

Failure of the Council's arrangements for safeguarding vulnerable children and adults							
Risk	Likelihood					Potential Consequences	
	1	2	3	4	5		
	5						
	4						
	3						
2				X			
1							
Consequence							
Risk Owners		Current Score		8	Last Review		
		Target Score			14/04/2016		
		Previous Score		8	Next Review		
					13/07/2016		
Triggers		Likelihood Factors (Vulnerability)			Potential Consequences		
Children's Social Care:					1. Harm to child or an adult receiving services from the Council		
1. Children's social care case loads reach unsustainable levels as indicated by the unit case load tool					2. Reputational damage to the Council		
2. More than 25% of children whose referral to social care occurred within 12 months of a previous referral							
3. Serious case review is triggered							
Adult Social Care (inc. OPMH):							

Actions / Controls Already In Place	Adequacy	Critical Success
1. Multi-agency Safeguarding Boards provides multi agency focus on safeguarding priorities and provides systematic review of safeguarding activity	Good	
10. Coordinated work between Police, County Council and other agencies to identify child sexual exploitation, including supporting children and young people transitions to adulthood, with the oversight of the LSCB	Good	
2. Skilled and experienced safeguarding leads and their managers.	Good	
3. Comprehensive and robust safeguarding training, ongoing development policies and opportunities for staff, and regular supervisions monitor and instil safeguarding procedures and practice.	Good	

Required Management Action / Control	Responsibility	Target Date
1. Implement plan to integrate adult safeguarding into the Multi-agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH)		31/03/2016
2. Implementing new operational management arrangements across children's social care to ensure better management of re		31/05/2016
3. Investigating referral arrangements to ensure most effective arrangements are in place to the MASH - proposals to be		31/05/2016
4. Implementation of changes to safeguarding as required by the Care Act 2014 overseen by the Safeguarding Adults Board		29/04/2016
5. Implementing new QA process, including monthly reporting, of safeguarding of adults to ensure we are complying with I		31/05/2016

4. Continuous process of updating practice and procedures, linking to local and national trends, including learning from local and national reviews such as Serious Case Reviews.	Good	
5. Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH) supports timely, effective and comprehensive communication and decisions on how best to approach specific safeguarding situation between partners.	Good	
6. Robust process of Internal Quality Assurance (QA framework) including case auditing and monitoring of performance	Good	
7. Whistleblowing policy, robust Local Authority Designated Officer (LADO) arrangements and complaints process inform practice	Good	
8. Regular monitoring of social care providers and information sharing meetings with other local organisations, including the Care Quality Commission	Good	
9. Joint protocols, practice standards and QA ensure appropriate joint management and case transfer between Children's Social Care and Enhanced and Preventative Services	Good	

Risk Path:

Cambridgeshire County Council/Training Register/Milton Keynes Council

Risk Category: