RISK MANAGEMENT REPORT

То:	Audit and Accounts Committee			
Date:	23rd September 2014			
From:	Sue Grace, Director, Customer Services and Transformation			
Electoral division(s):	All			
Forward Plan ref:	N/A	Key decision: N/A		
Purpose:	 To provide the Audit and Accounts Committee with the profile of Corporate risks faced by the Council To pride details of significant changes to the Corporate Risk Register since the last report to the Committee in June 2014 To provide the Audit and Accounts Committee with the profile of risks faced by corporate and executive directorates To report on the development of the Council's risk management approach during 2014/15 			
Recommendation:	Audit and Accounts Con Management Report	mittee notes the Risk		

	Officer contact:
Name:	Jonathan Idle
Post:	LGSS Head of Internal Audit
Email:	Jonathan.Idle@cambridgeshire.gov.uk
Tel:	01223 715317

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 In accordance with best practice, the Council operates a risk management approach at corporate and service levels across the Council, seeking to identify key risks which might prevent the Council's priorities, as stated in the Business Plan, from being successfully achieved.
- 1.2 The risk management approach is encapsulated in 2 key documents:
 - Risk Management Policy

This document sets out the Council's Policy on the management of risk, including the Council's approach to the level of risk it is prepared to countenance as expressed as a maximum risk appetite. The Risk Management Policy is owned by the General Purposes Committee.

The Risk Management Policy states that the Council aims to manage risk in a manner which is proportionate to the risk faced based on the experience and expertise of its senior managers, although this must be within the Council's risk appetite. Audit and Accounts Committee members are therefore reminded that accepting a residual risk score of amber is appropriate provided that an objective risk assessment has been undertaken.

• Risk Management Procedures

This document details the procedures through which the Council will identify, assess, monitor and report key risks. The Risk Management Procedures document is owned by the Strategic Management Team (SMT).

- 1.3 The respective roles of the Audit and Accounts Committee and General Purposes Committee in the management of risk are:
 - The Audit and Accounts Committee provides independent assurance of the adequacy of the Council's risk management framework and the associated control environment.
 - The General Purposes Committee has an executive role in the management of risk across the Council in its role of ensuring the delivery of customer outcomes.
- 1.4 Risk Identification

The Council's approach to risk identification is described in the following extract from the Council's Risk Management Policy as approved by General Purposes Committee:

 Risk management should operate within a culture of transparency and openness where risk identification is encouraged and risks are escalated where necessary to the level of management best placed to manage them effectively;

- Risk management should be embedded in everyday business processes;
- Officers of the Council should be aware of, and operate, the Council's risk management approach where appropriate;
- Councillors should be aware of the Council's risk management approach and of the need for the decision making process to be informed by robust risk assessment, with General Purposes Committee members being involved in the identification of risk on an annual basis.

Ownership of the Corporate Risk Register (CRR) lies with SMT which reviews the Register on a quarterly basis, following an initial review by the Corporate Risk Group (CRG), chaired by the Corporate Director for Customer Services and Transformation.

Significant changes to the CRR are reported to General Purposes Committee on a quarterly basis. On an annual basis, the General Purposes Committee and SMT will review the CRR to seek to ensure that all significant risks faced by the Council are reflected. This annual review is undertaken in coordination with the annual business planning process.

- 1.5 The CRR was reviewed by SMT on 18th August 2014. A report detailing significant changes to the CRR will be presented to the General Purposes Committee at its meeting of 7th October.
- 1.6 This report is supported by:
 - The Corporate Risk Profile (Appendix 1)
 - Extract from the Corporate Risk Register (Appendix 2)

2. CORPORATE RISK REGISTER UPDATE

- 2.1 Following the review of corporate risk by SMT on 18th August, SMT is confident that the CRR is a comprehensive expression of the main risks faced by the Council and that mitigation is either in place, or in the process of being developed, to ensure that each risk is appropriately managed.
- 2.2 Appendix 1 shows the profile of Corporate Risk against the Council's risk scoring matrix and illustrates that there is 1 red residual risk:

Risk 9, 'Failure to secure funding for infrastructure'. This risk remains unchanged from the previous report to the Committee. The red rating of this risk reflects the significant reduction in central Government funding for school infrastructure. An extract from the CRR in respect of Risk 9 is attached at Appendix 2.

2.3 There are no significant changes to the Corporate Risk Register.

3 SERVICE RISK

- 3.1 Executive and Corporate directorate risk registers are up to date in accordance with the Council's Risk Management Procedures document which requires quarterly review as a minimum.
- 3.2 The table below shows the current profile of service risk:

DIRECTORATE	Gro	een	Am	ber	R	∋d	То	tal
	Мау	Aug	Мау	Aug	May	Aug	Мау	Aug
Children, Families and Adults	2	2	13	13	1	0	16	15
Economy, Transport and Environment	0	0	14	17	0	0	14	17
Corporate	0	0	5	7	0	0	5	7
Public Health	2	2	12	15	4	1	18	18
TOTAL	4	4	44	52	5	1	53	57

Table 1 – Analysis of Directorate Residual Risks as at August 2014

- 3.3 Table 1 illustrates that there are 57 risks recorded in service risk registers. 56 of the risks are managed within the Council's stated risk appetite of a maximum score of 15 as defined in the Risk Management Policy.
- 3.4 Table 2 illustrates that there is one red residual risk, in Public Health, as detailed below. A significant number of actions are proposed against this risk which should bring it to within the Council's stated risk appetite.

Table 2 – Residual Risk

RISK	RISK DETAIL	COMMENTS
1	Failure to address health inequalities, particularly in the north of the County	The red rating reflects the findings of the public health outcomes framework(PHOF) and annual public health report which identified several health behaviours which will lead to health inequalities in the future

4. ALIGNMENT WITH PRIORITIES AND WAYS OF WORKING

Risk management seeks to identify and to manage any risks which might prevent the Council from achieving its 3 priorities of:

- Develop the local economy for the benefit of all
- Help people live healthy and independent lives
- Support and protect vulnerable people

Source Documents	Location
Corporate Risk Register	Box Res 1415 Shire HallCastle Hill Cambridge, CB3 0AP