

DELIVERABILITY CRITERIA

Score	Delivery of project: Practical feasibility - is the project technically capable of being delivered, e.g. are there land ownership issues	Delivery of project: Evidence of stakeholder support - is there evidence of support for the project from e.g. Members, the public, District Council, Parish Council	Economic Case: Scale of impact - what is the scale of (a) economic, (b) environmental and (c) social impacts of the project in relation to development(s), e.g. how many people will it benefit, what geographical area will it cover - local / countywide / strategic, noise, air quality, safety, accessibility / severance	Economic Case: Value for money - what level of benefits will the project deliver assessed against cost; either in BCR or qualitative assessment	Financial Case: Match/Alternative funding - are there other funding sources available for the project, either in whole or in part	Financial Case: Affordability - the extent to which the level of expenditure and financial risk involved in a project can be taken on, given other requests for funding
3	Can be delivered with no issues, potentially in conjunction with other works	Formal consultation carried out evidencing support	Major/cross-district positive impact		>50%	Entirely funded by third party or specific funding stream
2	Feasible with added value	Supported multiple (eg public & members)	Mid-large scale positive impact		25-50%	Can be delivered without impacting other projects, part funded as per +3
1	Feasible	Support indicated (eg public or members)	Small scale/localised positive impact		<25%	Can be delivered without impacting other projects, low risk of costs increasing
0	Feasible but minor issues	No evidence	No impact or +/- balance	No impact or +/- balance	None	Affordable
-1	Feasible but highway land not sufficient/multiple issues	Minor opposition indicated	Small scale/localised negative impact			Affordable with impact, risk of costs increasing
-2	Feasible but more significant issues with land, services, etc.	Multiple opposition indicated	Mid-large scale negative impact			Unaffordable without Third Party contribution
-3	Not possible without major additional works	Formal consultation shows large opposition	Major/cross-district negative impact			Unaffordable without significant Third Party contribution

OBJECTIVES CRITERIA

LTP objective	Enabling people to thrive, achieve their potential and improve their quality of life	Supporting and protecting vulnerable people	Managing and delivering the growth and development of sustainable communities	Promoting improved skill levels and economic prosperity across the county, helping people into jobs and encouraging enterprise/	Meeting the challenges of climate change and enhancing the natural environment	
St Neots Town Plan objective	Encourage the growth of retail, leisure and community facilities:		Improve availability and accessibility of housing stock and improve community assets	Promote the growth of high quality and sustainable employment	Protect and enhance St Neots' natural and historic environment, countryside and river setting	Improve the provision of sustainable transport throughout the town
3	Creates links to amenities and community facilities that previously were unavailable.	Creates new safe means of access to places that previously were unavailable.	Directly mitigates the impact of a new development	Creates links to amenities and community facilities that previously were unavailable.	Leads to a change in modal shift as a result of 'green infrastructure'	New/improved infrastructure that has the potential for mode shift or to encourage new travel habits
2	Significantly improves existing links to amenities and community facilities	Significantly improves the safety of existing routes to key destinations.	Local mitigation measures which increase capacity elsewhere in the town	Significantly improves existing links to employment sites	Leads to a change in modal shift/Significantly enhances the environment	New infrastructure that helps switch between modes/ provides a missing link/fills a demand
1	Ensures that existing links to amenities and community facilities are fit for purpose.	Meets our statutory minimum safety requirements	Enhances routes/traffic corridor where new developments are situated (without increasing capacity)	Ensures that existing links to employment sites are fit for purpose.	Leads to no increase in trips but enhances the environment	A minor upgrade to an existing piece of infrastructure
0	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change
-1	Slight reduction in quality/ convenience for existing links to amenities and community facilities		Likely to remove capacity on routes/traffic corridors where new developments are situated.		Leads to an increase in trips/Detrimental environment impact	
-2					Leads to an increase in trips/Significant detrimental impact on the environment	
-3					Leads to an increase in trips/Infrastructure delivered with is environmentally unfriendly	