subgroup analysis was undertaken to better understand how different groups place importance on each of these key areas (**Appendix D**). Average scores were highest for 'Children are helped to reach their full potential' for all groups except older people, whose highest score was for 'Older people live independently'. 'People with disabilities live well independently' received the second highest average score across all subgroups.

'People lead a healthy lifestyle and stay healthy longer' received the lowest average score (eighth place ranking) for all groups, except for older people (65+) and the Rising Prosperity ACORN group where average scores were ranked sixth.

### **Experience of County Council Services**

Respondents were given a specific list of County Council services and asked which (if any) they use regularly. It should be noted that general County Council work carried out on behalf of the whole community such as road maintenance was not included in the list. The most popular services from the list were libraries (33%) followed by subsidised transport (17%) (Figure 4). Just under half (47%) of respondents said that they don't use any of the services regularly.

#### Figure 4: Council services used regularly (multiple response)

Percentage of respondents – base size 1327





Respondents were asked to keep in mind that in addition to services listed above, the Council also maintains the County's roads and cycle-ways, manages the disposal of waste and develops the County's economy. They were then asked if there was any part of the County Council's Services that they particularly valued and more than half (56%) said yes (Figure 5). The most popular services that respondents valued, and by a large margin, were waste and recycling services (49%); roads were also valued by over one quarter (27%) of respondents (Figure 6). Over one in ten (13%) said that they valued 'all services'.

#### Figure 5: Valued services

Percentage of respondents – base size 1193



Figure 6: Part of the County Council that services that are particularly valued (open ended, multiple response)

Percentage of respondents – base size 669





# **Potential Changes to Council Tax**

Respondents were told about four options for a change in council tax in Cambridgeshire, including an option for no change to the current council tax rates (Option 1). Respondents were also given a card so they could read the information for themselves (Table 1). This included an option (Option 2) for an increase that is already included in the Council's current business plan that would increase council tax by 2%, called the Adult Social Care Precept (ASCP). The ASCP is an amount the Council is allowed to increase council tax by specifically to pay for care for adults, particularly the elderly.

It was also explained to respondents that any increase applies only to the County Council's part of Council tax (i.e. other parts of council tax also go to pay for police, fire, parish and district council services).

Option 1	Not increasing council tax. This would mean <u>not</u> raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%. An average band D property would not have to pay the 45p per week currently planned (£23.34 a year) <u>but</u> the County Council would have to find an additional £5.13 million of savings from Adult Social Care in order to balance the budget.
Option 2	Only raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%. An average band D property would pay an extra 45p per week (£23.34 a year) and the resulting £5.13 million already included in our plans would just be spent on Adult Social Care.
Option 3	Only having a general increase in council tax of 1.99% instead of the Adult Social Care Precept. An average band D property would pay an extra 45p per week (£23.22 a year). The County Council would have to find at least an extra £200,000 from Adult Social Care in savings to balance our budget, however it means the £5.11m raised can be spent <u>on all services</u> rather than only ring fenced and currently planned to Adult Social Care.
Option 4	Raising both the Adult Social Care Precept and having a general increase council tax. A totalincrease of 3.99%An average band D property would pay an extra 90p per week (£46.56 a year).This would mean that the £5.13 million currently planned would be spent on Adult Social Care anda further £5.11 million would be available to be spent on other services.

#### Table 1: Council Tax Options with descriptions (taken from survey Showcard provided to resident)

The majority of respondents (66%) were in favour of an increase of some sort and Option 2 was supported by slightly more residents (25%) than Option 4 (23%) (Figure 7). Option 3, a general increase of 1.99% had the least support (18%).

The remainder of respondents (34%) were in support of no increase (Option 1) in Council Tax. Although most respondents (98%) provided a response, a small number (33 respondents) said that they would need more information to make a decision.



#### Figure 7: Preference of Council Tax increase

Percentage of respondents – base size 1294



The majority of respondents across all districts were in support of an increase in council tax, with the exception of East Cambridgeshire where only half (51%) supported an increase to tax (Figure 8). East Cambridgeshire had the highest proportion of respondents (61%) in the Comfortable Communities ACORN group, which may have contributed to this result.

Option 1 was the most frequently selected option in all districts, except Cambridge City (29%), where slightly more respondents preferred Option 2 (33%). The profile for Cambridge City respondents was younger than in any other district which likely contributed to this result. Out of all districts, Huntingdonshire favoured Option 4 the most.



Percentage of respondents - base size indicated in graph



A full subgroup analysis was undertaken to better understand the preferences of different groups. Group differences that were statistically significant are shown in Table 2.

Working aged people (35-64) were more likely (27%) to select Option 4 than younger or older people (both 19%). More residents in the Affluent Achiever ACORN group preferred Option 4 (30%) to Option 1 (27%), although this difference is not significant. Differences in the lower three ACORN groups were significant, with respondents preferring Option 1 over Options 2-4. The majority of non-white respondents (59%) prefer Option 1 and less than one in ten (7%) preferred Option 4.

Sub-group (N)	Supports No Increase (Option 1)	Supports Increase (Options 2-4)	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4
Age					
18-34 (375)	37%	64%	23%	22%	19%
35-64 (640)	32%	68%	25%	16%	27%
65+ (260)	37%	63%	29%	16%	19%
ACORN					
Affluent Achiever (304)	26%	74%	27%	17%	30%
Rising Prosperity (179)	34%	66%	28%	18%	20%
Comfortable Communities (440)	36%	64%	23%	18%	23%
Financially Stretched (210)	36%	64%	23%	20%	21%
Urban Adversity (139)	42%	58%	30%	14%	14%
Ethnicity					
White (1198)	32%	68%	26%	19%	24%
All other groups (85)	59%	41%	25%	9%	7%

Table 2: Option preference by demographics (group differences that are statistically significant)

Differences in gender, caring responsibilities, tax reduction status, working status, and whether or not children live in the home were not significant (Table 3). A higher proportion (73%) of respondents with caring responsibilities supported an increase in council tax than non-carers (65%) although this is not significant likely due to the small base size. Respondents who receive a reduction in their council tax were slightly more likely (38%) to support no increase than those who pay full price (32%), but the difference is not statistically significant.



Table 3: Option preference by demographics (group differences that are not statistically significant)

Sub-group (N)	Supports No Increase (Option 1)	Supports Increase (Options 2-4)	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4
Gender					
Female (647)	33%	67%	26%	19%	22%
Male (646)	35%	65%	25%	16%	23%
Working Status					
Working (777)	33%	67%	24%	19%	24%
Retired (303)	36%	64%	29%	14%	21%
Not working (214)	36%	64%	26%	18%	20%
Caring responsibilities					
Carer (123)	27%	73%	29%	19%	25%
Non-carer (1169)	35%	65%	25%	18%	22%
Children in household					
Children (448)	35%	65%	23%	21%	21%
No children (846)	34%	66%	27%	16%	24%
Tax Reduction Recipient					
Receive tax reduction (274)	38%	62%	26%	16%	19%
No tax reduction (882)	32%	68%	25%	19%	24%

Respondents who were aware of the financial challenges facing the County Council were more likely (72%) to support an increase in council tax compared to those who were unaware (61%) (Figure 9). Respondents who said they were aware, were split between Option 1 (28%) and Option 4 (29%); compared to 39% and 18%, respectively for those who were not aware of the financial challenges before they participated in the interview.

Results were similar for those who were worried about the financial challenges (Figure 9). Respondents who were worried about the challenges were more likely (72%) to support an increase in council tax than those who were not worried (62%).



Measurement Evaluation Learning: Using evidence to shape better services

#### Figure 9: Option preference by awareness and worry of financial challenge

Percentage of respondents - base size indicated in graph



Respondents who regularly use council services were more likely (69%) to support an increase in tax than regular service users (62%).

#### Figure 10: Option preference by use of council services

Percentage of respondents - base size indicated in graph



All respondents were asked if they would increase Council Tax by more than 3.99% if there were no restrictions on the size of the increase and approximately one in twenty (6%) said they would (Figure 11). We also examined this for those who selected Option 4 in the previous question and 24% said they would increase tax by more than 3.99%.



#### Figure 11: Increasing Council Tax by more than 3.99%

Percentage of respondents – base size 1327



Where a respondent was in favour of an increase of more than 3.99%, they were asked what percentage they would raise tax by and responses (71 in total) ranged from 4% to 10%, with 5% (46 responses) the most common response.

## **Reasons for choosing each option**

After selecting their preferred option, residents were asked their reasons. There were a few common themes throughout and these are shown in Table 4. The majority of respondents (82%) who gave a reason for selecting Option 1, said that tax is too high already or they could not afford any increase. It is important to note that not everyone gave a reason and 40% of all those who selected Option 1 did not indicate their reason. For Options 2-4, respondents tended to comment on what was more important to them—either money spent on adult social care or money spent on all services. Illustrative quotes are shown in Table 5.

#### Table 4: Most popular reasons given for choosing each Option

Option 1	Tax is too high already / cannot afford increase (217 comments)	Council should find <b>efficiencies</b> instead (32 comments)	
Option 2	Adult social care is important / needs money (203 comments)	2% not too much / can afford the increase (25 comments)	
Option 3	Money used on all services (106 comments)	1.99% not too much / can afford the increase (25 comments)	Seems the <b>most fair</b> (21 comments)
Option 4	Money used on all services (167 comments)	3.99% not too much / can afford the increase (58 comments)	Adult social care is important (15 comments)



#### Table 5: Illustrative quotes for choosing each option

Option 1	"The council tax is already expensive for families trying to balance their finances which are already a struggle for most. We find it difficult meeting all our bills every month." "I don't want to pay anything extra, already we are paying too much. They should spend more wisely and planning."
Option 2	"Because I know the social care for adults have cut down drastically and its extra pressure on hospital and GPs. I think they really need help."
Option 3	"Help for the adult social care is very important but <b>providing for all services is</b> <b>better.</b> "
Option 4	"We could afford it. We need to increase levels of care and can only do this with more money" "The funds would go to help adult social care significantly but will also benefit other services too"



## **Conclusions**

This research engaged with over 1,300 residents in Cambridgeshire to seek their views on priorities for the County Council and informed preference for a potential change in council tax. Before directly asking what residents thought, we explained the Councils current situation so that everyone was making a decision with a general level of knowledge about the current financial challenges. We learned that less than half (44%) of residents were already aware of the financial challenges and more than half (53%) were worried about them. Many of the comments provided indicate that residents appreciate the need for the Council to look after residents and perhaps a potential reduction in services for either themselves or their families was worrisome.

Residents were also asked to rate the importance of eight key outcomes that the Council aims to achieve and helping 'children to reach their full potential' was rated the most important followed closely by helping 'people with disabilities live well independently'. All outcomes were rated highly in general, but the top two reflect that protecting vulnerable people, including children, as the highest priority. Children's social care, children's centres and schools were mentioned relatively fewer times in the comments section compared to adult social care, but this may reflect the attention on adult social care (e.g. adult social care precept) and the public's increased knowledge of the pressures on the Council and NHS because of an aging population.

Residents were asked directly what, if any, services that the Council provides that they particularly value and recycling and waste was listed by nearly half (49%) of those that said that they value services. This was an open text box, although examples were given and likely prompted residents to think of these areas first.

In addition to giving their views on County Council services, residents were provided with four options for a potential change to their council tax rate and asked to select their preferred option. Residents were provided with some context and implications to help make an informed decision. They were also provided with an example of what an increase would be for the 'average Band D' property (e.g. 2% would be 45p per week); they were not provided with the exact figures for their own property band or other property bands.

Two thirds (66%) of residents were in favour of an increase (Options 2-4), but the amount they were comfortable with and where they wanted it spent varied. Slightly more residents were in favour of raising tax by 2% for the adult social care precept (ASCP) (Option 2). A similar portion of residents (23%) were in support of a 3.99% increase that includes the ASCP and a 1.99% general increase. The comments reflect that many residents considered both their personal circumstances (e.g. what they can afford) and the importance of services for the community.



Residents in favour of Option 4 tended to be from more affluent areas, perhaps reflecting that a greater percentage increase would be more welcome and affordable for people who live in more expensive areas.

One third (34%) of residents were in support of no increase to their council tax (Option 1) and the majority of the comments given were financial in nature—either they were paying too much already or that they could not afford any increase. Residents who were in support of no increase tended to be from less affluent backgrounds; 42% of residents in the Urban Adversity ACORN group (who tend to be from the most deprived and poorest backgrounds) were in support of no increase.

Any increase to council tax should consider those in the most deprived areas to ensure the increase is affordable. As mentioned earlier, residents were given an example of a Band D property and it is possible that they considered the implication of a 45p or 90p weekly increase, instead of a smaller amount that would correspond to a lower band. This research does not directly assess the financial implications on residents. However, comments from a small portion of residents who selected Option 1 suggested an increase would be unaffordable.





- **Appendix A: Respondent Profile**
- **Appendix B: Questionnaire**
- Appendix C: About CACI ACORN

Appendix D: Subgroup analysis for Priority Areas (Question 4)



# **Appendix A: Respondent Profile**

Sub-group	No.	%
Age		
18-24	154	12
25-34	231	17
35-44	243	18
45-54	233	18
55-64	182	14
65-84	262	20
85+	21	2
Gender		
female	662	50
male	664	50
Ethnicity		
white British	1101	83
white British other white	1101 127	83 10
other white	127	10
other white all other groups	127	10
other white all other groups Working Status	127 83	10 7
other white all other groups Working Status employed	127 83 799	10 7 60
other white all other groups Working Status employed retired	127 83 799 307	10 7 60 23
other white all other groups Working Status employed retired student looking after	127 83 799 307 59	10 7 60 23 4

Sub-group	No.	%
Long-standing illne that limits activity		or infirmity
yes	218	16
no	1106	83
Carer		
yes	123	9
no	1201	91
Number of people	in household	
one	203	15
two	466	35
three	264	20
four or more	394	30
Children < 16 in ho	usehold	
yes	462	35
no	864	65
ACORN		
Affluent Achiever	313	24
Rising Prosperity	183	14
Comfortable Communities	452	35
Financially Stretched	215	16
Urban Adversity	142	11



# **Appendix B: Questionnaire**

	Cambridgeshire Bu	dg	et	Co	ons	sul	tat	io	<b>n</b> -	16	511	5	
	o, my name is and I work for MEL Re ducting a survey on behalf of Cambridge							sear	ch c	omp	bany	. I ar	n
	Council is seeking resident views to hel I of council tax as well as making saving		em p	olan	the b	oudge	et ne	ext ye	ear,	inclu	uding	g setti	ing th
The The	information you provide will be kept con survey should take about 10 minutes, a	ifide re y	ntial ou h	and	not to c	be lir ontir	nked nue?	to y	ouri	nam	ie or	addr	ess.
Sec	tion 1												
Q1	First can I just check that you are 18 or o	/or?											
Q.	Yes				la (Th	ank u		d ala					
	100			0	w (10	aun y	JU AI		JU				··· 🖵 '
	following 5 years. This is in addition to the [Optional SHOWCARD 0, for those inte Before today, how aware were you of the (i.e. the amount they need to save) SHOV	rest leve	edir loff	n cur inana	rent	brea	kdov	vn of	Cou	unci	l spe	nding	
	Very aware		1	N	lot at	all aw	are						
	Somewhat aware		2										=
	Not very aware		3										
Q3	How do you feel about the continuing fina SHOWCARD 2	ncia	l cha	illeng	es fa	ced t	by the	э Со	unty	Cou	ncil?		
	Very worried		1	N	lot at	all wo	rried						
	Somewhat worried		2	U	Insure	/ Do	n't kn	ow					
	Not very worried		3										
		impy											
Q4	On a scale of 0 to 10, with 10 being 'very do you think each of the following outcom achieve? SHOWCARD 3		re th									9 10	) know
Q4	do you think each of the following outcom achieve? SHOWCARD 3		re th	2	3	4	5	6	, <mark>7</mark>	, <b>8</b>	3 (	- -	
Q4	do you think each of the following outcom achieve? SHOWCARD 3 Older people live independently	o 0				4	5	6	7				
Q4	do you think each of the following outcom achieve? SHOWCARD 3 Older people live independently People with disabilities live well independently	o 0				4	5	6   [	7 ] [ ] [ ] [				
Q4	do you think each of the following outcom achieve? SHOWCARD 3 Older people live independently People with disabilities live well independently People live in strong, supportive communities	o 0				4	5		7 ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [				
Q4	do you think each of the following outcom achieve? SHOWCARD 3 Older people live independently People with disabilities live well independently People live in strong, supportive communities The road network is safely maintained Children are helped to reach their full	o 0				4	5		7 ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [				
Q4	do you think each of the following outcom achieve? SHOWCARD 3 Older people live independently People with disabilities live well independently People live in strong, supportive communities The road network is safely maintained Children are helped to reach their full potential	o 0				4	5		7 ] [ ] [ ] [ ] ] [ ] [ ] ] [ ] [ ] ] [ ] [ ] ] [ ] [ ] [ ] ] [				
Q4	do you think each of the following outcom achieve? SHOWCARD 3 Older people live independently People with disabilities live well independently People live in strong, supportive communities The road network is safely maintained Children are helped to reach their full potential People at risk of harm are kept safe The Cambridgeshire economy prospers to	o 0							7 ] [ ] [ ] [ ] ] [ ] [ ] ] [ ] [ ] ] [				
Q4	do you think each of the following outcom achieve? SHOWCARD 3 Older people live independently People with disabilities live well independently People live in strong, supportive communities The road network is safely maintained Children are helped to reach their full potential People at risk of harm are kept safe	o 0							7 ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] ] [ ] [ ] ] [ ] [ ] [ ] ] [ ]				



	Do you or does someone in your household pay council tax? (If council tax is included in your rent, tick YES)
	Yes (Go to Q6)
	No (Go to Q7)
Q6	Do you receive a reduction in Council Tax due to household circumstances?
	Yes1 Don't know
	No
Que	stion 7
care The	<u>I Care Precept</u> , this is what the Council is allowed to increase tax by and it goes to pay for doubted and the elderly. There are applies to the Councils portion of your tax only (other parts of tax go to police, fire, h and district councils.
tax) Opti from Opti	D OUT: Just so you know, a 2% increase means the average household (Band D council vill pay an extra 45p a week or £23.34 per year. To quickly summarise the 4 OPTIONS: n 1 is no increase in Council Tax. The Council would need to find over £5 million in savings the planned Adult Social Care budget. n 2 is the 2% planned increase and would all go to Adult Social Care.
tax) Opti from Opti Opti excl Opti	rill pay an extra 45p a week or £23.34 per year. To quickly summarise the 4 OPTIONS: n 1 is no increase in Council Tax. The Council would need to find over £5 million in savings the planned Adult Social Care budget.
tax) Opti from Opti excl Opti abov	rill pay an extra 45p a week or £23.34 per year. To quickly summarise the 4 OPTIONS: n 1 is no increase in Council Tax. The Council would need to find over £5 million in savings the planned Adult Social Care budget. n 2 is the 2% planned increase and would all go to Adult Social Care. n 3 is a general increase of 1.99% instead, and the money could be used across services (no sively for adult social care). n 4 is to raise both the Adult Social Care Precept and a general increase (option 2 and 3
tax) Opti from Opti excl Opti abov	rill pay an extra 45p a week or £23.34 per year. To quickly summarise the 4 OPTIONS: n 1 is no increase in Council Tax. The Council would need to find over £5 million in savings the planned Adult Social Care budget. n 2 is the 2% planned increase and would all go to Adult Social Care. n 3 is a general increase of 1.99% instead, and the money could be used across services (no sively for adult social care). n 4 is to raise both the Adult Social Care Precept and a general increase (option 2 and 3 e). A total increase of 3.99%.
tax) Opti from Opti excl Opti about Sup	<ul> <li>Ill pay an extra 45p a week or £23.34 per year. To quickly summarise the 4 OPTIONS:</li> <li>n 1 is no increase in Council Tax. The Council would need to find over £5 million in savings the planned Adult Social Care budget.</li> <li>n 2 is the 2% planned increase and would all go to Adult Social Care.</li> <li>n 3 is a general increase of 1.99% instead, and the money could be used across services (no sively for adult social care).</li> <li>n 4 is to raise both the Adult Social Care Precept and a general increase (option 2 and 3 e). A total increase of 3.99%.</li> <li>h of the following four options for the County Council's part of Council tax do you ort? SHOWCARD 4 (Allow resident to read showcard, assist them if needed)</li> </ul>
tax) Opti from Opti abov Whi sup Opti This An a (£23	<ul> <li>Ill pay an extra 45p a week or £23.34 per year. To quickly summarise the 4 OPTIONS:</li> <li>n 1 is no increase in Council Tax. The Council would need to find over £5 million in savings the planned Adult Social Care budget.</li> <li>n 2 is the 2% planned increase and would all go to Adult Social Care.</li> <li>n 3 is a general increase of 1.99% instead, and the money could be used across services (no sively for adult social care).</li> <li>n 4 is to raise both the Adult Social Care Precept and a general increase (option 2 and 3 e). A total increase of 3.99%.</li> <li>h of the following four options for the County Council's part of Council tax do you ort? SHOWCARD 4 (Allow resident to read showcard, assist them if needed)</li> <li>on 1: Not increasing council tax.</li> </ul>
tax) Opti from Opti excl Opti abov Whi sup Opti abov This Savi	<ul> <li>Ill pay an extra 45p a week or £23.34 per year. To quickly summarise the 4 OPTIONS:</li> <li>n 1 is no increase in Council Tax. The Council would need to find over £5 million in savings the planned Adult Social Care budget.</li> <li>n 2 is the 2% planned increase and would all go to Adult Social Care.</li> <li>n 3 is a general increase of 1.99% instead, and the money could be used across services (no sively for adult social care).</li> <li>n 4 is to raise both the Adult Social Care Precept and a general increase (option 2 and 3 e). A total increase of 3.99%.</li> <li>h of the following four options for the County Council's part of Council tax do you ort? SHOWCARD 4 (Allow resident to read showcard, assist them if needed)</li> <li>on 1: Not increasing council tax.</li> <li>would mean not raising the Adult Social Care Precept of 2%.</li> <li>verage band D property would not have to pay the 45p per week currently planned 34 a year) but the County Council would have to find an additional £5.13 million of</li> </ul>



$n_{11} =$	werage band D property would	pay an extra	45p per week (£23.22 a vear).
The Soci	County Council would have to al Care to balance our budget,	find at least a however it me	n extra £200,000 in savings from Adult eans the £5.11m raised can be spent on ently planned to Adult Social Care.
	ion 4: <u>Raising both</u> the Adu ease council tax. A total in		re Precept and having a general 99%
An a	werage band D property would	pay an extra	90p per week (£46.56 a year).
			planned would be spent on Adult Social le to be spent on other services.
Q7	INTERVIEWER TO CONFIRM WIT	H RESPONDE	NT (SHOWCARD 4)
	Option 1	1	Option 4
	Option 2	2	Don't know - DO NOT READ
	Option 3	s	
897. V			
Q9	If there were no restrictions on the more than 3.99%?	size of Council t	ax increase would you increase Council tax by
Q9		-	ax increase would you increase Council tax by Don't know (Go to Q11)
Q9	more than 3.99%?		
	more than 3.99%? Yes (Go to Q10) No (Go to Q11)	1	
	more than 3.99%? Yes (Go to Q10) No (Go to Q11) In total, including 3.99%, by how m (%) figure below.	uch would you i	Don't know (Go to Q11)
Q10	more than 3.99%? Yes (Go to Q10). No (Go to Q11) In total, including 3.99%, by how m (%) figure below. (As a guide, for each 1% an average week £11.67 a year)	uch would you i ge band D prope	Don't know (Go to Q11)
Q10	more than 3.99%? Yes (Go to Q10). No (Go to Q11) In total, including 3.99%, by how m (%) figure below. (As a guide, for each 1% an average	uch would you i ge band D prope	Don't know (Go to Q11)
	more than 3.99%? Yes (Go to Q10). No (Go to Q11) In total, including 3.99%, by how m (%) figure below. (As a guide, for each 1% an average week £11.67 a year)	uch would you i ge band D prope	Don't know (Go to Q11)
Q10	more than 3.99%? Yes (Go to Q10). No (Go to Q11) In total, including 3.99%, by how m (%) figure below. (As a guide, for each 1% an average week £11.67 a year)	uch would you i ge band D prope	Don't know (Go to Q11)



	regularly? SHOWO						1
						2.44 (* 0.50 <u>C.</u>	
	[1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2			00-11-Techtheren 11-12-1400 (11-1500			
					- 1 4		10
			Number of the second se		ght		
							10
							10
	14		70. 50		a-ride		10
	(i)		22 U.S.I				1
							1
	Other						
	If yes, please explain						
	AD OUT: In the n make sure we t	ext section			about you. Thi	s is to	
help	AD OUT: In the n	ext section alk to a rai	nge of reside ? This will not b	nts.		s is to	
013	AD OUT: In the n make sure we t Can I please take y INTERVIEWER TO	ext section alk to a rai our postcode WRITE REF	nge of reside ? This will not b USED WHERE #	nts.		s is to	
013	AD OUT: In the n make sure we t Can I please take y INTERVIEWER TO	ext section alk to a rai our postcode WRITE REF	nge of reside ? This will not b USED WHERE A	nts. e passed back to th APPLICABLE	e Council.		
013	AD OUT: In the n make sure we t Can I please take y INTERVIEWER TO And can I confirm th Cambridge City	ext section alk to a rai our postcode WRITE REF	nge of reside ? This will not b USED WHERE A 1 - READ OUT	nts. e passed back to th APPLICABLE Huntingdonshire	e Council.		
013	AD OUT: In the n make sure we t Can I please take y INTERVIEWER TO	ext section alk to a rai our postcode WRITE REF	nge of reside ? This will not b USED WHERE A 1- READ OUT	nts. e passed back to th APPLICABLE Huntingdonshire	e Council.		
013	AD OUT: In the n make sure we t Can I please take y INTERVIEWER TO And can I confirm th Cambridge City East Cambridgeshire	ext section alk to a rai our postcode WRITE REF	nge of reside ? This will not b USED WHERE 4 1- READ OUT 1 1 2 3	nts. e passed back to th APPLICABLE Huntingdonshire	e Council.		
013	AD OUT: In the n make sure we t Can I please take y INTERVIEWER TO And can I confirm th Cambridge City East Cambridgeshire Fenland	ext section alk to a rai our postcode WRITE REF	nge of reside ? This will not b USED WHERE 4 1- READ OUT 1 1 2 3	nts. e passed back to th APPLICABLE Huntingdonshire	e Council.		
help 013 014 015	AD OUT: In the n make sure we t Can I please take y INTERVIEWER TO And can I confirm th Cambridge City East Cambridgeshire Fenland	ext section alk to a rai our postcode WRITE REF	nge of reside ? This will not b USED WHERE A READ OUT	nts. e passed back to th APPLICABLE Huntingdonshire	e Council.		
help 013 014 015	AD OUT: In the n make sure we t Can I please take y INTERVIEWER TO And can I confirm th Cambridge City East Cambridgeshire Fenland	ext section alk to a rai our postcode WRITE REF	nge of reside ? This will not b USED WHERE A READ OUT	nts. e passed back to th APPLICABLE Huntingdonshire	e Council.		
help 013 014 015	AD OUT: In the n make sure we t Can I please take y INTERVIEWER TO And can I confirm th Cambridge City East Cambridge Sity Fenland How would you des Female What age band do 18-24	ext section alk to a rai our postcode WRITE REF hat you live in cribe your ge	nge of reside ? This will not b USED WHERE A READ OUT	nts. e passed back to th APPLICABLE Huntingdonshire South Cambridg	e Council.		
help 013 014 015	AD OUT: In the n make sure we t Can I please take y INTERVIEWER TO And can I confirm th Cambridge City East Cambridge Sity Fenland How would you des Female What age band do 18-24	ext section alk to a rai our postcode WRITE REF hat you live in cribe your ge	nge of reside ? This will not b USED WHERE # READ OUT	nts. e passed back to th APPLICABLE Huntingdonshire South Cambridg 	e Council.		
help 013 014 015	AD OUT: In the n make sure we t Can I please take y INTERVIEWER TO And can I confirm th Cambridge City East Cambridge Sity Fenland How would you des Female What age band do 18-24	ext section alk to a rai our postcode WRITE REF hat you live in e	nge of reside ? This will not b USED WHERE 4 1- READ OUT	nts. e passed back to th APPLICABLE Huntingdonshire South Cambridg	e Council.		



	Yes	П	1	Prefer not to say		1
	No	$\Box$	2			
Q18	How would you describe your ethnic group	? S	нои	/CARD 7		
	English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish /		01	Bangladeshi	ľ	1
	British		3. C	Chinese	F	7
	lrish	는	02	Any other Asian background	Ē	ī.
	Gypsy or Irish Traveller	$\square$	03	African	Ē	ī.
	Any other White background	H	04	Caribbean	Ē	Ŧ.
	White and Black Caribbean	Ц	05	Any other Black / African / Caribbean	E I	4
	White and Black African	Ц	06	background	L	-
	White and Asian		07	Arab	L	
	Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background		80	Any other ethnic group	[	
	Indian		09	Prefer not to say	[	
	Pakistani	$\square$	10			
	(IF Q18= 4, 8, 13, 16, or 18) Other, please expla	ain				
	Unemployed and available for work					
	Other, please explain					
Q20	Including yourself how many people (adult	_		ward to be a second		_
	1-One	H	01	6-Six	L	
	2-Two	H	02	7-Seven	E	1
	3-Three	H	03	8-Eight	L	1
	4-Four	H	04	9-Nine	L	
	5-Five	Ц	05	10 or more		1
Q21	(IF Q20 = two or more) Are there any chil	drei	n, un	der 16 years old living in the household?		
	Yes1 No	-				



	[Additional notes: This is live in the same househo		id carer, but th	ey can be	seeking ca	arer benefits. They do	n't need to
	Yes	-	No		[]2	Prefer not to say	
Q23	The County Council woul time to time and send you						d from
	Would you like to particip	ate?					
	Yes	1	No		]2	Don't know	
Q24	Just to let you know that my work. Are you happy to	for qualit for them	ty control purpo to do so?	oses, some	eone from	my office may call yo	u to verify
	These details are kept co any third party.	onfidentia	al and are not li	nked to yo	ur respon:	ses and will not be pa	issed on to
	Yes		1	No			2
Q25	Respondent Name Email Address						
Q25	NOG RECORD IN SECT	er to write	where they				
Q25	Email Address Telephone Number Full Address (Interview		where they	r your ti	me.		
Q25 Q26	Email Address Telephone Number Full Address (Interview			r your ti	me.		
	Email Address Telephone Number Full Address (Interview are)			r your ti	me.		
	Email Address Telephone Number Full Address (Interview are)			r your ti	me.		
Q26	Email Address Telephone Number Full Address (Interview are)			r your ti	ne.		
Q26	Email Address Telephone Number Full Address (Interview are)			r your ti	me.		
Q26	Email Address Telephone Number Full Address (Interview are)			r your ti	me.		
Q26	Email Address Telephone Number Full Address (Interview are)			r your ti	me.		
Q26	Email Address Telephone Number Full Address (Interview are)			r your ti	me.		



## **Appendix C: About CACI ACORN**

#### A Classification of Residential Neighbourhoods (Acorn) is a powerful segmentation tool from CACI.

#### What is Acorn?

Acorn is a powerful consumer classification that segments the UK population. By analysing demographic data, social factors, population and consumer behaviour, it provides precise information and an understanding of different types of people. Acorn provides valuable consumer insight helping you target, acquire and develop profitable customer relationships and improve service delivery.

Acorn segments postcodes and neighbourhoods into 6 Categories, 18 Groups and 62 types, three of which are not private households (see the reference table overleaf). By analysing significant social factors and population behaviour, it provides precise information and in-depth understanding of the different types of people.

#### What data goes into Acorn?

Acorn takes advantage of the new data environment created by the Public Data Group, Open Data and similar initiatives. CACI have followed the lead of the ONS Beyond 2011 project to investigate how to replace the census with alternative sources of information.



The advantage of this approach is the use of public registers and large private sector permissioned databases to build up

comprehensive data for households and families across the country. Data such as house type, housing tenure, family structure and age, have been the core of all geodemographic segmentations. Having this information for nearly every household provides a base for Acorn and Household Acorn.

Many of the inputs are government registers or data sets available as Open Data, through freedom of information, or purchased under licence. CACI has also made extensive use of data from the private sector, for example housing adverts placed on a number of online property portals.

Where useful information is not readily available CACI have compiled the data themselves.



Acorn Category	Acorn Group	Acorn Type
	1.A Lavish Lifestyles	1.A.1 Exclusive enclaves 1.A.2 Metropolitan money
		1.A.3 Large house luxury
	1.B Executive Wealth	1.B.4 Asset rich families
		1.B.5 Wealthy countryside commuters
1 Affluent Achievers		1.B.6 Financially comfortable families
T Annuent Achievers		1.B.7 Affluent professionals 1.B.8 Prosperous suburban families
		1.B.9 Well-off edge of towners
	1.C Mature Money	1.C.10 Better-off villagers
		1.C.11 Settled suburbia, older people 1.C.12 Retired and empty nesters
		1.C.13 Upmarket downsizers
	2.D City Sophisticates	2.D.14 Townhouse cosmopolitans
		2.D.15 Younger professionals in smaller flats
		2.D.16 Metropolitan professionals
2 Rising Prosperity		2.D.17 Socialising young renters
	2.E Career Climbers	2.E.18 Career driven young families
		2.E.19 First time buyers in small, modern homes
		2.E.20 Mixed metropolitan areas
	3.F Countryside Communities	3.F.21 Farms and cottages
		<ul><li>3.F.22 Larger families in rural areas</li><li>3.F.23 Owner occupiers in small towns and villages</li></ul>
	3.G Successful Suburbs	3.G.24 Comfortably-off families in modern housing
		3.G.25 Larger family homes, multi-ethnic areas
		3.G.26 Semi-professional families, owner occupied neighbourhoods
3 Comfortable	3.H Steady Neighbourhoods	3.H.27 Suburban semis, conventional attitudes
Communities	, ,	3.H.28 Owner occupied terraces, average income
		3.H.29 Established suburbs, older families
	3.1 Comfortable Seniors	3.1.30 Older people, neat and tidy neighbourhoods
		3.I.31 Elderly singles in purpose-built accommodation
	3.J Starting Out	3.J.32 Educated families in terraces, young children
	4.K Student Life	3.J.33 Smaller houses and starter homes 4.K.34 Student flats and halls of residence
		4.K.35 Term-time terraces
		4.K.36 Educated young people in flats and tenements
	4.L Modest Means	<ul><li>4.L.37 Low cost flats in suburban areas</li><li>4.L.38 Semi-skilled workers in traditional neighbourhoods</li></ul>
		4.L.39 Fading owner occupied terraces
		4.L.40 High occupancy terraces, many Asian families
4 Financially	4 M Obicing Egentility	4 M 44 Labouring comi gural actates
Stretched	4.M Striving Families	4.M.41 Labouring semi-rural estates 4.M.42 Struggling young families in post-war terraces
		4.M.43 Families in right-to-buy estates
		4.M.44 Post-war estates, limited means
	4.N Poorer Pensioners	4 N 45 Pensioners in social housing, somis and torraces
	HIN FOULEI FERSIONEIS	4.N.45 Pensioners in social housing, semis and terraces 4.N.46 Elderly people in social rented flats
		4.N.47 Low income older people in smaller semis
		4.N.48 Pensioners and singles in social rented flats
	5.0 Young Hardship	5.0.49 Young families in low cost private flats
		5.O.50 Struggling younger people in mixed tenure 5.O.51 Young people in small, low cost terraces
		o.o.o. Toung people in smail, low cost tenaces
	5.P Struggling Estates	5.P.52 Poorer families, many children, terraced housing
		5.P.53 Low income terraces
5 Urban Adversity		5.P.54 Multi-ethnic, purpose-built estates 5.P.55 Deprived and ethnically diverse in flats
		5.P.56 Low income large families in social rented semis
	5.Q Difficult Circumstances	5.Q.57 Social rented flats, families and single parents
		5.Q.58 Singles and young families, some receiving benefits 5.Q.59 Deprived areas and high-rise flats



# Appendix D: Subgroup analysis for priority areas

### **Results for 'Older People Live Independently'**

Subgroup (N)	Average Score	Rank of Importance
Age		
18-34 (379)	7.38	7
35-64 (648)	7.98	5
65+ (281)	9.14	1
ACORN		
Affluent Achiever (308)	8.17	5
Rising Prosperity (180)	7.50	5
Comfortable Communities (449)	8.15	5
Financially Stretched (210)	8.37	4
Urban Adversity (140)	7.92	5
Gender		
Female (654)	8.26	5
Male (654)	7.85	5
Caring responsibilities		
Carer (123)	8.75	3
Non-carer (1183)	7.99	5
Children in household		
Children (454)	7.72	7
No children (854)	8.23	4



Results for 'People with disabilities live well independently'

Subgroup (N)	Average Score	Rank of Importance
Age		
18-34 (381)	8.23	2
35-64 (649)	8.56	2
65+ (282)	8.98	2
ACORN		
Affluent Achiever (312)	8.60	2
Rising Prosperity (179)	8.13	2
Comfortable Communities (450)	8.55	2
Financially Stretched (211)	8.87	2
Urban Adversity (140)	8.54	2
Gender		
Female (657)	8.72	2
Male (655)	8.38	2
Caring responsibilities		
Carer (123)	8.88	2
Non-carer (1187)	8.52	2
Children in household		
Children (455)	8.39	2
No children (857)	8.64	2



Results for 'People live in strong supportive communities'

Subgroup (N)	Average Score	Rank of Importance
Age		
18-34 (381)	7.68	5
35-64 (650)	7.91	7
65+ (281)	7.98	8
ACORN		
Affluent Achiever (312)	7.90	7
Rising Prosperity (179)	7.40	8
Comfortable Communities (448)	7.90	6
Financially Stretched (213)	8.14	6
Urban Adversity (139)	7.79	6
Gender		
Female (658)	8.05	6
Male (654)	7.66	7
Caring responsibilities		
Carer (123)	8.24	6
Non-carer (1187)	7.82	7
Children in household		
Children (456)	7.89	5
No children (856)	7.84	7



Page 32

### Results for 'The road network is safely maintained'

Subgroup (N)	Average Score	Rank of Importance
Age		
18-34 (381)	7.89	4
35-64 (653)	8.26	4
65+ (281)	8.48	5
ACORN		
Affluent Achiever (313)	8.33	4
Rising Prosperity (179)	7.79	4
Comfortable Communities (451)	8.23	4
Financially Stretched (214)	8.36	5
Urban Adversity (138)	8.07	4
Gender		
Female (657)	8.31	4
Male (658)	8.09	4
Caring responsibilities		
Carer (123)	8.64	4
Non-carer (1190)	8.15	4
Children in household		
Children (457)	8.19	4
No children (858)	8.19	5



### Results for 'Children are helped to reach their full potential'

Subgroup (N)	Average Score	Rank of Importance
18-34 (381)	8.71	1
35-64 (649)	8.89	1
65+ (277)	8.91	3
ACORN		
Affluent Achiever (310)	8.86	1
Rising Prosperity (178)	8.55	1
Comfortable Communities (449)	8.81	1
Financially Stretched (212)	9.08	1
Urban Adversity (139)	8.88	1
Gender		
Female (654)	8.99	1
Male (653)	8.70	1
Caring responsibilities		
Carer (123)	9.02	1
Non-carer (1182)	8.83	1
Children in household		
Children (456)	9.06	1
No children (851)	8.72	1



Results for 'People at risk of harm are kept safe'

Subgroup (N)	Average Score	Rank of Importance
Age		
18-34 (382)	8.13	3
35-64 (650)	8.36	3
65+ (281)	8.74	4
ACORN		
Affluent Achiever (311)	8.43	3
Rising Prosperity (179)	7.84	3
Comfortable Communities (449)	8.43	3
Financially Stretched (212)	8.80	3
Urban Adversity (141)	8.21	3
Gender		
Female (656)	8.59	3
Male (657)	8.16	3
Caring responsibilities		
Carer (123)	8.59	5
Non-carer (1188)	8.36	3
Children in household		
Children (456)	8.29	3
No children (857)	8.42	3



Subgroup (N)	Average Score	Rank of Importance
Age		
18-34 (378)	7.45	6
35-64 (649)	7.94	6
65+ (280)	8.25	7
ACORN		
Affluent Achiever (310)	7.99	6
Rising Prosperity (179)	7.46	7
Comfortable Communities (448)	7.86	7
Financially Stretched (212)	8.12	7
Urban Adversity (137)	7.75	7
Gender		
Female (655)	7.99	7
Male (652)	7.74	6
Caring responsibilities		
Carer (123)	8.12	7
Non-carer (1184)	7.84	6
Children in household		
Children (454)	7.78	6
No children (853)	7.91	6



Results for 'People lead a healthy lifestyle and stay healthy longer'

Subgroup (N)	Average Score	Rank of Importance
Age		
18-34 (382)	7.37	8
35-64 (650)	7.74	8
65+ (282)	8.28	6
ACORN		
Affluent Achiever (310)	7.72	8
Rising Prosperity (179)	7.50	6
Comfortable Communities (449)	7.76	8
Financially Stretched (214)	8.07	8
Urban Adversity (141)	7.64	8
Gender		
Female (656)	7.97	8
Male (658)	7.53	8
Caring responsibilities		
Carer (123)	8.03	8
Non-carer (1189)	7.72	8
Children in household		
Children (457)	7.70	8
No children (857)	7.79	8





