

|          | County   | - Soundi  |  |         |  |             |        |         | -  |                 |             |                        | Ve               | ersion Date: August 2015                                   |
|----------|--|---|--|---------|--|-------------|--------|---------|--|-----------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
|          |  | Details of Risk   |  |         |  | Res         | sidua  | al Ris  | k Actions  | ;               |             |                        |                  |  |
| Risk No. | Risk Description   | Trigger   | Result   | Owner   | Key Controls/Mitigation  | Probability | Impact | Score * | Description  | Action<br>Owner | Target Date | Revised<br>Target Date | Action<br>Status | Action Owner Acronyms<br>explained                         |
| 1a       | Failure to produce a robust<br>and secure Business Plan<br>over the next 5 years | <ol> <li>Failure to have clear political<br/>direction, vision, priorities, and<br/>outcomes in the Business Plan.</li> <li>Failure to plan effectively to<br/>achieve necessary efficiency<br/>savings and service transformation.</li> <li>Failure to identify sufficient<br/>additional savings in addition to<br/>existing plans, in light of<br/>forthcoming CSR.</li> <li>Worsening Pension Fund deficit<br/>5. Legislative changes add<br/>unforseen pressures to Council<br/>savings targets</li> </ol> | 1. The Council lacks clear direction<br>for resource use and either over-<br>spends, requiring the need for<br>reactive savings during the life of<br>the plan, or spends limited<br>resources unwisely, to the<br>detriment of local communities. | CD CS&T | <ol> <li>Robust political leadership, strong vision, clear priorities and policies, developed through councillor engagement</li> <li>Robust engagement with members of CLT and Councillors through the Business Planning process timetable, to ensure greater cross-organisational challenge and development of options.</li> <li>Full consultation with public, partners and businesses during planning process, including thorough use of data research and business intelligence to inform the planning process</li> <li>Stronger links with service planning across the Council seeking to transform large areas of spend.</li> <li>Business Planning process requires early identification of possible impacts of legislative changes, as details emerge</li> <li>A working party is exploring alternatives to the existing business planning process</li> </ol>  |             | 4      | 16      | 2. Implementation of the "new operating<br>model" business planning approach<br>alongside the existing cash limit approach<br>(as approved by GPC 28 July 2015)  | SMT             | Feb-16      |                        | G                |  |
| 16       | Failure to deliver the <i>current</i><br><i>5 year</i> Business Plan             | <ol> <li>Failure to deliver (with partners)<br/>the Business Plan and achieve<br/>required efficiency savings and<br/>service transformation.</li> <li>Assumptions in existing<br/>Business Plan regarding the wider<br/>economic situation are inaccurate.</li> <li>Organisation not sufficiently<br/>aligned to face challenges.</li> </ol>   | 1. The Council is unable to<br>achieve required savings and fails<br>to meet statutory responsibilities or<br>budget targets; need for reactive in<br>year savings; adverse effect on<br>delivery of outcomes for<br>communities                   | CE      | <ol> <li>Robust service planning; priorities cascaded through management teams and through appraisal process</li> <li>Strategy in place to communicate vision and plan throughout the organisation</li> <li>Performance Management</li> <li>Governance framework to manage transformation agenda:         <ul> <li>Integrated portfolio of programmes and projects</li> <li>Routine portfolio review to identify and address dependencies, cross cutting opportunities and overlaps</li> <li>Directorates to review and recommend priorities</li> <li>Directorate Management Teams/Programme Gvnce Boards ratify decisions</li> <li>Rigorous RM discipline embedded in all transformation programmes/projects, with escalation process to Directorate Management Teams / Programme Boards</li> <li>Integrated performance and resource reporting (monthly to GPC)</li> <li>Monthly progress against savings targets</li> <li>Corporate Scorecard monitors performance against priorities</li> <li>Budget holders monthly meetings with LGSS Finance Partner/External Grants Team, producing BCR</li> <li>Regular meetings with Director of Finance/s151 Officer, Committee Chairs and relevant Directors to track exceptions and identify remedial actions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Rigorous treasury management system in place plus ongoing tracking of national and international economic factors and Government policy</li> <li>Limited reserves for minor deviations</li> <li>Routine monitoring of savings delivery to identify any required interventions</li> <li>Bi-annual Leaders and Chairs meeting and Cambridgeshire Public Service Board</li> <li>Board Thematic Partnerships including the LEP and the Health and Well Being Board, commissioning task and finish groups</li> <li>LGSS governance arrgts incl representation on SMT (Section 151 Officer)</li> </ol> |             | 4      | 16      |  |                 |             |                        |                  |  |
| 2        | The quality, responsiveness  | <ol> <li>LGSS resources available to<br/>support CCC are reduced as LGSS<br/>expands its customer base</li> <li>Failure to manage LGSS service<br/>delivery to CCC</li> </ol>   | professional manner  |         | <ol> <li>LOSS governance angle increpresentation on SMT (occurrent ST Onice))</li> <li>Joint Committee Structure incl CCC Clir representation, LGSS Overview and<br/>Scrutiny Cttee, Chief Executive sits on LGSS Management Board</li> <li>LGSS director representation on SMT to ensure LGSS meets current and future<br/>Council needs</li> <li>LGSS Strategic Plan, Strategy Map and Improvement Activities identified</li> <li>Programme Management arrangements in place to move forward workstreams</li> <li>CCC performance management arrangements</li> <li>LGSS performance management team</li> <li>LGSS SLA's in place and regularly reviewed in detail</li> </ol>   |             | 3      | 9       | 2. In depth reviews of the remaining SLAs in<br>the Council's contract with LGSS, <b>beginning</b><br>with OWD, Audit and Risk Management and<br>Strategic Assets (including the ongoing IT<br>review) | CD CS&T         | May-15      | Mar-16                 |                  | Corporate Director, Customer<br>Service and Transformation |



|          |   | Details of Risk  |  |                  |  | Residual Risk     Actions       Key Controls/Mitigation     Image: Controls/Mitigation |        |         |  |                                    |             |                        |                  |   |
|----------|---|--|--|------------------|--|--|--------|---------|--|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------|---|
| Risk No. | Risk Description  | Trigger  | Result   | Owner            | Key Controls/Mitigation  | Probability  | Impact | Score * |  | Action<br>Owner                    | Farget Date | Revised<br>Target Date | Action<br>Status | Action Owner Acronyms<br>explained  |
|          |   |  |  |                  | 8. Corporate Director CS&T responsible for managing LGSS / CCC relationship  |  |        |         |  |                                    |             |                        |                  |   |
| 3        | The Council does not have<br>appropriate staff resources<br>with the right skills and |  | <ol> <li>Failure to deliver effective<br/>services</li> <li>Regulatory criticism/sanctions</li> <li>Civil or criminal action</li> <li>Reputational damage to the<br/>Council</li> <li>Low morale, increased sickness<br/>levels</li> </ol> |                  | <ol> <li>Annual business planning process identifies staffing resource requirements</li> <li>Children and Adults Workforce Strategy and Development plans <i>with focus</i><br/><i>on recruitment and retention</i></li> <li>Robust performance management and development practices in place.</li> <li>Flexible terms and conditions of employment</li> <li>Appropriate employee support mechanisms in place through the health and well<br/>being and counselling service agenda.</li> <li>Organisational Workforce Development Programme</li> <li>Use of statistical data to shape activity relating to recruitment and retention</li> <li><i>Workforce Strategy and Development Plan which is reviewed by LGSS<br/>Management Board on a quarterly basis.</i></li> </ol> | 3  | 4      | 12      | 2  |                                    |             |                        |                  |   |
|          |   | <ol> <li>ineffective procurement<br/>processes</li> <li>Lack of awareness of</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>Poor value for money</li> <li>Legal challenge</li> <li>Wasted time and effort in</li> </ol>   |                  | <ol> <li>Contract Procedure Rules and Procurement Best Practice Guidance kept<br/>updated with changes in best practice</li> </ol>   |  |        |         | 1. Audit reviews to provide assurance that<br>individual managers have the appropriate<br>skills and training  | HIA                                | Mar-16      |                        | G                |   |
| 4        | The Council does not achieve<br>best value from its                                   | procurement processes across the<br>Council<br>3. Ineffective contract management<br>processes<br>4. Untrained contract managers | contractual disputes   | DoLPG            | <ol> <li>Procurement Training</li> <li>Central Contract register</li> <li>Use of checklist to all new procurement activity undertaken via central<br/>Procurement team</li> </ol>  | 2  | 3      | 6       | 2. Audit reviews to provide assurance on<br>the effectiveness of contract management<br>in selected contracts  | HIA                                | Mar-16      |                        | G                |   |
|          |   |  | with consequent impacts on   |                  | <ol> <li>Maximisation of developer contributions through Section 106 negotiations.</li> <li>Prudential borrowing strategy is in place.</li> <li>Section 106 deferrals policy is in place.</li> </ol>   |  |        |         | <ol> <li>Maintain dialogue with Cambridgeshire<br/>City Council and South Cambridgeshire<br/>District Council to input into Community<br/>Infrastructure Levy prior to adoption of the<br/>Local Plan (Adoption of CIL anticipated<br/>2016)</li> <li>Investigate the potential for use of Tax<br/>Increment Financing and other innovative<br/>forms of funding.</li> </ol> | HoTIPF<br>Exec<br>Director,<br>ETE | Ongoing     |                        | G                |   |
|          |   |  |  |                  | 4. External funding for infrastructure and services is continually sought.   | -  |        |         | 9. Assist service areas define their<br>infrastructure requirements to be pulled<br>together within one policy document for use  | HoTIPF                             |             |                        |                  | HoTIPF - Head of Transport<br>Infrastructure Policy and<br>Funding  |
| 9        | Failure to secure funding for<br>infrastructure                                       |  |  | ED ETE<br>ED CFA | <ol> <li>Maintain dialogue with Huntingdonshire District Council and East<br/>Cambridgeshire District Council where Community Infrastructure Levy is in<br/>place to secure CIL monies for County Projects.</li> <li>Strategic development sites dealt with through S106 rather than CIL and<br/>S106. In dealing with sites through S106 alone, the County Council has<br/>direct involvement in negotiation and securing of developer contributions</li> </ol>   | 4  | 4      | 16      | <ul> <li>10. Scope out potential for a more joined up approach to CIL and investment in infrastructure</li> <li>12. Seek to maximise potential Basic Need capital allocations through submission of a robust evidence-based School Capacity Annual Destury to the Denotment for Education</li> </ul>   | HoTIPF<br>Exec<br>Director,<br>CFA | Aug-15      | Autmn<br>2015          | G                | HoGE - Head of Growth and<br>Economy<br>HoS - Head of Strategy<br>SD S&C - Service Director,<br>Strategy and Commissioning<br>ED CFA - Exec Director, |
|          |   |  |  |                  | to mitigate the impact of a specific development.<br>7 Respond to District Council Local Plans and input to infrastructure policy<br>at all stages of the Local Plan process.  |  |        |         | Return to the Department for Education.<br>14. Develop a New Communities Strategy to<br>provide clearer arrangements for <i>how CCC</i><br><i>will support people moving into new</i>  | SD S&C                             |             |                        | G                | Children, Familes and Adults  |

| Version | Date: | August | 2015 |
|---------|-------|--------|------|
|         |       |        |      |



|          |                  |  |  |                   |   |             |        |         |  |                 |             |                        | ١                | /ersion Date: August 2015  |
|----------|------------------|--|--|-------------------|---|-------------|--------|---------|--|-----------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
|          |                  | Details of Risk  |  |                   |   |             |        | al Ris  | k Actions  | 5               |             |                        |                  |  |
| Risk No. | Risk Description | Trigger  | Result   | Owner             | Key Controls/Mitigation   | Probability | Impact | Score * | Description  | Action<br>Owner | Target Date | Revised<br>Target Date | Action<br>Status | Action Owner Acronyms<br>explained   |
|          |                  |  |  |                   | 8. Lobby with LGA over infrastructure deficit<br>9. County Planning Obligation Strategy being developed for Districts and<br>CCC use.   |             |        |         | 15. County Planning obligation strategy<br>being developed for district's and CCC use.                           | HoGE            | Dec-15      |                        |                  |  |
| 14       |                  | 1. Changes to the welfare-<br>benefits system<br>2. Increase in economic-<br>migration<br>3. Failure to understand-<br>different needs of community-<br>groups | 1. Increased pressure on<br>vulnerable families increases-<br>the demand for services-<br>2. Housing harder to access-<br>leading to increased<br>homelessness and relocation to<br>areas of lower cost housing<br>3. Increased community-<br>tensions and public-<br>dissatisfaction-<br>4. Sections of community feel-<br>excluded/marginalised-<br>potentially resulting in-<br>increased community tensions-<br>and public dissatisfaction | <del>ED-CFA</del> | <ol> <li>Community Cohesion Strategy and Action Plan in place. Child Poverty-<br/>strategy agreed with multi agency commitment</li> <li>Monitoring of impact of benefit changes allows increases in need to be-<br/>better anticipated</li> <li>Cambs Sub-regional Housing Board planning for future housing needs in<br/>the long term.</li> <li>County Homeless Executive working to reduce the impact of-<br/>homelessness.</li> <li>Sub-regional Homeless Group working the reduce the incidence of-<br/>homelessness.</li> <li>CYP Area partnerships supporting the uptake of Free School Meals</li> <li>Welfare reform communications to families coordinated by the Families-<br/>Information Service</li> <li>Demand management work</li> <li>Community resilence/capacity</li> </ol> |             | 3      | 9       | 8. Work with LEP to access ESF funds to<br>support projects which support Social<br>Inclusion and combat poverty | SD-S&C          | Jun-14      | Dec 14<br>Jun 15       | A                | SD S&C - Service Director,<br>Strategy and Commissioning<br>ED CFA - Executive Director<br>Children, Families and Adults<br>HoS&P - Head of Strategy |



|          |  | Details of Risk  |   |       |  | Res         | sidua  | al Risł | Action   | s               |                         |                        |        | Version Date: August 2015  |
|----------|--|--|---|-------|--|-------------|--------|---------|--|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------|--|
| Risk No. | Risk Description   | Trigger  | Result  | Owner | Key Controls/Mitigation  | Probability | Impact | Score * | Description  | Action<br>Owner | Target Date             | Revised<br>Target Date | Action | Action Owner Acronyms<br>explained   |
|          |  | and children<br>2. Insufficient skilled and<br>experienced staff in Social Care.<br>3. Instability of social care<br>workforce.<br>4. Quality Assurance processes fail   | <ol> <li>Harm to a child (including in<br/>Domestic Violence situations) or<br/>an adult receiving services from<br/>the Council</li> <li>Reputational damage to Council</li> </ol> |       | <ol> <li>Multi-agency Safeguarding Boards</li> <li>Safeguarding Procedures, monitored during on-going supervision, and via<br/>service quality monitoring arrangements including case audits.</li> <li>Adults Safeguarding Practice Guidance and Procedures in place for Partners<br/>and reviewed regularly</li> </ol>  |             |        |         | <ol> <li>Implement plan to integrate adult<br/>safeguarding into the Multi-agency<br/>Safeguarding Hub (MASH)</li> <li>Revision to safeguarding procedures to<br/>support government initiative 'Making<br/>Safeguarding Personal' as referred to in<br/>current guidance for the Care Act.</li> </ol> | SD ASC          | Jul-15<br><b>Oct-15</b> | Sep-15                 | G      | ED CFA - Executive Director  |
|          |  | <ul> <li>to identify poor practice.</li> <li>5. Volume of work exceeds staff<br/>capacity.</li> <li>6. Information not shared effectively<br/>between different parts of the<br/>safeguarding system.</li> <li>7. Poor case recording and record<br/>obscing.</li> </ul> |   |       | <ol> <li>Regular sharing of information with regulating bodies, including regulator<br/>reviews across Social Care Services.</li> </ol>  |             |        |         |  |                 |                         |                        |        | Children, Families and Adults<br>SD ASC - Service Director,<br>Adult Social Care |
|          |  | sharing.   |   |       | <ol> <li>Skilled and experienced safeguarding leads &amp; their managers.</li> <li>Comprehensive and robust recruitment and training and development policies<br/>for staff, including safer employment practices and arrangements for induction and<br/>ongoing development including case recording.</li> <li>Common Assessment Framework to identify children at risk.</li> <li>Continuous process of updating practice and procedures, linking to local and</li> </ol>   | -           |        |         |  |                 |                         |                        |        |  |
|          |  |  |   |       | <ul> <li>a. Continuous process or updating practice and procedures, initial to local and national trends, including learning from local and national reviews such as Serious Case Reviews.</li> <li>10. Health and Wellbeing Strategy includes commitment from partners to safeguarding and a focus on the prevention of domestic violence, raising awareness and providing appropriate support for victims</li> <li>11. Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub supports effective referral of vulnerable people across agencies</li> </ul> |             |        |         |  |                 |                         |                        |        |  |
| 15       | Failure of the Council's<br>arrangements for<br>safeguarding vulnerable<br>children and adults |  |   |       | <ol> <li>Robust process of internal QA and audit</li> <li>Revised Social Work Unit model</li> <li>Next steps Board supports and monitors Children's safeguarding improvement</li> <li>Mental Capacity Act/Deprivation of Liberty (DoL) Governance group oversees</li> </ol>  | 3           | 5      | 15      |  |                 |                         |                        |        |  |
|          |  |  |   |       | <ul> <li>DoL legislation requirements, including implications of the supreme court judgements</li> <li>16. Safeguarding Adults Board includes business plan 2014-17</li> <li>17. Adult Safeguarding training strategy including training fro GPs</li> <li>18. Whistleblowing policy</li> </ul>   |             |        |         |  |                 |                         |                        |        |  |
|          |  |  |   |       | <ol> <li>Complaints process informs practice</li> <li>Children's <i>and Adults</i> Social Care Performance Board monitors performance and thresholds</li> <li>Robust challenge and partnership engagement through the LSCB</li> <li>Children's <i>and Adults</i> Social Care Recruitment and Retention Strategy</li> </ol>   |             |        |         |  |                 |                         |                        |        |  |
|          |  |  |   |       | <ol> <li>23. Systematic review of referrals within the IAT to ensure effective triaging of new referrals</li> <li>24. Early Help QA Framework and Practice Standards</li> <li>25. Early Help Performance Framework</li> <li>26. Joint protocols for case transfer E&amp;P to Children's Social Care</li> </ol>   |             |        |         |  |                 |                         |                        |        |  |
|          |  |  |   |       | <ul> <li>27 Effective step down protocols</li> <li>28. Change to safeguarding required by the Care Act 2014 overseen by the Safeguarding Adults Board and the Transforming Lives/Care Act programme Board. Implementation began April 2015 in line with legislation and current guidance and will be reviewed and adpated as further national guidance becomes available</li> </ul>  |             |        |         |  |                 |                         |                        |        |  |
|          |  |  |   |       | 29. Coordinated work between Police, County Council and other agencies to<br>identify child sexual exploitation, with the oversight of the LSCB  |             |        |         |  |                 |                         |                        |        |  |

#### Appendix 2

# Version Date: August 2015



| Γ |                 |  | Details of Risk  |  |                   |   | Res         | idua   | al Risl        | Actions   | 6                     |                   |                        |                  |  |
|---|-----------------|--|--|--|-------------------|---|-------------|--------|----------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
|   | Risk No.        | Risk Description   | Trigger  | Result   | Owner             | Key Controls/Mitigation   | Probability | Impact | Score *        | Description   | Action<br>Owner       | Target Date       | Revised<br>Target Date | Action<br>Status | Action Owner Acronyms<br>explained                                   |
|   |                 |  | 1. Significant increase in the<br>numbers of children and adults<br>requiring services                           | <ol> <li>Client dissatisfaction and<br/>increased risk of harm</li> <li>Reputational damage to the</li> </ol>                      |                   | <ol> <li>Regular audits of assessment processes and the use of trend data to-<br/>identify children's needs at the earliest stage.</li> </ol>   |             |        |                | <del>11. Deliver Looked After Children-</del><br>P <del>lacement Strategy</del>                                     | <del>ed CFA</del>     | Sep-14            | Mar-16                 | G                |  |
|   |                 |  | 2. Increase in the acuity of needs<br>3. Resourcing pressures within<br>the Council                              | 3. Regulatory criticism<br>4. Civil or criminal action   |                   | 2. Multi-agency panels enable commissioners of services to consider and<br>plan to meet needs jointly and agree funding   |             |        |                | 12. Deliver Older People's Strategy   | <del>SD OP</del>      | <del>Mar-15</del> | <del>Mar-16</del>      | G                |  |
|   |                 |  | 4. Sudden increase in population<br>in one area due to large building-   |  |                   | 3. Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA) provides population-<br>information, which is used to target services in Adult Social Care and CYPS  |             |        |                | 13. Deliver Early Help offer  | <del>SD E&amp;P</del> | Apr-15            | <del>Mar-16</del>      | G                |  |
|   |                 |  | <del>development</del>   |  |                   | 4. Other safeguarding measures in place to identify service users and close<br>liaison between multi agency partners to help manage any unanticipated<br>increase in need   |             |        |                | 14. Deliver SEN Commissioning Framework   | <del>SD S&amp;C</del> | <del>Jul-14</del> | <del>Mar-16</del>      | G                | ED CFA - Executive Director<br>Children, Families and Adults         |
|   |                 |  |  |  |                   | 5. Linkage with Business Planning process   |             |        |                | 15. Deliver joint LD/PD resourcing plan   | <del>SD ASC</del>     | <del>Sep-14</del> | <del>Mar-15</del>      |                  | SD OP - Service Director,<br>Older People and Mental<br>Health       |
|   | 16 <sup>#</sup> | Lack of capacity to-<br>esource future demand for<br>services in respect of- |  |  | <del>ED CFA</del> | 7. Special Educational Needs (SEN) Strategy   | 3           | 4      | <del>-12</del> | <del>17. Delivery of demand management<br/>savings proposals within the 2015-16<br/>Business Plan</del>             | <del>ED CFA</del>     | <del>Mar-15</del> | <del>Mar-16</del>      | G                | SD E&P - Service Director,<br>Enhanced and Preventative              |
|   |                 | children and adults  |  |  |                   | 8. Placements Strategy  |             |        |                | 18. Develop proposals to manage demand-<br>through the CFA Commissioning Strategy-<br>to 2020-                      | <del>ED CFA</del>     | <del>Feb-16</del> |                        | G                | SD S&C - Strategy and<br>Commissioning<br>SD ASC - Service Director, |
|   |                 |  |  |  |                   | 12. Strategic commissioning framework priorities  |             |        |                |   |                       |                   |                        |                  | Adult Social Care  |
|   |                 |  |  |  |                   | 13. New Communities Service ensures awareness of what will be required to resource service provision in new communities   | -           |        |                |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |
|   |                 |  |  |  |                   | 14. Apply our knowledge of demographic change to predict impact on services   |             |        |                |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |
|   |                 |  |  |  |                   |   |             |        |                |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |
|   |                 |  | 1. Staff unaware of changes to<br>legislative/regulatory requirements<br>2. Lack of staff training               | 1. Adverse reports from regulators<br>2. Criminal or civil action against<br>the Council   |                   | LGSS legal team robust and up to date with appropriate legislation.     LGSS legal team brief Corporate Leadership Team on legislative changes     Service managers kept abreast of changes in legislation by the Monitoring     Officer, Gov departments and professional bodies |             |        |                |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |
|   |                 |  | 3. Lack of management review   | 3. Reputational damage   |                   | 4. Monitoring Officer role  |             |        |                |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |
|   | 20              | Non compliance with legislative and regulatory                               |  |  | CE                | 5. Code of Corporate Governance<br>6. Community impact assessments required for key decisions   | 2           | 4      |                |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |
|   | 20              | requirements   |  |  | -                 | <ol> <li>Business Planning process used to identify and address changes to<br/>legislative/regulatory requirements</li> </ol>   | 2           | 4      | ŏ              |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |
|   |                 |  |  |  |                   | 8. Constitutional delegation to Committees and SMT  |             |        |                |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |
|   |                 |  |  |  |                   | 9. H&S policy and processes   |             |        |                |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |
| ┝ | +               |  | <ol> <li>Loss of staff (large quantities or<br/>key staff)</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>Inability to deliver consistent and<br/>continuous services to vulnerable</li> </ol>                                      |                   | 1. Corporate and service business continuity plans  |             |        |                | <ol> <li>Project to establish 2nd LGSS data centre<br/>for resilience/backup of all systems, in addition</li> </ol> | DolT                  | Mar-13            | Dec-15                 |                  | DoIT - Director of Information<br>Technology                         |
|   |                 |  |  | people<br>2. School closures at critical times   |                   | 2 Deletionships with the Unions including several eventhics   |             |        |                | to Scott House facility.  |                       | Con 45            |                        |                  | HoEP - Head of Emergency   |
|   |                 |  | <ol> <li>Loss of IT, equipment or data</li> <li>Loss of a supplier</li> <li>Loss of utilities or fuel</li> </ol> | impacting students' ability to<br>achieve<br>3. Inability to fully meet legislative<br>and statutory requirements                  |                   | 2. Relationships with the Unions including agreed exemptions  |             |        |                | 12. Address the management agreed actions<br>from the Business Continuity Audit                                     | HoEP                  | Sep-15            |                        |                  | Planning<br>HIA&RM - Head of Internal<br>Audit and Risk Management   |
|   |                 |  |  | 4. Increase in service demand<br>(e.g. in pandemic)<br>5. Inability to respond to citizens'<br>request for services or information |                   | 3. Corporate communication channels   |             |        |                |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |
|   | 21              | Business Disruption  |  | 6. Lasting reputational damage   | CD CST            | <ol> <li>Multi-agency collaboration through the Cambridgeshire &amp; Peterborough Local<br/>Resilience Forum (CPLRF)</li> </ol>   | 3           | 4      | 12             |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |
|   |                 |  |  |  |                   | 5. First phase of IT resilience project including the increased alternative<br>power/environment conditions in major machine rooms  |             |        |                |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |
|   |                 |  |  |  |                   | 6. Operational controls   |             |        |                |   |                       |                   |                        |                  |  |

#### Appendix 2

### Version Date: August 2015



|          |   | Details of Risk   |                                  |       |   | Res         | idual  | l Risk  | Actions  | 3               |                  |                        |                  |  |
|----------|---|---|----------------------------------|-------|---|-------------|--------|---------|--|-----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| Risk No. | Risk Description  | Trigger   | Result                           | Owner | Key Controls/Mitigation   | Probability | Impact | Score * | Description  | Action<br>Owner | Farget Date      | Revised<br>Farget Date | Action<br>Status | Action Owner Acronyms<br>explained   |
|          |   | 1. Cambridgeshire Future Transport<br>fails to deliver effective, efficient<br>and responsive passenger transport<br>services around Cambridgeshire   | Cambridgeshire residents are not |       | <ol> <li>Resilient Internet feed</li> <li>Business continuity testing</li> <li>CCC corporate BCP Group incl LGSS BC leads</li> <li>A Governance group, including member representation from each of the districts, County, NHS, Cambridgeshire ACRE is in place to oversee the programme</li> <li>The Cambridgeshire Future Transport programme board consisting of representatives from ETE, CFA and Comms</li> </ol>                    |             |        |         | <ol> <li>Identify suitable delivery models for areas E,<br/>F, G</li> <li>A14 Corridor</li> <li>A1 Corridor and A14</li> <li>Harston, Great Shelford</li> <li>Manage the review of the commissioning of<br/>transport across all forms of provision in the<br/>county</li> </ol> |                 | Mar-16           | Oct-15                 | G                |  |
|          |   |   | not achieved.                    |       | <ol> <li>Strategic business case, Risks and Issues Log and programme is in place.</li> <li>Communications strategy <i>has been developed.</i></li> </ol>  |             |        |         | 3. Identify suitable delivery models for<br>areas K, L, M<br>K - Chatteris, March, Wisbech<br>L - Gorfield, Leverington<br>M - Melbourn, Bassingbourn  | НоРТ            | Sep-15           |                        |                  | HoPT - Head of Passenger<br>Transport  |
| 22       | The Cambridgeshire Future<br>Transport programme fails to<br>meet its objectives within the<br>available budget |   |                                  | DoSD  | <ol> <li>Engagement strategy including stakeholder mapping <i>has been developed</i>.</li> <li>Monthly Member Steering Group meetings. Office programme board meeting</li> </ol>  | 3           | 3      | 9       |  |                 |                  |                        |                  |  |
|          |   |   |                                  |       | <ul> <li>monthly also.</li> <li>7. Updates are provided monthly for Members via Key Issues.</li> <li>8. The focus of the CFT work has now been extended to review the commissioning of all of the transport services that the County Council funds. This is following a motion to Full Council in December 2014. The review will lead to the formulation of recommendations for Members on necessary changes to commissioning.</li> </ul> |             |        |         |  |                 |                  |                        |                  |  |
|          |   |   |                                  |       | <ol> <li>9. Three year programme approved by Governance Group for bus subsidy work.</li> <li>10. Two year programme now in place for the review of the commissioning.</li> </ol>  |             |        |         |  |                 |                  |                        |                  |  |
|          |   | <ol> <li>Non compliance with the internal<br/>control framework and lack of<br/>awareness of anti-fraud and<br/>corruption processes.</li> <li>Increased personal financial<br/>pressures on individuals as a result</li> </ol> | 2. Financial loss                |       | <ol> <li>Financial Procedure rules</li> <li>Anti Fraud and Corruption Strategy incl Fraud Response Plan</li> </ol>  |             |        |         | <ol> <li>Implement anti bribery policy</li> <li>Fraud awareness campaigns</li> </ol>   |                 | Mar-14<br>Dec-15 | Dec 15                 | A                | HIARM - Head of Internal Audit<br>and Risk Management<br>HIARM - Head of Internal Audit<br>and Risk Management |
| 23       |   | of economic circumstances   |                                  | CE    | <ol> <li>Whistle blowing policy</li> <li>Codes of conduct</li> <li>Internal control framework</li> <li>Fraud detection work undertaken by Internal Audit</li> <li>Awareness campaigns</li> <li>Anti Money Laundering policy</li> <li>Monitoring Officer/Democratic Services role</li> <li>Publication of spend data in accordance with Transparency Agenda</li> <li>New Counter Fraud Team established in LGSS</li> </ol>                 | 2           | 3      | 6       |  |                 |                  |                        |                  |  |

| Version  | Date: | August  | 2015 |
|----------|-------|---------|------|
| 10101011 | Duto. | , agaot |      |



|          |   | Details of Risk                               |  |        |   | Res                | idua   | al Risk Actions |  |                 | ersion Date: August 2015 |                        |                  |   |
|----------|---|---|--|--------|---|--------------------|--------|-----------------|--|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---|
| Risk No. | Risk Description                              | Trigger                                       | Result   | Owner  | Key Controls/Mitigation   | Probability        | Impact | Score *         |  | Action<br>Owner | Target Date              | Revised<br>Target Date | Action<br>Status | Action Owner Acronyms<br>explained                          |
|          |   | information management.                       | delivery, as unable to make informed decisions.  |        | <ol> <li>Governance; SIRO, CIO, Corporate Information Management Team<br/>encompassing Information Management, Information Governance, Records<br/>Management, policies confirming responsibilities (see below)<br/>Data protection registration requirements</li> </ol>            |                    |        |                 | <ol> <li>Roll out of EDRM to manage the information<br/>lifecycle (including information standards).</li> <li>Task and finish group established to drive<br/>forward greater awareness raising and training</li> </ol>   | IM              | Mar-13                   |                        | G                | IM - Information Manager                                    |
|          |   | information and data held in                  | <ol> <li>Financial penalties.</li> <li>Increase in complaints and<br/>enquiries by the ICO.</li> <li>Decisions made by managers</li> </ol>           |        | <ol> <li>Policies: Data Protection, Freedom of Information, Information Security<br/>Incidents, Mobile Devices, Code of conduct, Retention schedules, IT security<br/>related policies (computer use, email), Information Management Strategy</li> </ol>                            |                    |        |                 | 8. Review e-safety policy  | CDCST           | Nov-13                   |                        |                  | Corporate Director, Customer<br>Services and Transformation |
| 24       |   |   | are not appropriate or timely.   | CD CST | <ol> <li>Procedures: FOI, Subject Access Request Handling, Records Management,<br/>service level operational procedures,</li> <li>Tools: Encrypted laptops and USB sticks, secure email and file transfer<br/>solutions, asset registers (USB sticks, encrypted laptops)</li> </ol> | 3                  | 3      | 9               |  |                 |                          |                        |                  |   |
|          |   |   |  |        | <ol> <li>Training and awareness: Data Protection, information security, information<br/>sharing, Freedom of Information and Environmental Information Requests</li> <li>Advice: Information Management advice service (IM, IG, RM, security),</li> </ol>                            | -                  |        |                 |  |                 |                          |                        |                  |   |
|          |   |   |  |        | Information Management addressed via the Gateway project<br>7. Information asset catalogue<br>8. Information sharing protocols embedded internally and with partners  | -                  |        |                 |  |                 |                          |                        |                  |   |
|          |   | 1. Failures of Busway bearings or             | 1.Significant and ongoing costs to   |        | 9. Audit/QA of accountabilities process 1. Monitoring and inspection regime in place  | $\left  - \right $ |        |                 | 3. Prepare a strategy for the procurement of a   | SD S&D          |                          | Oct-15                 |                  |   |
|          |   | movement of foundations continue and increase | maintain the Busway or restricted<br>operation of the Busway to the<br>extent that it will no longer be<br>attractive to operators or<br>passengers. |        | <ol> <li>Defects have been notified to Contractor in accordance with Contract. The<br/>Contractor has failed to investigate the defects or correct the defects within the<br/>defect correction period.</li> </ol>  |                    |        |                 | contract to rectify the busway defects. This<br>has been put on hold as a result of negotiations<br>4. Engage with bus operators, Busway users<br>and prospective contractors to identify working<br>methods that minimise disruption during the<br>defect correction works. On hold pending | ETE<br>SD S&D   |                          | Jan-16                 |                  | Service Director, Strategy &<br>development, ETE.           |
|          |   |   |  |        | <ol> <li>Causes of defects have been investigated and identified by the Project Manager</li> </ol>  | -                  |        |                 | surveys and monitoring.  |                 |                          |                        |                  |   |
|          |   |   |  |        | <ol> <li>The Project Manager has assessed the cost of correcting the defects. Under the<br/>terms of the Contract this is payable by the Contractor.</li> </ol>   |                    |        |                 |  |                 |                          |                        |                  |   |
| 26       | Increasing manifestation of<br>Busway defects |   |  | ED ETE | 5. Independent Expert advice has been taken confirming that the defects are defects under the Contract and that a programme of preventative remedial action is required and will be cheaper overall and less disruptive in the long run than a reactive response.                   | 2                  | 5      | 10              |  |                 |                          |                        |                  |   |
|          |   |   |  |        | 6. Legal Advice has been taken confirming that the defects are defects under the contract and that the Council has a good case for recovering the cost of correction from the Contractor  |                    |        |                 |  |                 |                          |                        |                  |   |
|          |   |   |  |        | 7. Retention monies held under the contract have been withheld from the Contractor and used to meet defect correction and investigation costs.  |                    |        |                 |  |                 |                          |                        |                  |   |
|          |   |   |  |        | 8. Funds have been set aside from the Liquidated Damages witheld from the Contractor during construction, which are available to meet legal costs   |                    |        |                 |  |                 |                          |                        |                  |   |
|          |   |   |  |        | <ol> <li>General Purposes Committee have resolved to correct the defects and to<br/>commence legal action to recover the costs from the Contractor</li> </ol>   |                    |        |                 |  |                 |                          |                        |                  |   |
|          |   |   |  |        | 10. Initially defects are being managed on a case by case basis until the<br>contractual issues are resolved, minimising impact on the public.  |                    |        |                 |  |                 |                          |                        |                  |   |
|          |   |   |  |        |   |                    |        |                 |  |                 |                          |                        |                  |   |

#### Appendix 2

### Version Date: August 2015



|          |  | Details of Risk  |  |        |   | Res         | sidua  | al Risk | Actions  | 3   |                            |                            |                  |   |
|----------|--|--|--|--------|---|-------------|--------|---------|--|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---|
| Risk No. | Risk Description                                 | Trigger  | Result   | Owner  | Key Controls/Mitigation   | Probability | Impact | Score * | Description  | Action<br>Owner                                     | Target Date                | Revised<br>Target Date     | Action<br>Status | Action Owner Acronyms<br>explained  |
| 2        | , The Pension Fund is<br>materially under funded | <ol> <li>Contribution levels do not<br/>maintain the level of the fund</li> <li>The longevity of scheme<br/>members increases</li> <li>Government changes to<br/>pensions regulations</li> <li>Volatility of financial markets</li> <li>Change to tax threshold<br/>causing exceedingly high<br/>contribution</li> <li>Shrinking workforce</li> </ol>  | 1. Significant increases in<br>revenue contributions to the<br>Fund are necessary placing<br>additional savings<br>requirements on services              | сғо    | <ol> <li>Governance arrangements including CCC Constitutional requirements<br/>and Pensions Committee including response to Hutton enquiry</li> <li>Investment Panel work plan</li> <li>Triennial valuation</li> <li>Risk agreed across a number of fund managers</li> <li>Fund managers performance reviewed on a regular basis by Pensions<br/>Committee</li> <li>Opt in legislation</li> </ol>   | 3           | 5      | 15      |  |   |                            |                            |                  |   |
| 21       |  | <ol> <li>Significant increase in the<br/>numbers of people requiring<br/>services</li> <li>Increase in the acuity of needs</li> <li>Resourcing pressures within<br/>the Council.</li> <li>Big thematic change does not<br/>result in tangible transformation<br/>at front line.</li> <li>Welfare reform brings<br/>increased vulnerability.</li> <li>Preventative services<br/>reductions risk increasing acuity<br/>of need.</li> <li>NHS transition brings<br/>increased financial pressures.</li> <li>Sudden incrase in population<br/>in one area due to large building<br/>development increases demand.</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>Failure to meet statutory<br/>requirements.</li> <li>Regulatory criticism.</li> <li>Civil or criminal action<br/>against the Council</li> </ol> | ED CFA | <ol> <li>Use of trend data to identify children's needs at the earliest stage</li> <li>Data regularly updated and monitored to inform service priorities and<br/>planning</li> <li>Joint Strategic Needs Assessment provides information regarding<br/>demographics and need, which is used to inform service planning</li> <li>Business planning process ensures resources are matched to need</li> <li>Cross-district Welfare Reform Strategy Group supports early<br/>identification of need and joint planning.</li> <li>Business planning proposals address future demand for services.</li> <li>Looked After Children Placement Strategy</li> <li>CFA Performance Board monitors performance of service provision</li> <li>Strategy for tackling child and family poverty and economic disadvantage<br/>in Cambridgeshire 2014-17 agreed with multi agency committment</li> </ol> | 3           | 4      | 12      | 3. Develop and deliver our Early Help offer<br>4 Refresh Looked After Children Placement | HoS Strat<br>SD OP<br>SD E&P<br>HoS Strat<br>SD E&P | Mar-15<br>Mar-14<br>Mar-15 | Mar-16<br>Sep-15<br>Nov-15 | A                | HoS Strat - Head of Service<br>Strategy<br>SD E&P - Service Director,<br>Enhanced and Preventative<br>SD OP - Service Director,<br>Older People and Mental<br>Health<br>HoS CID - Head of Service<br>Children's Innovation and<br>Development |

# SCORING MATRIX (see Risk Scoring worksheet for descriptors)

| VERY HIGH (V)        | 5            | 10       | 15       | 20     | 25             |
|----------------------|--------------|----------|----------|--------|----------------|
| HIGH (H)             | 4            | 8        | 12       | 16     | 20             |
| MEDIUM (M)           | 3            | 6        | 9        | 12     | 15             |
| LOW (L)              | 2            | 4        | 6        | 8      | 10             |
| NEGLIGIBLE           | 1            | 2        | 3        | 4      | 5              |
| IMPACT<br>LIKELIHOOD | VERY<br>RARE | UNLIKELY | POSSIBLE | LIKELY | VERY<br>LIKELY |

#### **Risk Owners**

CD CS&T - Sue Grace CE - Mark Lloyd DoPTT - Christine Reed DoLPG - Quentin Baker ED ETE - Graham Hughes ED CFA - Adrian Loades DoSD - Bob Menzies DoF - Matt Bowmer

# \* RAG RATING

RED rated risk AMBER rated risk GREEN rated risk

#### Appendix 2

| Version | Date: | August | 2015 |
|---------|-------|--------|------|
|         |       |        |      |

# **RISK SCORES**

**16 - 25** 5 - 15

1 - 4

# **RISK SCORING MATRIX**

| VERY HIGH (V)        | 5         | 10       | 15       | 20     | 25          |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|-------------|
| HIGH (H)             | 4         | 8        | 12       | 16     | 20          |
| MEDIUM (M)           | 3         | 6        | 9        | 12     | 15          |
| LOW (L)              | 2         | 4        | 6        | 8      | 10          |
| NEGLIGIBLE           | 1         | 2        | 3        | 4      | 5           |
| IMPACT<br>LIKELIHOOD | VERY RARE | UNLIKELY | POSSIBLE | LIKELY | VERY LIKELY |

Red scores - excess of Council's risk appetite – action needed to redress, quarterly monitoring Amber scores – likely to cause the Council some difficulties – quarterly monitoring Green scores – monitor as necessary

Descriptors to assist in the scoring of risk impact are detailed below

Likelihood scoring is left to the discretion of managers as it is very subjective

### **IMPACT DESCRIPTORS**

The following descriptors are designed to assist the scoring of the impact of a risk:

|                            | Negligible (1)  | Low (2)                                       | Medium (3)   | High (4)  | Very High (5)   |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Legal and<br>Regulatory    | Minor civil<br>litigation or<br>regulatory<br>criticism | Minor regulatory<br>enforcement               | Major civil<br>litigation and/or<br>local public<br>enquiry  | Major civil<br>litigation setting<br>precedent<br>and/or national<br>public enquiry   | Section 151 or<br>government<br>intervention or<br>criminal charges   |
| Financial                  | <£0.5m  | <£1.0m  | <£5m   | <£10m   | >£10m   |
| Service<br>provision       | (a) Insignificant<br>disruption to<br>service delivery  | (a)Minor<br>disruption to<br>service delivery | (a) Moderate<br>direct effect on<br>service delivery   | (a) Major<br>disruption to<br>service delivery  | (a) Critical long<br>term disruption<br>to service<br>delivery  |
| People and<br>Safeguarding | No injuries   | Low level of<br>minor injuries                | Significant level<br>of minor injuries<br>and/or instances<br>of mistreatment<br>or abuse of an<br>individual for<br>whom the<br>Council has a<br>responsibility | Serious injury<br>and/or serious<br>mistreatment or<br>abuse of an<br>individual for<br>whom the<br>Council has a<br>responsibility | Death of an<br>employee or<br>individual for<br>whom the<br>Council has a<br>responsibility or<br>serious<br>mistreatment or<br>abuse resulting<br>in criminal<br>charges |
| Reputation                 | No reputational<br>impact                               | Minimal negative<br>local media<br>reporting  | negative front<br>page<br>reports/editorial  | Sustained<br>negative<br>coverage in local<br>media or<br>negative  | Significant and<br>sustained local<br>opposition to the<br>Council's  |

|  |  | reporting in the national media | policies |  |
|--|--|---------------------------------|----------|--|
|  |  |                                 |          |  |