

FINANCE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT – SEPTEMBER 2016

To: **Economy and Environment Committee**

Meeting Date: **10th November 2016**

From: **Executive Director, Economy, Transport and Environment
and Chief Finance Officer**

Electoral division(s): **All**

Forward Plan ref: **Not applicable** *Key decision:* **No**

Purpose: **To present to Economy and Environment Committee the
September 2016 Finance and Performance report for
Economy, Transport and Environment (ETE).**

**The report is presented to provide Committee with an
opportunity to comment on the projected financial and
performance outturn position, as at the end of September
2016.**

Recommendations: **The Committee is asked to:-**

- **review, note and comment upon the report**

<i>Officer contact:</i>	
Name:	Sarah Heywood
Post:	Strategic Finance Manager
Email:	Sarah.Heywood@Cambridgeshire.gov.uk
Tel:	01223 699714

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 The report attached as **Appendix A**, provides the financial position for the whole of the ETE Service, and as such, not all of the budgets contained within it are the responsibility of this Committee. To aid Member reading of the report, budget lines that relate to the Economy and Environment (E&E) Committee have been shaded. Members are requested to restrict their questions to the lines for which this Committee is responsible.
- 1.2 The report only contains performance information in relation to indicators that this Committee has responsibility for.

2. MAIN ISSUES

- 2.1 The report attached as Appendix A is the ETE Finance and Performance report for September 2016.
- 2.2 **Revenue:** At this stage of the financial year there are no significant variances and ETE is showing a £81K forecast underspend.
- 2.3 **Capital:** The capital programme is forecast to be on target and £4.6m of the estimated £10.5m Capital Programme Variation has now been met. King's Dyke has an in-year forecast variance of -£2.6m due to land access issues (increased variation of £0.7m) and Connecting Cambridgeshire is forecasting a -£1.1m variance as the planned expenditure has been re-profiled. It is anticipated additional change will start to appear to contribute further to the Capital Programme Variation in future months.
- 2.4 E&E Committee has fourteen **performance indicators** reported to it in 2016-17. Of these fourteen performance indicators, two are currently red, two are amber, and ten are green. The indicators that are currently red are:
 - Local bus journeys originating in the authority area.
 - The average journey time per mile during the morning peak on the most congested routes
- 2.5 At year-end, the current forecast is that one performance indicator will be red (Local bus journeys originating in the authority area), eight will be amber and five green.

3. ALIGNMENT WITH CORPORATE PRIORITIES

3.1 Developing the local economy for the benefit of all

There are no significant implications for this priority.

3.2 Helping people live healthy and independent lives

There are no significant implications for this priority.

3.3 Supporting and protecting vulnerable people

There are no significant implications for this priority.

4. SIGNIFICANT IMPLICATIONS

4.1

- Resource Implications –The resource implications are contained within the main body of this report.
- Statutory, Legal and Risk – There are no significant implications within this category.
- Equality and Diversity – There are no significant implications within this category.
- Engagement and Communications – There are no significant implications within this category.
- Localism and Local Member Involvement – There are no significant implications within this category.
- Public Health – There are no significant implications within this category.

Implications	Officer Clearance
Have the resource implications been cleared by Finance?	Yes Sarah Heywood
Has the impact on Statutory, Legal and Risk implications been cleared by LGSS Law?	Yes Virginia Moggridge
Are there any Equality and Diversity implications?	Yes Tamar Oviatt-Ham
Have any engagement and communication implications been cleared by Communications?	Yes Mark Miller
Are there any Localism and Local Member involvement issues?	Yes Paul Tadd
Have any Public Health implications been cleared by Public Health	Yes Tess Campbell

SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Source Documents	Location
None	