

## Highway Capital Maintenance Programme 2021-22 Schemes over £500,000

To: Highways & Transport Committee

Meeting Date: 25 January 2022

From: Steve Cox, Executive Director Place and Economy

Electoral division(s): All

Key decision: Yes

Forward Plan ref: 2022/020

Outcome: To deliver a series of Highway Maintenance Schemes from the 21/22 capital programme that exceed £500,000 each in value.

Recommendation: Committee is asked to:

- a) approve the procurement of 7 schemes for commissioning and delivery as set out in table 1.
- b) To delegate the contract award decision to Executive Director in consultation with Chair and Vice Chair of the Highways and Transport Committee

### Officer contact:

Name: Jon Munslow  
Post: Assistant Director Highway Maintenance  
Email: [jon.munslow@cambridgeshire.gov.uk](mailto:jon.munslow@cambridgeshire.gov.uk)  
Tel: 01480 372612

### Member contacts:

Names: Councillors Peter McDonald and Gerri Bird  
Post: Chair/Vice-Chair  
Email: [petermcdonald@cambridgeshire.gov.uk](mailto:petermcdonald@cambridgeshire.gov.uk) [gerri.bird@cambridgeshire.gov.uk](mailto:gerri.bird@cambridgeshire.gov.uk)  
Tel: 01223 706398

## 1. Background

- 1.1 A number of schemes within the Highway Capital Maintenance Programme exceed the £500k key decision threshold.
- 1.2 The Highway Capital Maintenance Programme was approved on 9 March 2021 as part of the Highways Operational Standards (HOS) Annual Review Paper 2021.
- 1.3 The schemes require Committee approval in order for officers to progress their delivery.

## 2. Main Issues

- 2.1 The schemes requiring committee approval are;

Table 1 –Highway Capital Maintenance Programme over £500k threshold

<b>Location</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>Works</b>	<b>Value</b>
Haddenham	Hillrow Causeway	Carriageway reconstruction – <i>EHF3 Package Cr</i>	£600,000
Littleport	Mildenhall Road	Carriageway reconstruction – <i>EHF3 Package &amp; Spend profile now around 50% of this only in 21/22 as previously highlighted to finance committee £50,000</i>	£902,000
Manea	Wimblington Road	Carriageway recon/recycle - Milestone	£640,000
Wisbech	Cromwell Road	Carriageway resurfacing – <i>Contribution in part (£250k roughly, from two developer contributions as S278 bonds called in).Milestone</i>	£679,463
Yaxely	Hod Fen Drove	Carriageway reconstruction - Phase 2 - <i>EHF3 Package</i>	£523,000
Ramsey Mereside	Oilmills Road	Carriageway reconstruction - Various sections - <i>EHF3 Package</i>	£646,000
A505 Duxford	Royston Road	Replace Safety Fencing – Milestone	£920000

### 3. Alignment with corporate priorities

- 3.1 Communities at the heart of everything we do  
Delivery of the schemes will improve highways infrastructure contributing to a better public realm.
- 3.2 A good quality of life for everyone  
Well maintained highways infrastructure supports the daily activities of residents, businesses and communities.
- 3.3 Helping our children learn, develop and live life to the full  
There are no significant implications for this priority See wording under 3.1 above.
- 3.4 Cambridgeshire: a well-connected, safe, clean, green environment  
A well-maintained highway network supports connectivity and road safety.
- 3.5 Protecting and caring for those who need us  
There are no significant implications for this priority.

### 4. Significant Implications

- 4.1 Resource Implications  
Budgets are confirmed to fund all of these schemes.
- 4.2 Procurement/Contractual/Council Contract Procedure Rules Implications  
Committee approval is required to ensure compliance with the Council's Contract Procedure Rules. Engagement of delivery partners will be through existing contracts and frameworks.
- 4.3 Statutory, Legal and Risk Implications  
Use of existing contracts and frameworks for contractor engagement reduces risk to the council of under taking these schemes.
- 4.4 Equality and Diversity Implications  
There are no significant implications within this category. An equality impact screening was undertaken for the Highway Capital Maintenance Programme policy.
- 4.5 Engagement and Communications Implications  
There are no significant implications within this category.
- 4.6 Localism and Local Member Involvement  
There are no significant implications within this category.
- 4.7 Public Health Implications

There are no significant implications within this category.

#### 4.8 Environment and Climate Change Implications on Priority Areas

##### 4.8.1 Implication 1: Energy efficient, low carbon buildings.

Positive/neutral/negative Status: Not applicable

##### 4.8.2 Implication 2: Low carbon transport.

Positive/neutral/negative Status: Neutral

Explanation: Maintaining existing roads does not contribute to low carbon transport, however, ensuring roads are well maintained allows roads to continue to be used by vehicles including EV. On balance this is neutral.

##### 4.8.3 Implication 3: Green spaces, peatland, afforestation, habitats and land management.

Positive/neutral/negative Status: Not applicable

##### 4.8.4 Implication 4: Waste Management and Tackling Plastic Pollution.

Positive/neutral/negative Status: Not applicable

##### 4.8.5 Implication 5: Water use, availability and management:

Positive/neutral/negative Status: Not applicable

##### 4.8.6 Implication 6: Air Pollution.

Positive/neutral/negative Status: Not applicable

##### 4.8.7 Implication 7: Resilience of our services and infrastructure, and supporting vulnerable people to cope with climate change.

Positive/neutral/negative Status: Neutral

Explanation: Maintaining roads is important for a resilient community to ensure services can function and people can move freely. That said, maintaining roads does not improve people's ability to cope with climate change. On balance this is neutral.

Have the resource implications been cleared by Finance? Yes

Name of Financial Officer: Sarah Heywood

Have the procurement/contractual/ Council Contract Procedure Rules implications been cleared by the LGSS Head of Procurement? Yes

Name of Officer: Henry Swan

Has the impact on statutory, legal and risk implications been cleared by the Council's Monitoring Officer or LGSS Law? Yes

Name of Legal Officer: Fiona McMillan

Have the equality and diversity implications been cleared by your Service Contact?

Yes

Name of Officer: Elsa Evans

Have any engagement and communication implications been cleared by Communications?

Yes

Name of Officer: Sarah Silk

Have any localism and Local Member involvement issues been cleared by your Service Contact? Yes or No

Name of Officer: Jon Munslow

Have any Public Health implications been cleared by Public Health?

Yes

Name of Officer: Iain Green

If a Key decision, have any Environment and Climate Change implications been cleared by the Climate Change Officer?

Yes

Name of Officer: Alex Mueller / Emily Bolton

## 5. Source documents guidance

### 5.1 Source documents

[Contract Procedure Rules - Cambridgeshire County Council.](#)

[Financial Regulations – Cambridgeshire County Council.](#)