

PLANNING COMMITTEE



Wednesday, 20 March 2024

Democratic and Members' Services
Emma Duncan
Service Director: Legal and Governance

10:00

New Shire Hall
Alconbury Weald
Huntingdon
PE28 4YE

**Red Kite Room
New Shire Hall, Alconbury Weald, Huntingdon, PE28 4YE**

AGENDA

Open to Public and Press

- | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Apologies for Absence | |
| 2 | Declarations of Interest | |
| 3 | Minutes 6 September 2023 | 3 - 10 |
| 4 | Enforcement and Monitoring Update Report 2024 | 11 - 24 |
| 5 | Regulation 3 Planning Breach of Planning Control | 25 - 28 |
| 6 | Summary of Decisions Made Under Delegated Powers | 29 - 32 |

The County Council is committed to open government and members of the public are welcome to attend Committee meetings. It supports the principle of transparency and

encourages filming, recording and taking photographs at meetings that are open to the public. It also welcomes the use of social networking and micro-blogging websites (such as Twitter and Facebook) to communicate with people about what is happening, as it happens. These arrangements operate in accordance with a protocol agreed by the Chair of the Council and political Group Leaders which can be accessed via the following link or made available on request: [Filming protocol hyperlink](#)

Public speaking on the agenda items above is encouraged. Speakers must register their intention to speak by contacting [Democratic Services](#) no later than 12.00 noon three working days before the meeting. Full details of arrangements for public speaking are set out in Part 4, Part 4.4 of the Council's Constitution: [Procedure Rules hyperlink](#)

The Council does not guarantee the provision of car parking on the New Shire Hall site. Information on travel options is available at: [Travel to New Shire Hall hyperlink](#)

Meetings are streamed to the Council's website: [Council meetings Live Web Stream hyperlink](#)

The Planning Committee comprises the following members:

Councillor Henry Batchelor (Chair) Councillor Catherine Rae (Vice-Chair) Councillor Anna Bradnam Councillor David Connor Councillor Steve Corney Councillor Ian Gardener Councillor Neil Gough Councillor Keith Prentice and Councillor Mandy Smith

| | |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Clerk Name: | Daniel Snowdon |
| Clerk Telephone: | 01223 699177 |
| Clerk Email: | daniel.snowdon@cambridgeshire.gov.uk |

Planning Committee Minutes

Date: Wednesday 6 September 2023

Time: 10:00a.m. – 11.05am

Venue: New Shire Hall, Alconbury Weald

Present: Councillors, Batchelor (Chair), Bradnam, Connor, Corney, Gardener, Rae (Vice-Chair), Sanderson and Smith

72. Apologies for Absence

Apologies were received from Councillor Gough.

73. Declarations of Interest

None.

74. Minutes – 28 June 2023

The minutes of 28th June 2023 were agreed as a correct record.

75. Erection of a mobile classroom building for a temporary period.

At: Gamlingay Village Primary School, Station Road, Gamlingay, Sandy, SG19 3HD.

Applicant: Cambridgeshire County Council

Application Number: CCC/23/055/FUL

The Committee received a planning application that sought planning permission for the installation of a 201.6 square metre modular building for use as a pre school in association with Gamlingay Village Primary School for a temporary period, until 31 August 2028. The proposed modular building would consist of two classrooms, toilets and storerooms, covered play-deck, access ramp and stairs.

Introducing the application, the presenting officer explained that owing to concerns raised by householders in relation to traffic, highways, lighting and noise, it was

necessary for the application to be presented to the Committee. The presenting officer drew attention to paragraph 95 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) that stated the importance of the provision of sufficient school places to meet the need of existing and developing communities. The closure of a nearby pre-school resulted in a deficit in the provision of pre-school places. Attention was drawn to paragraph 108 of the NPPF that stated, maximum parking standards for residential and non-residential development should only be set where there was clear and compelling justification that they were necessary for managing the local road network. Furthermore, paragraph 111 of the NPPF stated that development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network would be severe. The Committee therefore noted that the Highway Authority had raised no objection to the proposals as statutory consultee in accordance with paragraph 111 of the NPPF. Furthermore, there had been no statutory objection received relating to lighting and conditions 7 and 8 were highlighted as mitigations to the concerns.

The objection of Gamlingay Parish Council had been noted, however, there were no statutory objections received that supported those concerns.

A map of the site location was shown to the Committee, together with an aerial photograph of the site that illustrated vehicular access to the wider site and the pedestrian access to the mobile. Site plans were shown with attention drawn to the location of air conditioning units and proposed lighting. Elevations were also shown that further demonstrated the proposed lighting. Photographs were shown that provided visual reference to the pedestrian and vehicular access to the site and the proposed location and positioning of the building.

In conclusion, the presenting officer commented that based on there having been no statutory objections received and taking account of the planning balance, the recommendation was for approval.

In response to Member questions officers:

- Explained that the development and implementation of a 20mph zone could not be secured through a planning condition, however, the highway authority could provide an undertaking that such a scheme be developed.

The Chair invited the Chair of Gamlingay Parish Council, Councillor Samantha Martin and the Chair of Gamlingay Parish Council's Planning Committee, Councillor Wendy Boyne to address the Committee. Councillor Martin began by recognising the need for the development. However, she expressed concern at the intensification of activity at the site, highlighting the traffic situation and the impact on nearby roads that were affected at peak times. Situated close to a busy industrial estate, there would also be HGV's travelling along the road. The lack of additional on-site staff parking would place further pressure on nearby streets. The application also did not recognise the pressure on roads at lunchtimes and at the beginning and end of sessions. Furthermore, no account had been taken of the recently installed SEND unit and gym. While the Parish Council supported the need for the provision, there were no mitigations proposed for what would be significant impacts relating to traffic and parking and urged the Committee to consider these robustly.

-

In response to member questions, Councillor Martin:

- Explained that additional on-site parking and a range of physical measure would be welcomed as mitigations by the Parish Council.
- Informed the Committee regular meetings took place between the school and the Parish Council. The school needed to determine whether there was sufficient space to expand on-site car parking. The school was, however, actively engaging parents to modify behaviour in travelling to and from school.
- Explained that she was not qualified to comment on whether parents would be able to safely travel through the site if on-site parking was increased.

Speaking in support of the application Aiden van de Weyer agent for the applicant informed the Committee that he was also a South Cambridgeshire District Councillor. Mr van de Weyer informed members that there was a clear and established need for the development that had become acute due to the closure of a setting in Gamlingay. In response to the comments raised by Gamlingay Parish Council, the position of the proposed building had been changed to reduce the impact on neighbours. Discussions had taken place with the Ecology Officer in order that net-biodiversity be improved. The main concerns centred around parking and the impact of traffic on the area. A transport study had been undertaken and it reported there would be minimal impact on the highway network and the site. Since the assessment had been undertaken, it had been established that there was now a surplus of on-site parking. Therefore, it would be difficult to seek support for additional on-site parking. Mr van de Weyer, explained that the applicant, together with the school would continue to work on parental behaviour when travelling to and from school. Attention was drawn to the application made by South Cambridgeshire District Council for Civil Parking Enforcement powers that would support the aims of preventing poor parking.

In response to member questions Mr van de Weyer:

- Confirmed that the traffic assessment accounted for the SEND unit and the gym within it. The timings of people using the gym were significantly different so as not to have a material impact and the SEND unit was small and did not affect the assessment.
- Confirmed that discussions between the Parish Council and the applicant had taken place relating to their concerns. Due to the scale of the proposed development, physical mitigation measures would be disproportionate. However, Cambridgeshire County Council was keen for discussions to continue as the highway environment was complex and required careful consideration.
- Confirmed that the applicant would support the exploration of a 20mph zone for the area.

Speaking against the application, Mr Owen Clarke addressed the Committee. Mr Clarke informed members that he lived at 17 Station Road that was directly opposite the proposed building and his concerns related to fire safety and noise. Mr Clarke noted

the response of Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Fire and Rescue Service to the consultation that stated temporary buildings should be located 6 metres from other buildings and boundaries. The building would now only be located 50cm from the boundary and therefore inaccessible to the Fire Service in the event of an emergency. The prevailing wind would therefore place Mr Clarke's house at risk from embers in the event of fire.

Mr Clarke then explained that his window faced the building and was now 14 metres from it. The prevailing background noise was low and small changes to the location would have a huge impact on noise and suggested moving the building by 6 metres as it would reduce the noise impact by 50%.

In response to member questions, Mr Clarke:

- Confirmed the location of his property.
- Commented that in his opinion, the building could be located slightly differently. Mr Clarke welcomed that the location of the building had been rotated by 90°, however, it had resulted in the building being located closer to his property.
- Confirmed that it was a distance of 14 meters from the school's hedge to the boundary of his property.

At the invitation of the Chair, the agent for the applicant explained that other sites within the school boundary had been assessed for the locating of the proposed building, but none were deemed suitable for a variety of reasons. If the building was moved, it could reduce the amount of available play area for the children and impact upon access.

Members noted that Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Fire and Rescue Services had been re-consulted regarding the changes to the application, however they did not respond.

During debate of the application Members raised the following points:

- Noted that it was not within the planning process to secure by condition a 20mph zone. It was therefore proposed with the unanimous agreement of the Committee that an informative be developed in consultation with the Chair of the committee that would encourage the development and installation of such a zone.
- Sought the continuation of discussions between the agent, parish council and residents regarding the issues identified.
- Questioned whether there was scope for the proposed building to be relocated to minimise noise impacts on closest receptors. It was noted that it was not for the Committee to re-design an application and that any decision should be based on the application before the Committee.
- Expressed the desire for a travel plan to be developed for the school, noting that it did not have to be a requirement of the planning application. It was therefore proposed that an informative be drafted that would encourage the development and

implementation with the Parish Council of such a plan in consultation with the Chair of Planning Committee.

It was proposed by Councillor Connor and seconded by Councillor Bradnam that planning permission be granted subject to the inclusion of informatives relating to the development of a 20mph scheme and the encouragement of the continuation of communication between all parties, with particular regard to the development of a travel plan. It was agreed that the informatives would be developed outside of the meeting and included in consultation with the Chair of Planning Committee. On being put to the vote it was resolved unanimously that planning permission be granted.

It was resolved unanimously [7 votes in favour, 0 against and 0 abstentions] that planning permission be granted subject to the conditions contained at Appendix A to these minutes.

76. Summary of Decisions Taken Under Delegated Powers

The Committee received a report that provided a summary of the decisions taken under delegated powers. The presenting officer drew attention to an application that was omitted in error from the report concerning Wheatfields Primary School, St Ives. The application related to an extension of time for which a temporary building would be in situ for.

It was resolved to note the report.

Chair

Time limit

1.The permission hereby granted is a temporary and shall expire on 31 August 2028 or when the modular building is no longer used as a pre-school, ancillary to the use of Gamlingay Village Primary School, whichever is the sooner. The mobile building shall be removed within one month of cessation of its use or by 31 August 2028, whichever is the sooner. Any biodiversity enhancements made to the site during the time limit of the permission will not be required to be removed when the temporary permission expires on the 31 August 2028.

Reason: To define the permission and to ensure satisfactory restoration of the in accordance with policy HQ/1 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018.

Approved plans and documents

2.The development hereby permitted shall only remain in accordance with the application dated 8 June 2023 and the following plans and documents (received 8 June 2023, unless otherwise stated); amended plans and documents:

- Mobile pre-school Location Plan – Proposed, Mc107-LP-001-P, dated Jul 23, (received 13.07.2023);
- Mobile pre-school Site Plan – Proposed, Mc107-SP-001, dated Jul 23 (received 13.07.2023);
- Mobile pre-school Detail Plan – Proposed, Mc107-DP-001, dated Jul 23 (received 13.07.2023);
- 7 bay modular classroom building number 682 Proposed elevations, Mb682e-00-000, dated Jan 23; and
- 7 bay modular classroom building number 682 Proposed floor plan, Mb682p-00-000, dated Jan 23.

Reason: To ensure the appropriate development of the site, protect the character and appearance of the locality in accordance with policies HQ/1 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018 and GAM3 and GAM9 of the Gamlingay Neighbourhood Plan.

Use restriction

3.Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Use Classes Order 1987 (as amended), or any future amendments to it, the hereby permitted modular building shall be used as a pre-school, ancillary to the use of Gamlingay Village Primary school.

Reason: To limit the use of the temporary building to that applied for and to limit potential traffic impacts to those assessed, in accordance with policies TI/9 and HQ/1 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018, and paragraph 111 of the NPPF.

Construction works

4.No construction or demolition work shall be carried out and no plant or power operated machinery shall take place except between the following hours:

- 0800 hours and 1800 hours Mondays to Fridays.
- 0800 hours and 1300 hours on Saturdays.
- No works are permitted at any time on Sundays, Bank or Public Holidays.

Reason: To protect the amenity of the adjoining properties in accordance with Policy CC/6 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018.

Soft and Hard Landscape and Biodiversity Enhancement Scheme

5.Prior to the next planting season (September 2024), full details of both hard and soft landscape works and biodiversity enhancement scheme have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and these works shall be carried out as approved.

(a) Soft landscape works shall include planting plans, written specifications (including cultivation and other operations associated with plant and grass establishment) schedules of plants noting species, plant sizes and proposed numbers and densities where appropriate.

(b) All trees, shrubs and hedge plants supplied shall comply with the requirements of British Standard 3936, Specification for Nursery Stock. All preplanting site preparation, planting and post-planting maintenance works shall be carried out in accordance with the requirements of British Standard 4428 (1989) Code of Practice for General Landscape Operations (excluding hard surfaces).

(c) All new tree plantings shall be positioned in accordance with the requirements of Table 3 of British Standard BS5837: 2005, Trees in relation to construction – Recommendations.

(d) Details of biodiversity enhancement should provide adequate compensation for loss of amenity grassland. Native species and/or ornamental species of biodiversity value should be used in the landscape scheme; as well as enhancement for species.

Reason: To ensure the protection and enhancement of net biodiversity gain in accordance with policy NH/4 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018.

Landscape and Ecological Management Plan

6.Prior to the next planting season (September 2024), a landscape and ecological management plan (LEMP) shall be submitted to and be approved in writing by the local planning authority. The content of the LEMP shall include the following.

- a) Description and evaluation of features to be managed.
- b) Ecological trends and constraints on site that might influence management.
- c) Aims and objectives of management.
- d) Appropriate management options for achieving aims and objectives
- e) Prescriptions for management actions
- f) Preparation of the work schedule (including an annual work plan capable of being rolled forward over a 30 year period)
- g) Details of the body or organisation responsible for implementation of the plan
- h) Ongoing monitoring and remedial measures

The LEMP shall also include details of the legal and funding mechanism(s) by which the long-term implementation of the plan will be secured by the development with the management body(ies) responsible for its delivery.

The plan shall also set out (where the results from monitoring show that conservation aims and objectives of the LEMP are not being met) how contingencies and/or remedial action will be identified, agreed and implemented so that the development still delivers the fully functioning biodiversity objectives of the originally approved scheme.

A 5 yearly report shall be submitted to the LPA confirming the progress of the LEMP and results of any monitoring work.

Reason: To ensure the protection and enhancement of net biodiversity gain in accordance with policy NH/4 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018.

External lighting

7. Prior to the installation of any external lighting, a lighting scheme for the development shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the County Planning Authority. The lighting scheme shall include details of the height, type, position, lux levels, and angle of glare of the proposed lighting, including horizontal and vertical isolux contours and shall include zero light spill beyond the site, so that all sensitive receptors can be considered and protected.

Reason: In order to safeguard the amenity of all sensitive receptors, in respect of possible adverse effects of lighting glare from any external lighting provision in accordance with policies HQ/1 and SC/9 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018.

Air conditioning unit

8. Prior to the installation of the air conditioning unit, full details and specification for the position, size, type, and noise levels of the unit to be installed shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the County Planning Authority so that all sensitive receptors can be considered and protected.

Reason: In order to safeguard the amenity of all sensitive receptors, in respect of possible adverse effects of noise from the air conditioning unit in accordance with policies HQ/1 and SC/10 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018.

Enforcement and Monitoring Update Report 2024

To: Planning Committee

Date: 20 March 2024

From: Head of Planning and Sustainable Growth

Electoral division(s): N/A

Purpose: To consider the following report

Recommendation: The Planning Committee is requested to note the content of this report.

Officer contact:

Name: Bill Field

Principal Enforcement and Monitoring Officer, County Planning, Minerals and Waste

Email: Bill.Field@cambridgeshire.gov.uk

Phone: 07443 146604

1 Introduction

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to brief the Planning Committee members on the planning enforcement and monitoring work and formal action undertaken by the County Planning, Minerals and Waste (CPMW) team within the Planning, Growth and Environment service.
- 1.2 For the purposes of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 the issue of an Enforcement Notice (EN) or the service of a Breach of Condition Notice (BCN) constitutes taking formal enforcement action.
- 1.3 The Council's Scheme of Authorisation to Officers authorises officers to issue planning contravention notices, enforcement notices, stop / temporary stop notices and breach of condition notices in both urgent and non-urgent cases, relating to breaches of planning control for mineral/waste development, provided that any action taken is reported to the Planning Committee thereafter.
- 1.4 The Enforcement update report has historically been prepared and presented to Planning Committee on a quarterly basis, unless there are no items on the Committee agenda in which case the Chair can approve postponing the update report until the Committee next convenes. However, the last full report was presented to Committee in May 2023 and it covered the period 1 November 2022 to the 31 March 2023.
- 1.5 The Enforcement and Monitoring team consists of the Principal Enforcement and Monitoring Officer, Monitoring and Control Officer and Planning and Compliance Officer, who also undertakes planning work in the Development Management team.
- 1.6 Sections 2 to 5 of the report summarise: the current complaints under investigation; the number of formal Notices served; Enforcement Appeals; and Ombudsman complaints received in the period. Section 6 of the report details the site monitoring visits undertaken to chargeable sites between 1 April 2023 and 31 January 2024 and sections 7 to 13 of the report provide updates on some key enforcement investigations.

2 Complaints

- 2.1 At the time of writing this report, the Enforcement and Monitoring team has 26 active complaints that are under investigation.
- 2.2 Between 1 April 2023 and 31 January 2024 the team received 20 new complaints of which 11 remain open and under investigation, and 9 have been closed. A further 11 pre-existing complaints were closed within this reporting period. Although 3 of these complaints have subsequently been reopened, in response to new information that has been received about the resumption of activities on site.
- 2.3 Of the 11 complaints that were received in this period and remain open and under investigation:
 - 1 is awaiting the determination of a planning application which has been submitted;
 - 1 is pending the outcome of an appeal regarding a District planning matter;

- 4 have either the District Environmental Health team or the Environment Agency leading the investigation;
- 1 requires a site visit to assess the site status; and,
- 5 require further investigation by the team.

2.4 The status of the 15 complaints that were received prior to this reporting period that are still under investigation is:

- 2 cases where Enforcement Notices that have been served have been appealed to the Planning Inspectorate, further information on each can be found in sections 8 and 9 below.
- 1 is awaiting the submission of a planning application that has been requested.
- 3 are awaiting determinations of planning applications submitted to regularise the breach of planning control.
- 1 is awaiting the result of an appeal to the Planning Inspectorate against the refusal to issue a certificate of lawfulness, further information can be found in section 7 below.
- 5 cases are waiting for action to be undertaken by the operator within previously agreed timescales, and
- 3 cases require further investigation or monitoring by the team.

3 Notices Served

3.1 During this reporting period, 2 Enforcement Notices (EN) have been served, both relate to the importation and processing of waste and the use of waste for land raising. The sites are at Ellington and Pymoor and involve the same developers, further details of the breaches and the formal action are set out in Sections 8 and 9 of this report.

3.2 In addition to the formal enforcement action, 3 Planning Contravention Notices (PCNs) have been served in this reporting period. PCNs are a formal legal questionnaire that is used to gather evidence of land ownership and information relating to alleged breaches of planning control. Details of each of the PCNs and the background to their service can be found in sections 10, 11 and 13 below.

4 Appeals

4.1 Two separate appeals have been lodged with the Planning Inspectorate in respect of the two Enforcement notices that are referred to in paragraph 3.1 above and members are asked to note that the notices do not come into effect until the Planning Inspectorate has made its determination.

4.2 As set out in the last enforcement update report, an appeal against the refusal to issue a certificate of lawfulness relating to waste uses on land at Mil Road, Fen Drayton has been submitted to the Planning Inspectorate. However, to date, the Inspectorate have failed to provide a start date for the Appeal. Noting that the appeal relates to whether a breach of planning control has become lawful through the passage of time, officers are now considering whether the initiation of formal enforcement action is necessary, in order to reserve the Council's position. Further details can be found in section 7 below.

5 Ombudsman Complaints

5.1 No Local Government Ombudsman complaints were received during the period 31 March 2023 and 31 January 2024

6 Site monitoring visits 1 April 2023 – 31 January 2024

6.1 The Authority carries out proactive monitoring visits to check compliance with the conditions set out in the grant of planning permissions for quarries and landfill sites. The Authority levies fees for these visits, which are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Fees for Applications, Deemed Applications, Requests and Site Visits) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended). Prior to the 6 December 2023 the fees were £397 for each visit to an active site and £132 for inactive or dormant sites, or one which is in restoration.

6.2 On 6 December 2024 the fees for chargeable monitoring visits increased to:

- Active sites £496
- Inactive or dormant sites £165

The amount of chargeable monitoring visits scheduled to be conducted within each financial year is agreed in advance and all operators are notified of the proposed number of visits.

6.3 Other sites that are the subject of waste planning approvals, such as waste transfer stations, waste recycling sites and scrap yards are also visited by officers in order to assess compliance with the conditions set out in the grant of planning permission. However, the cost of these visits is borne by the Authority.

6.4 The total income from the site visits conducted in this financial year was expected to be in the region of £25,000.00.

6.5 A summary of the number and type of chargeable and non chargeable monitoring visits, as well as visits to complaint sites that were carried out during this monitoring period is set out in the table below.

| Site type | Number of visits |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Landfill | 20 |
| Quarries | 37 |
| Non chargeable sites | 12 |
| Complaint site visits | 18 |
| Total | 87 |

6.6 The table below shows the number of chargeable mineral (quarry) and waste (landfill) monitoring visits that will be scheduled in the coming financial year (1 April

2024 to 31 March 2025), noting that the number of visits to each site ranges between 1 and 4, depending on the size of the site and level of activity taking place.

| Type of site | Number of visits |
|------------------------|------------------|
| Mineral / Quarries | 53 |
| Waste / Landfill sites | 27 |
| Total number of sites | 40 |
| Total number of visits | 80 |

- 6.6 The total estimated income that will be generated by chargeable monitoring visits in the next financial year (1 April 2024 to 31 March 2025) is £31077.00. However, achieving this figure will depend on whether the status of any of the sites changes within the financial year.

Enforcement updates

7 Mill Road, Fen Drayton

- 7.1 On 21 November 2018, a Planning Contravention Notice was served on the site owner in respect of unauthorised waste storage and processing uses at the site. The Council had previously refused to grant two previous applications for a Certificate of Lawful Development for use of the land for the processing of inert waste. Although an appeal was lodged with the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) in relation to the refusal of the second Certificate application, it was withdrawn by the Appellant before the planned Public Inquiry could go ahead.
- 7.2 On 11 December 2018, a new Certificate of Lawful Development application was submitted for storage of inert building site waste and occasional processing incidental thereto. Noting that the agent for the applicant had already been advised that the Council was not aware of any material change(s) in circumstances that might be likely to lead to the grant of a Certificate of Lawful Development (CLD), the application was refused on 18 April 2019. An Appeal against the refusal was lodged with PINS and then subsequently withdrawn by the appellant.
- 7.3 In December 2019, a further (fourth) Certificate of Lawful Development application was submitted for an existing use for storage of inert building site waste and occasional processing incidental thereto. The evidence submitted with the application was complex and confidential advice was sought from Pathfinder legal services. On 8 February 2023 the Council refused to issue the certificate, the reason for refusal was:
- Based on the evidence provided in support of the Application, and the Council’s own records to the contrary, the Council considers that there is insufficient documentary evidence to confirm, upon the balance of probability, that “the use of the land for the storage of inert building site waste and occasional processing incidental thereto” has subsisted without material

interruption on the Application Site for a period of 10 years or more preceding the date of the Application, or that it continues to subsist as described.

- 7.4 On 23 March 2023 the PINS confirmed that a valid appeal had been lodged with them against the refusal to grant the Certificate and the chosen appeal procedure would be written representations. No start date has been given for the appeal.
- 7.5 A Delegated Enforcement Report seeking authorisation to serve an Enforcement Notice (EN) for an unauthorised material change of use of the land was drafted. However, owing to the number of certificate applications that have been submitted, and the complexity of assessing the evidence, officers had intended to delay the service of such a notice until the result of the appeal.
- 7.6 Noting the length of time that PINS has taken to start the appeal, as detailed in paragraph 7.4 above, officers have written to the owner of the site to advise that formal enforcement action is being considered. Enforcement action is now likely to be considered necessary and expedient in order to address the waste uses that were not considered to be immune from enforcement action at the time of the application for a Certificate of Lawfulness.

8 The Pig Unit (former Mushroom Farm), Harthay Farm, Thrapston Road, Ellington.

- 8.1 In April 2022, the Environment Agency (EA) advised the County Council, as the Waste Planning Authority (WPA), that they were investigating the use of agricultural land at Ellington by FDS Construction Limited (FDS). The EA had visited the site in March and had concerns that FDS were importing and depositing inert waste materials on the land to raise the levels, as well as crushing concrete and demolition and building waste.
- 8.2 Following enquiries made with Huntingdon District Council (HDC) planning, it was confirmed that an application for prior approval had been submitted in relation to using permitted development rights on the land to construct an agricultural building for vertical mushroom farming. There are permitted development rights (under the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended), for the importation of inert waste onto agricultural land to improve the land and for bringing in waste in connection with agricultural hardstanding and the preparation of land for agricultural buildings however, there are a number of restrictions and conditions that apply.
- 8.3 The EA visited the site again in June 2022 and confirmed to the WPA that some of the waste that had been imported had been used to raise the land by approximately 2 metres in height over a large area. In addition, piles of inert waste and other waste materials were in evidence on the site.
- 8.4 Officers from the WPA worked jointly with the EA and Her (and later His) Majesty's Revenue and Customs (HMRC) to obtain evidence that: a significant amount of inert waste had been brought onto site; waste had been processed; waste was stored on site; and, a significant amount of waste had been deposited and compacted to raise the level of the land and to create a raised roadway. The importation and processing

of waste are County matters and in addition to the waste deposit, the engineering operation of land raising also require planning permission.

- 8.5 On 18 August 2022, the EA presented the operator with a compliance report which required them to cease bringing waste on to the site and confirmed there were no longer any waste permits or exemptions for the site. However, at this time the EA advised the WPA that they were not intending to take any further action in respect of the site because the risk of pollution from the importation of inert waste is low.
- 8.6 Correspondence with East Anglian Farming Contractors Ltd (EAFC), the site owners, confirmed that they consider that they are using their permitted development rights to prepare the land for the agricultural buildings and that they intend to continue to work on site, with HGVs continuing to bring material on, to the site for at least another year.
- 8.7 On 19 October 2022, officers served a Planning Contravention Notice (PCN) on the two owners of EAFC to gather further evidence about the land ownership, the amount of waste being imported onto the site and the breaches of planning control. The information provided in response to the PCN did not satisfy officers of the WPA that the land was being prepared for the construction of an agricultural building or that the works that had taken place, and continued to take place, were necessary for the purposes of agriculture.
- 8.8 On 5 October 2023, an Enforcement Notice (EN) was served on the owners of EAFC, in respect of the use of the land for the importation, storage, processing and exportation of inert waste materials, and the deposit of waste in an engineering operation to raise the land, without the necessary planning permission. These waste activities are contrary to the locational criteria for waste management development and use of waste for land raising set out in polices 3 and 4 of the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Local Plan (adopted 2021) (MWLP) and therefore would be unlikely to be granted planning permission, should such an application be submitted.
- 8.9 On 4 November 2023, PINS confirmed that an appeal had been lodged in respect of the EN, and on 9 January 2024 PINS confirmed that the appeal was valid and would follow the written representations procedure. Officers have submitted all relevant documentation in relation to the appeal, save for final comments and will update members on the Inspector's decision in due course.

9 Land behind Corkers Crisps, Willow Farm, Pymoor

- 9.1 In April 2020 officers received a complaint forwarded from East Cambridgeshire District Council (ECDC) regarding the importation of waste material onto land at the rear of the Corkers Crisps factory in Little Downham.
- 9.2 Investigations revealed that FDS Construction (FDS), whose heavy goods vehicles were seen entering the site, were depositing waste. FDS and the owner of the site advised the WPA that the waste material was brought onto the land to fill in an area where there had been a water body and to create an area of hardstanding which was needed to implement a prior approval application from ECDC for the construction of an agricultural building on the land.

- 9.3 The assessment of the planning situation that was made at the time, based on the information provided, was that the works related to the prior approval and therefore were considered to be permitted development. The landowner was sent a summary of the permitted development rights for agriculture which included the conditions and restrictions that apply, particularly in respect of the importation of waste onto agricultural land. The advice given highlighted that the importation of waste onto the site for processing and then moving off site would need planning permission and the landowner was advised not to allow this to take place on his land.
- 9.4 In July 2020, officers visited the site with planning enforcement officers from ECDC where it was noted that land raising had taken place across the site. The landowner and the owner of FDS Construction explained that this work was site preparation for the replacement Corkers Crisps factory following the destruction of the previous factory following a fire. Officers explained that land raising is development that would need to be included in planning application to ECDC for the replacement factory and it was agreed that ECDC would lead the investigation going forward and ensure that the land raising was included in the planning application that was being prepared for submission.
- 9.5 In April 2021, further complaints were received regarding FDS branded HGVs entering and leaving the site. The owner of FDS advised officers that no new waste material had been brought onto site and no more would be brought on however, he also stated that there was still a significant amount of site clearance associated with the ECDC planning application which would involve HGVs continuing to leave the site filled with waste. Following further complaints about activity at the site, in August 2021, ECDC served a Planning Contravention Notice on the landowner to establish the nature of the activities taking place on the land at the rear of the factory. The PCN was not formally responded to and ECDC did not pursue this.
- 9.6 In February 2022, County Planning and ECDC officers received more complaints regarding FDS HGVs continuing to enter and leave the site. ECDC were continuing to lead the investigation as there was no evidence of new waste being imported but evidence from site visits indicated that the land was now being used as haulage and aggregate storage yard which would need district planning permission. Noting that the activities that related to waste matters had ceased, the activity taking place on the land was no longer considered to be a waste planning matter.
- 9.7 In late 2022, further complaints were received about activities at the site. During a site visit which was undertaken in November 2022 the owner of FDS continued to state to officers that no new material had been brought onto site and that the waste in situ had not been imported but was displaced from around the site. However, an FDS branded portacabin was noted on site and the fact that FDS was leaving HGVs on site and employees were using it as a base indicated that the site continued to be used by FDS Construction as a base for operations and haulage yard, which would need planning permission from ECDC.
- 9.8 Following the receipt of further complaints, on 27 March 2023 an officer from County Planning conducted an unannounced visit to the site and witnessed an HGV from a grabhire company bringing waste soils on to the land and off loading them onto a pile of stored material on site.
- 9.9 On 30 March 2023 Planning Contravention Notices (PCNs) were served on the landowner and FDS Construction to gather evidence of the activities taking place at

the site. The PCN responses confirmed that the landowner and FDS considered that there was no breach of planning control taking place at the site.

- 9.10 On 16 November 2023 an Enforcement Notice was served on the owners of the land in relation to without planning permission: The importation, depositing, processing and exporting of inert waste materials and the raising of the land with waste.
- 9.11 On the 13 November 2023, the WPA were notified by PINS that an appeal had been lodged in respect of the service of the Enforcement Notice, and on 14 February 2024 PINS confirmed the start date of the appeal and that the written representation procedure would be followed. Officers have provided PINS with a completed questionnaire and statement of case relating to the appeal and at the time of writing this report are awaiting final comments from the appellant.

10 Kneesworth Nurseries, Bassingbourn Cum Kneesworth

- 10.1 In April 2023, South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC), forwarded details of complaints that they had received regarding the importation, deposit and burning of waste at the above site to the WPA and on 26 April 2023 officers visited the site and photographed Heavy Commercial Vehicles (HCV's) importing waste onto site and stockpiles of already tipped waste.
- 10.2 On the 2 May 2023, the WPA sent the operator of the site a formal letter asking him to cease all waste operations at the site and to clear the site within five weeks. Correspondence from the operator confirmed that he considered that he was operating lawfully under exemptions by the EA, that this was common practice in the groundworks trade and that he would continue to operate in this way.
- 10.3 On the 24 May 2023, a letter was sent to the landowner advising them of the situation on site and setting out the concerns that the WPA had about the unauthorised activity taking place.
- 10.4 Following discussions with the landowner, a PCN was served on both the operator and the landowner on the 11 August 2023. At the voluntary PCN meeting that took place on 25 August 2023 the operator advised that they intended to exit their tenancy several months early and then restore and reinstate the land and return it to the owner.
- 10.5 A site visit undertaken on 20 September 2023 confirmed that the land had been cleared, although some concerns remained over bunds surrounding the site which appeared higher than those originally in place. On 3 October 2023 the WPA sent letters to both the operator and landowner advising that potential courses of action were being considered in relation to the bunds. Officers from the WPA considered that it would not be expedient to pursue formal enforcement in relation to the bunds owing to the low level of planning harm. A letter was sent to the operator, advising them that no further action would be taken at this time but also reminding them that such matters are considered on a case basis.

11 Moat Farm, Castle Camps

- 11.1 On 4 April 2023, the Principal Enforcement and Monitoring Officer (PEMO) was advised by Essex County Council (ECC) about the importation and deposit of waste at the above site. The WPA had received complaints about this site in August 2021 but had referred the matter to Greater Cambridge Shared Planning (GCSP) because it related to the construction of an agricultural reservoir that was not a County matter. The new information provided by ECC related to reports of a significant amount of inert waste being imported onto agricultural land. Whilst there are permitted development rights, under the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended), for the importation of inert waste onto agricultural land to improve the land there are restrictions and conditions which include that the waste must be incorporated forthwith.
- 11.2 On 19 April 2023, the WPA undertook a site visit which confirmed that a large stockpile of inert material was being stored to the rear of the farm. Officers also noted that other waste material had been deposited along a farm track running between Moat and Castle Farms and there was also evidence of other imported waste, some of which appeared to have been burnt on site.
- 11.3 A letter was sent to the landowner on 7 June 2023 which set out the WPA's concerns about the waste activities taking place on the site. The PEMO received an email from the landowner's agent on 14 June 2023, explaining that the material had been imported onto site with the intention of being used in the development of reservoirs, which had been delayed due to the refusal of prior approval for the reservoirs by GSCP and subsequent appeal.
- 11.4 On 4 October 2023, a PCN was served on the landowner and the initial response received from him on 8 November 2024 was incomplete. Following further discussions with the landowner, the WPA received a fully completed PCN, with additional supporting information on 2 January 2024.
- 11.5 As a result of the information provided in the PCN response, a letter was sent to the landowner informing him that in relation to the stockpile of imported inert waste, the WPA would await the outcome of the appeal in respect of the development of and prior approval for the reservoirs. However, the WPA advised that the landowner would be required to remove and lawfully dispose of the waste material stored along the farm track. At the time of writing this report a site visit is due to be arranged to check whether the removal of waste in this area has taken place.

12 Willow Hall Farm, Hill Row, Haddenham

- 12.1 On 2 August 2021, planning permission was granted for the Construction of irrigation reservoirs by the extraction, processing and export of sand and gravel and associated development at Willow Hall Farm, Haddenham. Following the discharge of pre commencement conditions, the mineral extraction commenced at the site on 28 February 2022.
- 12.2 Although monitoring visits to the site took place on 28 June 2022 and 16 December 2022, issues with groundwater at the site not being able to be discharged meant that other than soil stripping and installation of the processing plant, very little development had taken place at the site. However, concerns were raised about compliance with the conditions relating to archaeology on site.

- 12.3 In February 2023, following concerns that had also been raised regarding drainage at the site, officers arranged a further visit to check compliance with the planning conditions, in particular those relating to drainage and archaeology. A representative from the County Council's Historic Environment Team (HET) attended this visit. The visit confirmed that some of the most archaeologically sensitive areas of the site had been worked without the required supervision of archaeological consultants, and sign off from HET, as required by the approved Written Scheme of Investigation. This finding was in direct conflict with information that had been provided to officers by the operator. The operator was advised that the work on site needed to stop immediately.
- 12.4 On 17 February 2023, a formal letter was sent to the operator, Mick George Limited (MGL) setting out the breaches of planning control taking place on site, detailing the total destruction/loss of archaeological deposits in two areas and the partial destruction/loss of archaeological deposits in a third area of the site. The letter advised MGL that County Planning intended to serve a breach of condition notice in respect of the breaches of planning control.
- 12.5 The operator continued to work with HET to fully evaluate the damage caused to known archaeological deposits in the area and prepare an updated archaeological mitigation strategy which has now been approved and the operator will now excavate the archaeological deposits in accordance with it. The WPA continues to liaise with the historic environment team to ensure that the archaeological contractors on site are working in compliance with what has been agreed.

13 Five Acres, Staughton Moor, Great Staughton

- 13.1 On 18 February 2021 Huntingdonshire District Council (HDC) planning enforcement contacted County Planning, Minerals and Waste (CPMW) regarding the erection of a new building, the extension of a building and creation of hardstanding at the site along with a query regarding the possible change of use of the site which operates under waste planning permissions from the 1960s which were issued by previous local government administrative bodies which are no longer in force.
- 13.2 Legal advice obtained by HDC from 3Cs shared legal services confirmed that whilst District Councils are generally the planning enforcement authority for unauthorised development, this is not the case for Minerals and Waste development and as to which authority initiates enforcement action it would depend on the stage when a site starts to be used and the principal of that use. In this case the principal use was as a End of Life Vehicle (ELV) / scrapyard.
- 13.3 County Planning officers contacted the owner of the site and advised that they, as the waste planning authority (WPA), were investigating the unauthorised operational development at the site and that the unauthorised development at the site would need regularising.
- 13.4 On 16 April 2021 a request for pre application advice was made to County Planning for an extension and change of waste uses at the site. Further information and clarification was needed from the landowner and his planning agent, which significantly delayed the provision of the advice.

- 13.5 On 29 April 2021, the Principal Enforcement and Monitoring Officer (PEMO) sent an email to the landowner advising that the new building was unlikely to be granted planning permission because it was considered to be inappropriate in terms of the scale, mass and bulk which would be visually intrusive to the countryside area, contrary to policy LP11 of the Huntingdonshire Local Plan to 2036. The landowner was advised that he would need to consider how to address the unacceptable development.
- 13.6 Although no new waste uses had been introduced at the site, as had been proposed in the pre-application advice request, County Planning and HDC both continued to receive complaints about the site. On 11 June 2021 the PEMO emailed the landowner to advise that any works undertaken without the necessary planning permission would be entirely at his own risk and if the development is not considered to be acceptable in planning terms, he would be required to remove the unauthorised development.
- 13.7 On 21 December 2021, the pre-application advice response letter confirmed that although ELV uses were authorised at the site, the proposed expansion and processing of additional waste streams was development that would conflict with Policy 4 of the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Mineral and Waste Local Plan which sets out the circumstances where new waste uses would be supported. The conclusion of the pre-application advice letter was that the proposed new waste uses could not be supported by officers.
- 13.8 On 18 March 2022 a joint site visit was undertaken with officers from HDC and County Planning and no waste or other activities were taking place on site, and it was noted that no changes had taken place on site since a visit that had taken place in summer 2021. Later that year, in October 2022, the use of the site for ELV recommenced and a site visit was undertaken to assess compliance with the conditions attached to the planning permissions for the site. Following the visit, on 6 December 2022 the landowner was asked to provide an update on regularising the breaches of planning control on site.
- 13.9 On 5 February 2023 a further request for pre-application advice was submitted to County Planning relating to the expansion of the car dismantling business on site by demolishing the existing buildings and infrastructure and replacing them with 10 buildings and staff and visitor car parks, whilst retaining the existing vehicle crushing area. On 24 March 2023 the pre application advice letter was issued, and it confirmed that subject to appropriate information and mitigation being provided, an application for new buildings on the site could be supported by officers.
- 13.10 The landowner and his planning agent provided regular written assurances that a planning application was being prepared, and that specialist consultants had been appointed to ensure that all the necessary supporting documents are provided and contain consistent information, the unauthorised development (buildings and hardstanding) constitutes a breach of planning control that remains outstanding. Noting the length of time that the breaches of planning control had been outstanding, a planning contravention notice (PCN) was served 3 January 2024 to gather formal, legal confirmation of the landowner's intentions and likely timescales for the submission of the application.
- 13.11 The response to the PCN confirmed that a planning application and supporting technical information and reports was still being prepared and the expected

submission date would be the end of February but that in the meantime the unauthorised building would be dismantled.

- 13.12 A site visit undertaken on 7 February 2024 confirmed that the dismantling of the building had commenced. At the time of writing this report, no new planning application has been submitted, however the main breach of planning control on site has been resolved with the removal of the building and the application is still expected to be submitted in due course.

Briefing note on Regulation 3 Planning breach of planning control relating to external facing materials at Cambourne Village College

To: Planning Committee

Date: 20 March 2024

From: Head of Service, Planning and Sustainable Growth

Electoral division(s): Papworth and Swavesey, Cambourne

Purpose: To note the contents of the report

Officer contact:
Name: Deborah Jeakins
Post: Business Manager, County Planning, Minerals and Waste
Email: [Link to the email address for Deborah Jeakins](#)
Tel: 07468 719657

1 Introduction / Summary of the breach of planning control

- 1.1 The breach of planning control relates to a Regulation 3 planning application for the County Council's own development where the white bricks that were approved for us in the construction of teaching blocks at Cambourne Village College have been replaced with render, contrary to conditions 2 and 11 of planning permission reference CCC/21/087/FUL.
- 1.2 The material finish is not compliant with condition 2 which details the approved plans for the site and the white render has already been installed. As set out in the justification section below, the render is unable to be removed without significant cost but also unable to be regularised by way of an application.
- 1.3 The Council's published Enforcement Plan for County Planning, Minerals and Waste, at 9.1 sets out the County Council will not be able to take formal enforcement action when it determines applications for its own development.

'In these cases, the responsibility for compliance with the permission lies with the relevant Council Directorate. If the breach is not remedied, the matter will be reported to the Planning Committee.'

2 Background to the breach and planning history

- 2.1 On 15 December 2021, planning permission reference CCC/21/087/FUL was granted for:

Erection of four new teaching blocks to create a 2 form entry (FE) extension to Cambourne Village College to create an 11 FE of entry school (1650 pupils), 2 storey extension to the existing music/drama block to create additional teaching facilities, conversion and 2 storey extension to the existing teaching block to create a 350 place independent sixth form building, associated new cycle and pedestrian access link, reconfigured pedestrian walkway, associated school playing fields, MUGA and cycle parking, relocation of the existing attenuation basin, new car parking, new vehicular access to the school, new vehicular route within the school site, new means of enclosure around the perimeter of the school site, hard and soft landscaping, ancillary facilities and widening of Swansley Lane. The permission was subject to conditions.
- 2.2 Condition 2 of CCC/21/087/FUL specified the approved plans for the development and Condition 11 of the permission sample panels of external facing and roofing materials to be submitted to County planning for approval.
- 2.3 On 19 April 2023 an application to discharge condition 11 was approved following the submission of samples of white bricks that would be used in the external finish of the new teaching blocks at the village college.
- 2.4 On 8 August 2023, a further application, reference CCC/23/098/DCON, was submitted in respect of the external facing materials used in the construction of the school. The application sought approval for new, alternative external facing materials which would replace the agreed white brick with white render across teaching blocks 1 to 5 of the school.

- 2.5 The white render had already been installed in place of the brick and the unauthorised change constituted a breach of planning control.
- 2.6 As part of the consultation on the condition discharge application to alter what had been previously agreed, Greater Cambridge Shared Planning (GCSP) was consulted. GCSP's Urban Design team objected to the replacement render on the basis that, in their view, the change will result in an excessive use of the white render which is not beneficial for the buildings appearance and materials balance on the site. The Urban Design team also noted that the Cambourne West Design Code encouraged the usage of the render as an accent material but it was never meant to be made predominant and that the usage of the render can only be acceptable on Blocks 1 & 5 as its proportion on these blocks' elevations is more balanced when compared to the other materials.
- 2.7 The concerns of GCSP were shared with the planning agent for the application and the applicant, the County Council's Education Capital team.
- 2.8 The agent for the application advised that whilst the boundary of the Village College site is adjacent to the Cambourne West and so the Approved Design Code should be taken into consideration, their view was that the blocks where white render has been applied are set back from the site boundary which means that the overall appearance of the development remains consistent with the original design concept which deliberately contrasts the external public facing elements with the internal academic spaces.
- 2.9 GSCP Urban Design maintained their objection to the use of render in the teaching blocks and so further information and justification for the change was sought from the agent and from the applicant, the Council's Education Capital team.

3 Justification from the Education Capital team

- 3.1 The Education Capital team advised that the decision to change the façade treatment for the school was part of the wider need to reduce costs. The pricing and review of the project coincided with significant market pressures including commencement of the war in Ukraine, which historically produced much of the steel and timber for the construction industry, as well as the residual effect of the Covid pandemic. Whilst market pressures had been somewhat mitigated in earlier costings, the war compounded the post pandemic problems around supply and resource. Prices went up nearly daily as materials became scarce. The result was that price for the scheme was effectively increased by 20% in less than 2 months, necessitating the need to go back to the Strategy and Resources Committee to request further funding.
- 3.2 Although further finding was agreed, it was stipulated that value engineering and cost measures must be implemented to ensure that the construction costs were reduced as much as possible, whilst remaining in compliance with Department for Education guidelines. A costings schedule was agreed, mainly relating to internal items, but also recognising some opportunity for cost measures to come from the materials used for the external finishes of the school.

- 3.3 The Council had to commit to the project which included assimilating the risk of changing items subject to Planning related matters, in order to 'lock in' the supply chain to the agreed costs and prevent them continuing ed upwards, taking the project further out of reach. The priority for CCC was the need for the scheme, given the wider development of West Cambourne and the rate at which house occupations were likely to push up need for the school spaces. Even the delay in resolving the spiralling costs meant the scheme would miss the date of the initial increase in pupil numbers. Waiting for the planning approvals to change in the render finish would exacerbate this capacity issue, meaning that the school accommodation would not be available for the numbers emerging, meaning CCC would fail in providing adequate pupil places.
- 3.4 As noted in paragraph 2.8 above, the location of the buildings means that they do not in itself sit within the West Cambourne design guide and in considering the changes, it was noted that blocks 3, 4 and 5 of the school sit centrally within the site and are some distance from other non-school buildings and are therefore not 'read' with the wider development. The teaching blocks do not form one of the more important outwardly public facing elevations and the render is a common material within the school site so retains a link with the existing blocks.

4 Conclusion

- 4.1 Following discussions with the Education Capital team, the application change the agreed details of the external materials of the teaching blocks of the school has been withdrawn which leaves an outstanding breach of planning control. However, the change to the approved materials has already been installed.
- 4.2 The West Cambourne Design Code is a consideration but does not apply to the school site and the use of render as a replacement for brick has been minimised as far as possible and are on the internal facades that have the least impact on the views from the 'Design Code' area.
- 4.3 Whilst it is not a material planning consideration, insisting on the removal of the render and installation of the white brick would both disturb the operation of the school and attract significant costs.
- 4.4 The County Council cannot take formal enforcement action against itself in the form of the service of an enforcement notice and it is considered that the planning harm is low and that enforcement action is not necessary or expedient in this instance.

Background documents

Planning application references CCC/21/087/FUL, CCC/22/044/DCON, CCC/23/098/DCON (withdrawn), full details of which can be found on the Council's public access planning webpages: [link to Public access pages](#) - <https://planning.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/online-applications/>

[Link to the Council's Enforcement Plan 2020](#)

Summary of Decisions Made Under Delegated Powers

To: Planning Committee

Date: 20 March 2024

From: Head of Service, Planning and Sustainable Growth

Electoral division(s): All

Purpose: To consider the above

Recommendation: The committee is invited to note the report

Officer contact:

Name: Deborah Jeakins

Post: Business Manager, County Planning, Minerals and Waste

Email: [Link to the email address for Deborah Jeakins](#)

Tel: 07468 719657

1 Introduction

- 1.1 At the committee meeting that was held on 31 January 2005, it was agreed that a brief summary of all the planning applications that have been determined by the Head of Strategic Planning, under delegated powers, would be provided.
- 1.2 The Scheme of Delegation set out in Part 3D of the Council's Constitution describes the extent and nature of the authority delegated to the Executive Director: Place and Economy (now Place and Sustainability) to undertake functions on behalf of Cambridgeshire County Council. The delegations are made either by the Full Council or one of its committees. The Executive Director considered it necessary and expedient, to authorise the Head of Strategic Planning (now the Service Director Planning, Growth & Environment) to undertake functions on his behalf. These authorisations are included within a written schedule of authorisation published on the Council's website which is available at the following link for Place and Economy (now Place and Sustainability):
<https://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/council/council-structure/council-s-constitution/>.
- 1.3 The report contains a summary of the full planning permissions granted using delegated authority since the last report was presented to Planning Committee, along with details of applications for variations approved under Section 73 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended). The report does not reflect the decisions made in relation to the discharge of conditions or non material amendments to previously granted permissions.

2. Summary of decisions

- 2.1 The last delegated report was presented to Planning Committee on 6 September 2023. Nine applications have been determined under delegated powers during the period between 30/08/2023 (when the last report went for publication) and 11/03/2024 (the date of drafting this report), details of each are set out below:

1. CCC/22/108/FUL Removal of aggregate storage bays and existing coated stone plant, installation of replacement coated stone plant, erection of dry aggregate bays, weighbridge, the continued storage and distribution of aggregates, associated works and facilities, and biodiversity enhancements.

Location: Tillicoultry Quarries Ltd Coated Stone Plant, Queen Adelaide Way, Ely, CB7 4UB

Decision: Permission granted on 26.09.2023

For further information: Deborah Jeakins on 07468 719657

2. CCC/22/142/FUL Temporary planning permission for the installation and use of a mobile ready-mix concrete batching plant, associated cement and water storage silos, stores and hardstanding.

Location: Plantation Quarry, 75 Station Road, Steeple Morden, Royston SG8 0NX

Decision: Permission granted on 22.09.2023

For further information: Deborah Jeakins on 07468 719657

3. CCC/22/148/FUL Demolition of existing structure and erection of new building to house youth facilities.

Location: Wisbech Adventure Playground, The Spinney, Waterlees Road, Wisbech, PE13 3HB

Decision: Permission granted on 09.10.2023

For further information: Lorna Gilbert on 07795 641898

4. CCC/23/038/VAR Erection of four new teaching blocks to create a 2 form entry (FE) extension to Cambourne Village College to create an 11 FE of entry school (1650 pupils), 2 storey extension to the existing music/drama block to create additional teaching facilities, conversion and 2 storey extension to the existing teaching block to create a 350 place independent sixth form building, associated new cycle and pedestrian access link, reconfigured pedestrian walkway, associated school playing fields, MUGA and cycle parking, relocation of the existing attenuation basin, new car parking, new vehicular access to the school, new vehicular route within the school site, new means of enclosure around the perimeter of the school site, hard and soft landscaping, ancillary facilities and widening of Swansley Lane.

Informative: this is a S73 application to vary Condition 3 of CCC/21/087/FUL by amending the approved plans relating to landscaping and boundary treatment.

Location: Cambourne Village College, Sheepfold Lane, Cambourne CB23 6FR

Decision: Permission granted on 27.09.2023

For further information: Lorna Gilbert on 07795 641898

5. CCC/23/044/FUL A lean-to extension of the existing main recycling building of Johnsons Aggregate and Recycling to house the operational trommel.

Location: New Saxon Works, Peterborough Road, Whittlesey PE7 1PD

Decision: Permission granted on 14.09.2023

For further information: Deborah Jeakins on 07468 719657

6. CCC/23/088/VAR Retention of 7 bay mobile classroom, canopy, external play areas and the all-weather outdoor play area, for a temporary period. Informative: Section 73 application to retain the 7 bay mobile classroom, canopy, external play areas and the all-weather outdoor play area, for a temporary period until 31 August 2028, without complying with condition 1 of planning permission C/5000/18/CC.

Location: The Galfrid School, Galfrid Road, Cambridge CB5 8ND

Decision: Permission granted on 22.09.2023

For further information: Kathy Render on 07795 157990

7. FMW/025/19 Section 73 planning application to develop land without complying with condition 1 of planning permission H/05001/13/CW (Restoration of land at Colne Fen using imported inert waste to create conservation habitats) to allow the development to continue for a further 5 years until 31 December 2024

Location: Land At Colne Fen Quarry, Chatteris Road, Somersham

Decision: Permission granted on 11.12.2023

For further information: Helen Wass on 07771 972694

8. CCC/23/034/VAR Extraction of chalk and restoration to a beneficial afteruse at Station Quarry, Steeple Morden (Steeple Morden II)

Informative: This is a Section 73A application to vary condition 7 of permission ref. FMW/080/19 to allow mineral and mineral waste arising from Station Quarry to be transported to Plantation Quarry via the existing conveyor or a single lorry only.

Location: Station Quarry, Station Road, Steeple Morden, Baldock SG7 5RT

Decision: Permission granted on 31.01.2024

For further information: Deborah Jeakins on 07468 719657

9. CCC/23/141/FUL Extension to existing building and new ventilation/extraction system.

Location: Witchford Village College, Manor Road, Witchford, Ely CB6 2JA.

Decision: Permission granted on 01.03.2024

For further information: Debra Creek on 07388 371246