

Appendix 2 - Summary of Objections Received, Including Officer Responses

No.	Written Objection	Officer Response
1	<p><u>Parking</u>. This proposal will reduce the amount of on street parking at this end of De Freville Ave for residents and their visitors. Parking is already often difficult at peak times.</p> <p>Large boat trailers are often parked without permission and with no sanctions able to be applied according to Parking Wardens and Local Councillors with whom I have discussed/ corresponded with about this.</p> <p>We have also lost quite a number of parking spaces with the installation of electric car charging points.</p> <p><u>Environment</u>. It is likely that if parking becomes very difficult those of us who do not currently have off street parking will have to apply for dropped kerbs and pave our front gardens for car parking.</p> <p>This would involve felling of trees and uprooting of plants and would therefore be environmentally negative both in appearance and in loss of bird and insect life. Paving also increases temperatures and causes flooding.</p>	<p>It is accepted that there would be a loss of a permit holder space. However, this would be offset by the provision of off-road parking for up to three cars. On that length of De Freville Avenue (Aylestone Road to the southern end) there are 27 properties and 16 of them already have dropped kerbs and off-road parking. There are approximately 30 resident permit holder parking spaces on that length of road. This is relatively generous compared to some streets in Cambridge, particularly on those streets where the road width only allows single-sided parking.</p> <p>Due to the close proximity of several boat houses and rowing clubs, it is acknowledged that boat trailers are frequently parked in this area. However, observations would suggest that this more commonly takes place in Aylestone Road where there are lengthy and under-used parking bays.</p> <p>A number of on-street EV charging points were installed in this area about two years ago, but none of them are located on this particular section of De Freville Avenue.</p> <p>There would be an environmental impact, but on a relatively small scale. The negative impacts identified would obviously be more significant if more residents applied for dropped kerbs. However, over half of the properties on this part of De Freville Avenue already have off-road parking, so there are unlikely to be large numbers of additional applications for dropped kerbs.</p> <p>This proposal is only concerned with the parking restriction amendment and the impact of that. The dropped kerb application is a separate process which has already been agreed in principle by the local highway officer.</p>

2	<p>I object to the additional loss of residents parking that this proposal entails. Finding parking space can already be very difficult at peak times, and residents parking has become more difficult due to boat trailers and electric charging point</p>	<p>See comments above.</p>
3	<p>I strongly object to the proposal, it seems to be a very selfish one, because sometimes this area gets very busy and one less space for parking space would be inconvenient. Neighbours like ourselves in the semi-detached houses opposite No.xx have no scope for off street parking without getting completely rid of our front gardens, a cost a) we can't afford and b) bad for environmental reasons. No.xx already has a dropped kerb and forecourt parking at the side of the property . Access/ space for two cars at No.xx is already there, suitable for the two households, the main house and the side house. In fact, there is space there for three cars.</p>	<p>See comments above.</p> <p>The property referred to is not the one that applied for this change.</p>