

COMMUNITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Directorate / Service Area		Officer undertaking the assessment	
People and Communities - Commissioning (Adults)		Name: Lisa Sparks	
Proposal being assessed		Job Title: Commissioner – Housing Related Support	
HRS Savings Proposals: To reduce the funding to Jimmy's by £50k. This is a very high value contract. Additional grant funding is also provided by Cambridge City Council.		Contact details: lisa.sparks@cambridgeshire.gov.uk	
Business Plan Proposal Number (if relevant)		Date completed: 19.02.19	
		Date approved:	
Aims and Objectives of the Service or Function affected			
<p>Jimmy's Assessment Centre provides 20 commissioned units of accommodation in Cambridge City for rough sleepers and complex single homeless.</p> <p>The service provides very short term accommodation and support (currently the target stay at the Assessment Centre is 28 days) and aims to provide safe and secure accommodation for vulnerable and complex clients, whilst working towards helping them to address immediate support needs and to access longer term accommodation, on either a temporary or permanent basis as appropriate. The Assessment Centre is currently the main point of access to other hostel services within Cambridge City. It is also one of the few services which will accommodate clients' dogs.</p> <p>Wherever possible, the service will also support them to access other specialist support they may need such as mental health support or treatment services.</p>			
What is the proposal?			
To reduce the current high contract value for Jimmy's Assessment Centre by £40k to help realise the savings that need to be delivered against the HRS budget.			
Who will be affected by this proposal?			
<p>Jimmy's who provide the Assessment Centre.</p> <p>Clients if there is a reduction in available support hours.</p>			
What positive impacts are anticipated from this proposal?			
<p>This reduction in expenditure for the County Council will contribute to the £1m savings target against HRS services.</p> <p>As part of discussions with the Provider around reducing the funding, there will be option to look at how they could deliver some aspects of the service differently by spreading the funding across the whole service and looking at extending the 28 day stay limit to enable more targeted work to be undertaken with clients and increase their readiness to move on to another service.</p>			

What negative impacts are anticipated from this proposal?

- This will have a financial impact on the provider
- The provider and other partners could make political representations
- Rough sleeping and homelessness are very politically sensitive issues at present, particularly for Cambridge City who are working to maintain a decrease in the number of rough sleepers in the city
- Negative publicity

Are there other impacts which are more neutral?

Discussions with the provider suggest that they will take this opportunity to look at how they could deliver some aspects of the service differently to benefit clients.

Impacts on specific groups with protected characteristics

Specific consideration should be given as to whether the proposal has a particular or disproportionate impact on any of the groups listed below.

Please consider each characteristic and tick to indicate any where there will potentially be a disproportionate impact (positive or negative) from implementation of the proposal. Do not tick the boxes if the impact on these groups is the same as the impact on the community as a whole (described in the above sections)

Impact	Tick if disproportionate impact
Age	
Disability	
Gender reassignment	
Marriage and civil partnership	
Pregnancy and maternity	
Race	

Impact	Tick if disproportionate impact
Religion or belief	
Sex	
Sexual orientation	
Rural isolation	
Deprivation	

Details of Disproportionate Impacts on protected characteristics and how these will be addressed

If any of the boxes above have been ticked to indicate that people with the protected characteristics will be affected more than other people then use this section to describe that impact and any measures which will be put in place to mitigate those potential impacts

Version Control

Version no.	Date	Updates / amendments	Author(s)
01	26.10.18	First Draft	Lisa Sparks
02	25.03.19	Updates to various sections across document	Lisa Sparks