20mph Prioritisation Matrix.					
Applicant	Pr	roject Type		Score	Scorer Comments / Justification
1. Does the application address a known safety issue?					
(Scoring 0 -5: 0 - no evidence, 1 - anecdotal evidence of risk, 2 - substantial anecdotal evidence including photos and collision details, 3 - a small number of slight injury collisions dispersed within the area, 4 - a number of slight injury collisions which may be in groups or serious injury collisions, 5 - designated collision cluster site or a number of serious injury collisions within area).					
2. Could the suggested scheme increase safety for vulnerable highway users and encourage active travel modes?					
(Scoring cumulative. Evidence of how the scheme will make it safer for different users groups should be referenced during application. Marks for: evidence of supressed cycle demand (e.g. link between cycle routes, petitions), local shop(s) i.e. a food outlet, schools, playgrounds, care home/assisted living accommodation, no available footway or narrow roads / paths - one mark for each. This is not an exhaustive list).					
3. Does the application maximise the impact of the introduction of 20mph?					
(Scoring: 0 - 5. Evidence of area covered and likely compliance. Town or village-wide scheme (excl. major roads) with many roads within the area already seeing low speeds and proposals for additional measures - 5 / Town or village-wide scheme (excl. major roads), no additional measures - 4 / Scheme covering a considerable area within a town/village - 3 / Scheme covering a small area of a town/village, e.g. a couple of streets or one residential area - 2 / Isolated small area or single-road scheme - 1 / Minimum length e.g. section of high street or school zone only - 0). For the purposes of scoring a major road is one which has been designated as an 'A' or 'B'.					
4. Does the application have demonstrable local support?					
(Scoring: 0 - 5. Yes with relevant evidence of discussion and consultation to provide confidence that the scheme will progress with objections = 5 / Some relevant evidence or support implied, but further consultation needed = 3 / No evidence of discussion or evid of support locally = 0).					
5. Deliverability					
(How deliverable is the scheme perceived to be by officers based on the application and evidence provided. Score of 1 - 5 given dependent on any of the following - significant unknowns such as impact of requested intervention to address stated issue, a lack of evidence of consultation, concerns over how the scheme is funded, or a lack of a clear and defined scope/issue. 5 = Very easy to deliver no unknowns / 4 = Easy to deliver / 3 = Some unknowns but not expected to impact on delivery substantially 2 = Hard to deliver due to lack of supporting evidence 1 = Very hard to deliver, significant unknowns and lack of supporting evidence).					
Total cumulative score -				<u>0</u>	