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## Introduction

This report closely reflects the questionnaire and you may find it helpful to have a copy of your questionnaire to hand when looking through the report.

Debtors benchmarking club collects the transactional volumes and processing costs (wherever they occur in the organisation) for all methods of invoicing and collection of the organisation's debts (excluding council tax, NDR and benefits overpayment recovery where handled outside of the debtors function). Authorities that participate in this benchmarking exercise are able to review their performance in the context of other authorites as well as gaining additional perspective on the scale of their activities.

The report contains information that we hope you will find interesting and useful in the management of your Debtors activities. The first five sections cover the content of the main 2018 questionnaire. The final section provide time series analysis.

At the end of the Benchmarking process, your authority will also receive supplemental materials which will provide further depth to this report:

- Interactive report: an Excel spreadsheet containing all the bar charts found in this report. The user can change the charts to show custom comparator groups.
- Database: an Excel spreadsheet containing all the data submitted by club members in 2017. The user can also populate a copy of the questionnaire with the data for any member.
- Scrapbook: a report containing an analysis of the responses to the text based parts of the questionnaire.

We hope you find the report useful. Please let us know of any suggestions you may have that could help to improve the exercise in future years.

## Useful Information

Averages: Almost all of our tables and charts compare your figure with a group average. The average is the unweighted mean value for the group. This average value ignores missing data, or data that we have excluded; for this reason, sets of averages sometimes do not reconcile precisely.

Charts: We display a large amount of data on charts as this allows us to show data for entire groups efficiently and gives far more information than a simple average (i.e. range of data, individual authority values etc.) Below we have annotated some examples of the charts we use

## Bar Charts

This is our standard way of displaying a full set of data for a particular indicator.


Title: Title of this chart.
Authority: Figure for your authority.
Average: The average figure of all the authorities included in this report.
Trend: The figure that you provided to us for the previous year's club [not included within this report].

Purple Bars: Each purple bar represents an authority in the comparator group.
Black Bar: Your authority's figure.
Missing Bars (Left): Missing data or data that has been excluded. These figures are not included in the average.

Missing Bars (Right): Represents values which are 0 and have been included in the average.
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## SECTION 1 - SUMMARY 2018

AVERAGE INVOICE VALUE 2017/18


COST/£'000 DEBIT RAISED 2017/18


DEBT DAYS - SUNDRY DEBTS
\% INVOICES COLLECTED IN 90 DAYS


## KEY: <br>  <br> Club average <br> Cambridgeshire

Avg invoice value (sundry debtors)


## COST/DEBIT = COST/INVOICE / AVG INVOICE VALUE

Cost/£'000 debit


\% DEBT COLLECTED IN 90 DAYS

\% OUTSTANDING INVOICES CURRENT


| Cambridgeshire | Average |
| :--- | :--- |
| $46 \%$ | $24 \%$ |

## COST / INVOICE 2017/18



Cambridgeshire
$£ 5.13$
Average
£6.45
STAFF COST / INVOICE 2017/18


Cambridgeshire
$£ 3.68$
Average
$£ 4.73$


KEY:
-O- Club average

- Cambridgeshire


STAFF COST £'000 / FTE


INVOICES PROCESSED / FTE


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Cambridgeshire } & \text { Average } \\
6,621 & 9,248
\end{array}
$$

## SECTION 2 - COLLECTION PERFORMANCE

This section looks at how successful you are at collecting debt. It concentrates on debt by category some of these categories may not be relevant to your class of authority and you may therefore be missing some pages. There is no analysis for total debt as differing mixes of debt make such comparisons meaningless.

The performance measures used are detailed below:

## Debtor Days

This measures the number of days worth of debt that is outstanding and is calculated as Debt Outstanding x 365 / Debt Raised. In general the lower this figure the better the performance. The total debtor days figure is then broken down by age of debt. An above average figure in the later age categories may indicate a weakness in the collection process or a reluctance to write-off debt.

## Write-offs as a Percentage of Debt Raised

This gives an indication of ultimate performance i.e. failure to collect rate. However, as authorities have different write-off procedures and frequencies it should only be taken as an "indicator".

## Average Invoice Value

This is shown for each category of debt. A below average value indicates invoices are being raised for small amounts, which may be uneconomical to collect.

## Debts Cleared Within 90 Days

For three given weeks spread through the year the number of invoices fully cleared within 90 days is given as a percentage of invoices raised in the week. The weighted average for the three weeks is also plotted. This gives a measure of current performance, which is an important indicator of whether the longer term debt position is likely to improve or not.

## Credit Notes

High levels of credit notes may indicate a problem with information from requisitioning departments or an accuracy problem with data punching. Whatever the cause, high proportions of credit notes increase workload and hence cost.

## Age Analysis of Debt

High levels of "young" debt (<90 days) indicates good collection performance. High levels of "old" debt ( $>365$ days) may indicate a problem with legal enforcement or the write-off process.

## Collection Performance

## DEBT ACTIVITY

Debt activity

|  | No of invoices | $\begin{gathered} B / F \\ 1 / 4 / 17 \end{gathered}$ | Annual debit | Paid | Write -off | $\begin{gathered} C / F \\ 31 / 3 / 18 \end{gathered}$ | Avg. invo Member | value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sundry debtors | 23,371 | 27,912 | 271,397 | 268,348 | 103 | 30,858 | $£ 11,613$ | £4,311 |
| Ground rents/service charges | - | - | - | - | - | - | na | £1,118 |
| Other periodics | - | - | - | - | - | - | na | £1,290 |
| Residential care | 20,958 | 7,418 | 17,228 | 19,952 | 223 | 4,471 | £822 | £1,051 |
| Non-residential care | 19,228 | 1,628 | 8,485 | 7,835 | 76 | 2,202 | £441 | $£ 346$ |
| Social care direct payments | - | - | - | - | - | - | na | £2,967 |
| Benefit overpayments | - | - | - | - | - | - | na | £541 |
| Housing recharges \& FT arrears | - | - | - | - | - | - | na | £541 |
| LA schools | - | - | - | - | - | - | na | £3,342 |
| Other | - | - | - | - | - | - | na | £14,893 |
| Authority total | 63,557 | 36,958 | 297,110 | 296,135 | 402 | 37,531 |  |  |

B/F - Debt brought forward
C/F - Debt carried forward

## OUTSTANDING DEBT $£^{\prime} 000$ @ 31.3.18

| Aged debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WRITE-OFFS <br> Write-off/ overall debit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1-30 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31-60 \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 61-90 } \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 91-180 } \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 181- } \\ & 365 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >365 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | C/F <br> TOTAL: |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% | Avg |
| Sundry debtors | 24,853 | 1,943 | 259 | 1,841 | 869 | 1,093 | 30,858 | 0.04\% | 0.38\% |
| Ground rents/service charges | na | na | na | na | na | - | - | na | 0.14\% |
| Other periodics | na | na | na | na | na | - | - | na | 0.41\% |
| Residential care | 1,761 | 179 | 206 | 435 | 673 | 1,217 | 4,471 | 1.29\% | 0.68\% |
| Non-residential care | 868 | 88 | 102 | 214 | 331 | 599 | 2,202 | 0.90\% | 2.43\% |
| Social care direct payments | na | na | na | na | na | - | - | na | 8.55\% |
| Benefit overpayments | na | na | na | na | na | - | - | na | 8.04\% |
| Housing recharges \& FT arrears | na | na | na | na | na | - | - | na | 21.13\% |
| LA schools | na | na | na | na | na | - | - | na | 1.05\% |
| Other | na | na | na | na | na | - | - | na | 1.00\% |
| Authority total | 27,482 | 2,210 | 567 | 2,490 | 1,873 | 2,909 | 37,531 |  |  |

## DEBTOR DAYS

## Debtor days

|  | Total |  | 1-30 days |  | 31-60 days |  | 61-90 days |  | 91-180 days |  | 181-365 days |  | >365 days |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Avg | Days | Avg | Days | Avg | Days | Avg | Days | Avg | Days | Avg | Days | Avg |
| Sundry debtors | 42 | 59 | 33 | 28 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 8 |
| Ground rents/charges | na | 76 | na | 32 | na | 1 | na | 1 | na | 5 | na | 14 | na | 35 |
| Other periodics | na | 70 | na | 47 | na | 2 | na | 3 | na | 3 | na | 3 | na | 12 |
| Residential care | 95 | 134 | 37 | 26 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 26 | 55 |
| Non-residential care | 95 | 100 | 37 | 16 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 17 | 26 | 43 |
| Social care direct payments | na | 362 | na | 51 | na | 17 | na | 8 | na | 38 | na | 26 | na | 192 |
| Benefit overpayments | na | 1,077 | na | 57 | na | 26 | na | 24 | na | 61 | na | 119 | na | 774 |
| Housing recharges \& FT arrears | na | 1,026 | na | 37 | na | 21 | na | 29 | na | 45 | na | 85 | na | 930 |
| LA Schools | na | 84 | na | 26 | na | 6 | na | 2 | na | 3 | na | 4 | na | 50 |
| Other | na | 44 | na | 22 | na | 4 | na | 1 | na | 3 | na | 4 | na | 10 |

[^0]
## Collection Performance - Sundry Debtors

DEBTOR DAYS


Collection Performance - Ground Rents \& Service Charges




| Ground Rents \& | Your |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Authority | Avg |  |
| Service Charges | na | 52 |
| Debtor Days - Total less Current | na | $£ 1,118$ |
| Average Invoice Value | na | $0.14 \%$ |
| Write-offs as \% of debit |  |  |

Collection Performance - Other Periodics


Collection Performance - Residential Care

DEBTOR DAYS


| Residential Care | Your <br> Authority | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Debtor Days - Total less Current | 57 | 93 |
| Average Invoice Value | $£ 822$ | $£ 1,051$ |
| Write-offs as \% of debit | $1.29 \%$ | $0.68 \%$ |

Average invoice value



Collection Performance - Non-residential Care

DEBTOR DAYS




Collection Performance - Social Care Direct Payments




## Collection Performance - Benefit Overpayments

DEBTOR DAYS




Collection Performance - Housing Recharges \& FT Arrears




## Collection Performance - LA Schools




## Collection Performance - Percentage of Debts Cleared

## \% OF INVOICES CLEARED WITHIN 3 MONTHS

| Debts raised/cleared - Invoices |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raised | Cleared by | No. raised | No. cleared | \% cleared | Avg |
| April 2017 | 31 July 2017 | . | . | na | 81\% |
| June 2017 | 31 September 2017 | . | . | na | 88\% |
| September 2017 | 31 December 2017 | . | . | na | 86\% |
| November 2017 | 28 February 2018 | .. | . | na | 87\% |
| Weighted average |  |  |  | na | 83\% |



Raised April 2017, cleared by 31 July


Raised June 2017, cleared by 31



## Collection Performance - Percentage of Debts Cleared

## \% OF DEBT RAISED CLEARED WITHIN 3 MONTHS

| Debts raised/cleared - Value |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raised | Cleared by | £'k raised | £'k cleared | \% cleared | Avg |
| April 2017 | 31 July 2017 | .. | .. | na | 89\% |
| June 2017 | 31 September 2017 | .. | .. | na | 87\% |
| September 2017 | 31 December 2017 | .. | . | na | 87\% |
| November 2017 | 28 February 2018 | .. | .. | na | 90\% |
| Weighted average |  |  |  | na | 88\% |





Raised November 2017, cleared by 28

\% OF DEBT RAISED CLEARED WITHIN 3 MONTHS (Raised April - September 2017)



April - September 2017 - Invoices

| No. raised | No. cleared | \% cleared | Avg |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .. | .. | na | $82 \%$ |

April - September 2017 - Value
£'k raised $£$ 'k cleared \% cleared Avg

## Collection Performance - Percentage of Debts Cleared

## TYPES OF DEBT OUTSTANDING AS A \% TOTAL OUTSTANDING DEBT








## Debt outstanding

£'000 \% total Avg

Debt secured on property
Residential care 62
5.2\%

Non-residential care
Other
-
0.0\%
$0.1 \%$

Public sector/utilities debt
PCT debt \& Other NHS debt
Other public sector bodies

| 6,106 | $16.3 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 54 | $0.1 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |

Recovery status

| Referred for legal or recovery action | 901 | $2.4 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Being paid by instalments | 864 | $2.3 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |

## Collection Performance - Debt Subject to Further Action

## REFERRALS AS A PERCENTAGE OF DEBIT

In section recovery team


External Collection agencies



External Solicitors


|  | No. $£^{\prime} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ | debit <br> Referrals | Avg |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| In section recovery team | na | na | na | $0.1 \%$ |
| External collection agencies | na | na | na | $0.3 \%$ |
| Organisation solicitors | na | na | na | $0.6 \%$ |
| External solicitors | na | na | na | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | - | - | na | $\mathbf{0 . 9 \%}$ |

CLAIMS/PETITIONS TO COURT - RECOVERY AS \% REFERRED


External collection agencies


Organisation Soicitors


External Solicitors


## Collection Performance - Outstanding Invoices

## PERCENTAGE OF OUTSTANDING INVOICES BY AGE



| Outstanding invoices by age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 - 3 0}$ <br> days <br> (current) | $\mathbf{3 1 - 6 0}$ <br> days | $\mathbf{6 1 - 9 0}$ <br> days | $\mathbf{9 1 - 1 8 0}$ <br> days | $\mathbf{1 8 1 - 3 6 5}$ <br> days | $\mathbf{8 3 6 5}$ <br> days |
| Invoices | 15,674 | 7,148 | 751 | 600 | 1,260 | 1,836 | 4,079 |
| $\%$ of total |  | $46 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Avg | $24 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $41 \%$ |  |

Collection Performance - Credit Notes as \% of Debit


## Collection Performance - Very Old Debts (>365 days)

## TYPES OF OLD DEBT AS \% OF DEBT > 365 DAYS OLD



Debt secured on property - Other




Other public sector bodies



## Value of debts $\mathbf{>} \mathbf{3 6 5}$ days

## Debt secured on property

| Residential care | 40 | $1.4 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-residential care | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Other | na | na | $1.5 \%$ |
| Public sector/utilities debt |  |  |  |
| PCT debt \& Other NHS debt | 339 | $11.7 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| Other public sector bodies | 5 | $0.2 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Recovery Status |  |  |  |
| Referred for legal or recovery action | 223 | $7.7 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ |
| Being paid by instalments | $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ | $5.9 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 9 0 9}$ |  |  |

## SECTION 3 - COST PERFORMANCE

This section looks at what it costs to collect the debt.
The performance measures used are cost/invoice and cost/£'000 debt raised. The timeseries graphs show the change in performance over time. On these graphs, the crosses show the specific authority values and the dotted line plots the path of the average.

## Cost/Invoice

This compares your cost/invoice with the average for the group - these are unweighted averages, ignoring missing data. (The use of unweighted averages and the incidence of missing data means that connected sets of average figures do not always exactly reconcile). Your original data from which these unit costs are derived is also shown. The report goes on to show the values for each member of the group.

It is important to recognise that cost comparisons must be interpreted in light of collection performance - an increase in cost/invoice could be a good investment if it resulted in an increase in collection rates.

## Cost/ $£^{\prime} 000$ of Debt Raised

This gives a measure of the proportion of income taken up by the collection process. It is shown together with average invoice value. Where average invoice value is low and cost $/ £^{\prime} 000$ of debt raised is high, it is an indication that a lot of low value invoices are being processed for which there may be better methods of collection.

COST PER INVOICE 2017/18


AVERAGE INVOICE VALUE 2017/18


COST/£'000 DEBIT RAISED 2017/18*


KEY:


Club average
Cambridgeshire

Cost per invoice

Avg invoice value (sundry debtors)


```
AVERAGE
iNVOICE VALUE
£4,675
Avg: £2,540
```

NET DEBIT<br>RAISED ( $\mathbf{E}^{\prime} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ )<br>£297,110<br>Avg: £152,292

COST PER £'000 DEBIT $£ 1.10$<br>Avg: $£ 3.24$

## COST/INVOICE TREE

This tree diagram analyses the cost per invoice. For each benchmark two figures are given, the first being the authority's cost and the second being the group average. The breakdown of enforcement costs shows the averages for authorities supplying data, and does not therefore reconcile to the average for total enforcement costs.



| Costs ( $\mathbf{£}^{\prime} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Debtors section | Non-core costs | Local costs | Benchmark cost | Cost per invoice | Avg. |
| Staff | 234 | - | - | 234 | 3.68 | 4.73 |
| Enforcement costs | 10 | - | - | 10 | 0.16 | 0.44 |
| Bought-in services | - | - | - | - | - | 0.01 |
| Other running costs | 30 | - |  | 30 | 0.47 | 0.22 |
| Total Direct Cost | 274 | - |  | 274 | 4.31 | 5.20 |
| Cashiers | - | - |  | - | - | 0.17 |
| IT | 9 | - |  | 9 | 0.14 | 0.41 |
| Accommodation | 3 | - |  | 3 | 0.05 | 0.26 |
| Other central charges | 40 | - |  | 40 | 0.63 | 1.11 |
| Total Indirect Cost | 52 | - |  | 52 | 0.82 | 1.66 |
| Outsourcing costs | - | - | - | - | - | 0.02 |
| Total cost | 326 | - | - | 326 | 5.13 | 6.45 |
| FTE staff | 9.6 | - | - | 9.6 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Own | Other bodies | Total |
| Number of invoices |  |  |  | 63,557 | - | 63,557 |
| Total debit raised ( $£^{\prime} 000$ ) |  |  |  | 297,110 | - | 297,110 |
| Total Cost (£'000) |  |  |  | 326 | - | 326 |

DIRECT COSTS PER INVOICE:




Bought-in services



INDIRECT COSTS PER INVOICE:





Other central charges


Cost Performance - Enforcement Costs Per Invoice Analysis


## SECTION 4 - INVOICE STATISTICS

The main areas covered in this section are:

## Percentage of invoices raised by type of debt

This breaks down the number of invoices issued by category of debt (e.g. ground rents, residential care etc). As some categories are more difficult to collect than others, a high proportion of difficult debt could result in a higher cost/invoice. Higher than average levels of benefit overpayments and former tenants arrears may result from process problems in those areas.

## Threshold Values

The value below which invoices are not raised and the values for automatic write-off after system reminders and write-offs approved by officers.

## Payment Methods

This is useful information and gives an indication of how BACS, direct debit, internet and automated phone payments are being established alongside more traditional payment methods.

| Invoices by type of debt | No. | \% | Avg* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sundry debtors | 23,371 | $36.8 \%$ | $46.6 \%$ |
| Ground rents/service charg، | - | $0 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Other periodics | - | $0 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| Residential care | 20,958 | $33 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ |
| Non-residential care | 19,228 | $30 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ |
| Social care | - | $0 \%$ | $n a$ |
| direct payments | - | $0.0 \%$ | $n a$ |
| Benefit overpayments | - | $0 \%$ | $n a$ |
| Housing recharges | - | $0 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| \& FT arrears | - | $0 \%$ | $n a$ |
| LA schools | - | $0.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Other | $\mathbf{6 3 , 5 5 7}$ |  |  |
| Tork for other bodies |  |  |  |

*Please note that the average column only
includes authorities that raise those types of
invoices, and authorities that do not are excluded. This is why the column may sum to a number greater than 100\%.



0\%

Other periodics


$\left.\begin{array}{l}8.0 \% \\ 6.0 \% \\ 4.0 \% \\ 2.0 \% \\ 0.0 \%\end{array}\right] \quad$ Housing recharges \& FT arrears

| Method of Payment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Avg |
| Cash \& Cheque | na | na | $57.4 \%$ |
| Card payments |  |  |  |
| - Credit Card | na | na | $11.5 \%$ |
| - Debit Card | na | na | $33.4 \%$ |
| Total | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Ways to Pay | number | \% | Avg |
| Automated telephone | na | na | $8.1 \%$ |
| Direct debit | na | na | $41.8 \%$ |
| Payment outlets | na | na | $10.8 \%$ |
| Internet payments | na | na | $7.6 \%$ |
| Bank credits | na | na | $29.2 \%$ |
| Other | na | na | $9.6 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  |

## METHOD OF PAYMENT:



## WAYS TO PAY:







## Invoice Statistics - Action Thresholds

## VALUE BELOW WHICH DEBTS ARE:

| Action Thresholds | $\mathbf{E}$ | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Not invoiced | $£ 50$ | $£ 15$ |
| Not reminded for | $£ 0$ | $£ 6$ |
| Written off after system <br> reminders <br> Written off by officers | $£ 0$ | $£ 10$ |






## SECTION 5 - STAFFING STATISTICS

## STAFF - PAY BY BAND



| Cambridgeshire | Average |
| :--- | :--- |
| $52 \%$ | $27 \%$ |



| Cambridgeshire | Average |
| :--- | :--- |
| $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ |



STAFF - INVOICES/FTE


Cambridgeshire
Average
6,621
9,248

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Cambridgeshire } & \text { Average } \\ 31 \% & 35 \%\end{array}$


| Staff by pay band |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | FTE | $\%$ | Avg |
| Over $£ 35 \mathrm{k}$ | - | $0 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| $£ 30-35 \mathrm{k}$ | 0.6 | $6 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| $£ 25-30 \mathrm{k}$ | 1.0 | $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| £20-25k | 3.0 | $31 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Under 20 k | 5.0 | $52 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 . 6}$ |  |  |

## STAFF - COST £'000/FTE



Cambridgeshire
£24.38

Average
£29.57


Cambridgeshire
0.0

Average
4.5


Cambridgeshire
2.0

Average
3.3


| Cambridgeshire | Average |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2.0 | 7.5 |

7.5

STAFF TURNOVER


## SECTION 6 - TIMESERIES ANALYSIS

The 2017-18 averages are the actual club averages.
For previous years, the averages shown here are scaled up or down from the 2018 figure based on the average rate of change in each year. This is calculated using data from members who supplied figures in consecutive years, otherwise the simple average in each year would be distorted by changes in the composition ef the club from year to year.

## Cost/£'000 debit



Avg invoice value (sundry debtors)


## KEY:

-O- Club average
-O- Cambridgeshire

Cost per invoice


Days debt (sundry debtors)


| Timeseries analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Cost ( $\mathbf{E}^{\prime} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ ) | 295 | 259 | .. | .. | 200 | 326 |
| Invoices | 65,150 | 71,428 | . | .. | 69,320 | 63,557 |
| Debit (Emillion) | 122 | 107 | .. | .. | 229 | 297 |
| Cost/Invoice | $£ 4.53$ | £3.63 | .. | .. | $£ 2.89$ | £5.13 |
| Average | $£ 4.70$ | £4.74 | £4.64 | £4.74 | £4.84 | £3.72 |
| Avg invoice value* | £4,321 | £3,121 | .. | .. | £7,703 | £11,613 |
| Average | £2,784 | £2,852 | £2,533 | £2,966 | £4,392 | £6,458 |
| Cost per £'000 debit | £2.41 | $£ 2.41$ | .. | .. | $£ 0.87$ | $£ 1.10$ |
| Average | $£ 4.12$ | £3.85 | $£ 4.45$ | £4.18 | £3.32 | £1.77 |
| Days debt* | 26 | 61 | .. | .. | 45 | 42 |
| Average | 76 | 81 | 90 | 112 | 66 | 46 |

[^1]
## SECTION 7 - QUARTILE FIGURES

Number of authorities supplying data $=\mathbf{2 8}$

Quartile figures for cost per invoice should be treated with particular caution since they take no account of the workload mix in terms of easy and difficult invoices, which can vary very significantly between authorities.

## Quartile figures

| Quartie figures | Authority | Average | Lower <br> quartile | MedianUpper <br> quartile |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cost per invoice $(2017 / 18)$ | $£ 5.13$ | $£ 6.45$ | $£ 3.38$ | $£ 5.13$ | $£ 7.11$ |
| Sundry debtors |  |  |  |  |  |
| Days debt | 42 | 59 | 40 | 51 | 67 |
| Days debt $>90$ days | 5 | 14 | 8 | 11 | 17 |

## Ground rents \& charges

| Days debt | na | 76 | 32 | 54 | 107 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Days debt $>90$ days | na | 55 | 15 | 32 | 64 |

## Other periodics

| Days debt | na | 70 | 30 | 49 | 96 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Days debt $>90$ days | na | 18 | 9 | 13 | 19 |

## Residential care (counties \& unitaries)

| Days debt | 95 | 134 | 82 | 102 | 158 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Days debt $>90$ days | 49 | 84 | 52 | 68 | 108 |


| Non-residential care (counties \& unitaries) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Days debt | 95 | 100 | 55 | 90 | 117 |
| Days debt $>90$ days | 49 | 69 | 29 | 46 | 84 |


| Social care direct payments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days debt | na | 362 | 119 | 147 | 571 |
| Days debt > 90 days | na | 216 | 61 | 100 | 137 |
| Benefit overpayments (unitaries \& districts) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Days debt | na | 1,077 | 857 | 1,142 | 1,301 |
| Days debt > 90 days | na | 954 | 692 | 1,013 | 1,275 |

## Housing recharges \& FT arrears (unitaries \& districts)

| Days debt | na | 1,026 | 438 | 802 | 1,525 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Days debt $>90$ days | na | 1,059 | 358 | 927 | 1,549 |

## LA schools (unitaries \& districts)

| Days debt | na | 84 | 16 | 43 | 56 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Days debt $>90$ days | na | 62 | 1 | 7 | 13 |

CIPFA is the leading professional accountancy body for public services, whether provided by the public or private sectors. It provides education and training in accountancy and financial management, and sets and monitors professional standards.

CIPFA also provides professional services to public sector organisations and managers. These include: statistical and technical information services, research services, consultancy, advisory networks and forums.

CIPFA holds more data on local government performance than any other organisation in the world and our Corporate Services Benchmarking Clubs are the market leader in local government benchmarking, with high levels of participation and customer satisfaction. Our detailed reports, databases, and interactive tools provide you with solid evidence to support decisions on budget and improvement.

## We also do...

In addition to, Debtors other Benchmarking Clubs include Accountancy, Pensions, Creditors, Payroll and Treasury Management.

We also provide other Debtors related services through CIPFAstats and TISonline.

To learn more about other benchmarking clubs in areas such Adult Social Care, Children's Services and Customer Contact, or to see our Value for Money indicator stream, please see our website:
www.cipfa.org/services/benchmarking

## Contact us

To find out more about our other Corporate Services Benchmarking Clubs please visit our website: www.cipfa.org/corporateservices

For more information about how CIPFA Benchmarking can help your organisation or to sign up today contact: E: customerliaison@cipfa.org T: 02075435600


CIPFA
The Chartered Institute of Public Finance \& Accountancy Registered office:
CIPFA Business Limited, 77 Mansell Street, London E1 8AN T: 02075435600 F: 02075435700 www.cipfa.org

CIPFA Business Limited, the trading arm of CIPFA that provides a range of services to public sector clients. Registered in England and Wales no. 2376684


[^0]:    Benchmarking ratios are ignored for under 50 invoices and where annual debt raised is less than $£ 10 \mathrm{k}$.

[^1]:    * Sundry debtors

