

PROPOSED 20MPH SPEED LIMIT IN HUNTINGDON - REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

Response	No.	What it means
Wholly Object	21 (50%)	<i>(I wholly object to this proposal)</i>
Partly Object	5 (12%)	<i>(I object to part of the proposal, but support or am neutral to other elements of it)</i>
Neutral	1 (2%)	<i>(I neither support or object, but would like the Council to consider another related matter)</i>
Wholly Support	8 (19%)	<i>(I wholly support this proposal)</i>
Partly Support	7 (17%)	<i>(I support this proposal, but would like the Council to consider additional or alternative measures)</i>
TOTAL	42	

Responder Type	Order Support	Feedback Text
Resident	Wholly Object	In addition to my other submission, these proposals haven't been widely publicised as quite a few people appear aware of the new 20mph limits. I can't believe this scheme is being proposed, particularly when the County Council is some £46 million in debt!
Resident	Wholly Object	Good afternoon, I'm at somewhat of a loss as to why the County Council think a majority 20 mph is warranted on the majority of roads in the Huntingdon area. To suggest these would be "self policing" with regards to drivers adhering to these new limits is laughable. You only have to look at the temporary 40mph limits on the A1307, where I'm regularly overtaken by cars travelling well in excess of this limit. Additionally, travelling in a lower gear in a vehicle at 20mph does not in itself reduce emissions as the ICE works to its optimum in a higher ratio. Neither does it result in less traffic congestion. Two excellent examples of this are the 20mph zones in Wales and Greater London. Certainly, much of this anti motorist agenda is being pushed by walking and cycling groups, who appear to have the ear of both HDC and CCC. Many of these individuals don't live in Huntingdon or the surrounding areas. A study of 20mph speed limits by Edinburgh University undertaken on behalf of the Department of Transport concluded that didn't reduce collisions or casualties. These proposals are nothing more than anti car/motorist by politicians and some minority groups with an agenda. Lastly, where is the evidence that this is what the majority of residents living in the locality actually want? I look forward to your comment and response.
Resident	Wholly Object	As a disabled driver my accessible cruise control that uses additional reactive braking and acceleration to assist a journey only works over 20mph. This means if traffic in front of me is ONLY doing 20mph I will not be able to use it at all. (And if there's 10 cars on the road the one at the back will be doing 10mph anyway). It lessens the pain in my body when I'm able to use it. My workplace has allowed me to work outside of key rush hour times due to built up traffic but 20mph will then further hurt my body and impact on every journey. Why is there a need for lower speeds? If this is a safety thing where are the accident numbers? Where is the road safety we used to have when I was a child? Why the need to have permanent 20? It's not working in Wales so why here? Why are pedestrians allowed to wear ear plugs to cross roads without looking? Or be staring at phones and walking into roads without looking. Why should disabled drivers be penalised when I've lived here all my life and relied on mobility cars to get from a to b for work or anywhere. I've already experimented going through Alconbury Weald and the high and jerky roadbumps have increased my pain on only a couple of visits. If I could get my workplace to let me work from home I guess I'd be confined indoors. Covid was joyous watching everyone else be frustrated at not being able to go out and made me feel normal for once especially as the government isn't really keen on disabled people with the introduction of pip. Why not 25mph to give these types of vehicles a chance? Increasing the time of the journey also impacts as I often pee myself on journeys more than 30mins and access to disabled toilets in Huntingdon is drastically reduced!! Disabled one at the riverside is locked with an additional key that's not a radar. Cromwell's no longer exists and I've had to park outside there before to use their toilet. Last time I tried the one under the 147 club it was padlocked. What's the point of a radar key if Huntingdon doesn't have accessibility. The disabled bays on the market square were removed so even accessing the Falcon that area of town is impossible in a hurry. This town is going backwards.
Business	Wholly Object	There is no sound reason to impose these ridiculous 20mph speed limits on either environmental or safety grounds. The 20mph speed limit will probably make the roads more dangerous, I personally have been overtaken in the 20mph speed limit area at Hemingford Grey right by the school. They will cause driver frustration and not stop those drivers who don't currently stick to speed limits from speeding, in fact due to the increased speed differentials due to those sticking to 20mph and those who will still speed there are likely to cause more collisions. As for the environment, you will have more drivers driving at a lower speed where their engine is running outside of its most efficient engine speed and so it will be using more fuel and creating more pollution. As for noise you will have vehicles running at higher revs in a lower gear and so noise pollution will be increased. Most importantly it isn't great for the economy it may not sound much of a difference between speeds but for business deliveries, the self employed and others it will add a significant amount of time and expense to their days. If you travel 20 miles in a 20mph zone during the day it will take you 50% longer to get around than a 30mph zone, for anyone involved in providing logistic services, repair services, etc. (much of which the economy relies on) this will have a knock on effect of reducing productivity and peoples general wealth. Companies will have to pass this increased expense on to the general public at a time when the majority are suffering from the effects of cost of living increases.

Resident	Wholly Object	<p>I strongly object to this proposal. How many lives have been lost on the roads affected by this proposal in the last 10 years? What was the cause of these accidents? How much will this proposal cost? None of these questions have been answered? The default 20 mph limit in Wales is estimated to save 6-10 lives/year which equates to 0.044-0.074 lives/year for the population of Huntingdon or 1 death every 13.5-22.7 years, so the effect of a 20 mph limit will be negligible. On average, 8 people die each year in Snowdonia National Park, so should hiking in the park be banned? About 700 people die each year by falling down stairs, so should everyone be forced to live in a bungalow? The answer is of course a resounding 'No'. People should be risk aware, not risk averse. The money for this proposal would be better spent teaching people to cross roads safely. Evidence from Wales suggests that the average speed has reduced by 2.3 mph as a result of the default 20 mph limit which is a minor reduction and unlikely to have a major effect on injuries and deaths. Why would results for Huntingdon be any different? Will the police enforce the 20 mph limit? Who knows, but why have a 20 mph limit if it's not enforced? Did any councillor who is in favour of this proposal mention it in their campaign literature before they were elected? Bear in mind that a petition in Wales was supported by more people than voted for the current Welsh government, so that's something to consider when councillors who are in favour of the proposal stand in the next election. By the way, I speak as someone who walked nearly 3000 kms in 2023 without any issues from cars travelling at 30 mph or more, but I did have a few near misses with inconsiderate cyclists.</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>I object completely to the anti car measures that Cambridgeshire county council is trying to implement across the county and in Huntingdon in this instance.</p> <p>I feel like a 20 mph speed limit on many of the roads selected to be changed to 20mph is not suitable. The reason why is one, it is far too a slow speed and two, traffic would build up and create more congestion and therefore more air pollution during busy time. The cost of replacing signs and road infrastructure would come at a cost which I feel would be better spend else where such as planting trees.</p> <p>Further I was extremely concerned to read in the press that the council has signed up with an organisation called UK100, and in so doing has pledged to "beat" the Government's own Net Zero targets in our area?</p> <p>Anti-driver policies are also causing great damage. We might ask exactly whose interests are served by intensifying such policies?</p> <p>Most people will not have heard of any of these measures or consultations until they are enforced undemocratically "for our own good". Therefore I vote against this completely.</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>As a resident of the local community, I do not feel this would at all benefit the local people nor commuting traffic. At peak times as it is the traffic on the ring road is awful and any funding would be better served to improve the ring road infrastructure. Enforcing the limit would be a further drain on the already exhausted services of both the local authority and police. Just look at the recent change wales implemented and how 'successful' that has gone. This change will damage community relations and lead to a loss of trust and confidence in the services the local authority provide. The cost of signage/enforcement would be better spent elsewhere.</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>You only need 20 mph limits near the local schools.As you will implement this new speed limit no matter what the local people say,please remove all speed bumps and chicanes from Huntingdon as they will no longer be needed as the roads will supposedly be safe according to your new strategy.What would make more sense would be to send people to the local schools and teach the pupils about the dangers of staring at phones whilst walking into roads,not wearing giant headphones so they can hear the traffic,wear brighter clothes when its dark and the basics of road safety like look right,look left and look right again before crossing roads,not walking out between cars etc.</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>These proposals are complete nonsense. They will damage the economy by affecting business efficiency. They will damage the environment as driving at artificially low speeds causes excessive pollution, will waste thousands of pounds of residents tax money to implement and will do nothing for road safety.</p> <p>Recently introduced 20mph speed limits already implemented elsewhere are almost universally ignored as people will drive at what they feel is a safe and sensible speed not some arbitrarily imposed one that makes no sense.</p> <p>The reason given by the council for why this is even necessary is bogus. They claim it's because less people are killed if hit by a vehicle at 20mph than at 30mph. Even if that's true, the average number of pedestrian deaths caused by vehicles in Cambridgeshire over the past ten years is just 4.5 per year. The total number is so low, any reduction could only be minimal. At the same time, when it's considered that having to drive at an illogically low speed forces people to direct their attention to the speedometer instead of on the road ahead it's possible to make an assumption that the measure is actually likely to result in an increase in road traffic accidents.</p> <p>I would urge this measure be rejected out hand.</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>20mph limits in other areas have not been supported for enforcement by the police and are largely ignored by many if they are made blanket areas.</p> <p>People are more likely to stick to 20mph limits where they are in place for safety reasons ie near schools but where there are no differentiation there is more risk of apathy.</p> <p>There are studies that also show that driving behaviour changes and faster local routes become more congested.</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>Considering the UK Government has stopped this type of change in speed limits, why does this council think they are exempt?</p> <p>The proposal is to encourage walking and cycling? How is this planned? Having a lower speed limit will largely create more queues and congestion which is not what we want. What expertise does this council have to suggest such an idea or are they simply jumping on an already flawed bandwagon?</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>There are no issues with accidents in the town.</p> <p>A new speed limit will not be more environmentally friendly.</p> <p>You can't add 1.5k new homes and introduce a speed limit and expect the town not to struggle moving people around.</p> <p>No one will stick to the speed limit and you will just waste money instead of providing a better service towards things that are falling like green bin collections or flooding.</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>I do not think that lowering speed limit will improve safety, there is no way of enforcing these limits, if someone is speeding in 30mph zone, they will not respect 20mph limit. This seems like a waste of time and taxpayers money that could be spent elsewhere. Will the cost of this scheme have an impact on repairs and upkeep of roads in Huntingdon, mending potholes and updating faded road markings would make more sense, than implementing lower speed limits.</p>

Resident	Wholly Object	<p>Just like with the godmanchester 20mph this is a complete waste of time and money.</p> <p>You state it's to make the road safer, but with the normal drivers who will still go at 30 mixed with the nervous drivers who stick to 20 it's causes more road aggression and dangers.</p> <p>You would rather spend money to impliment this (non legally enforceable speed limit may I add) over putting the money into food banks and social care, meaning genuinely the purposes and readers of this who will sit and read many many objections but will not listen as you are only doing this consultation by protocol, not by wanting to see the thoughts!! You know no one wants it, but some older generation who think they know best will be pushing for it.</p> <p>For once, have common sense or if not, I hope you sit with the guilt of hungry families on your mind while driving slower as you didn't put money to better uses!</p> <p>No one listens to 20mph zones and they won't with this either</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>I wholly object to this proposal for the following reasons:</p> <p>1) There is a "temporary" 40mph speed limit on the A1307 between Huntingdon and Godmanchester which has been in place for multiple years due to an incomplete safety barrier. I would much prefer my council tax is used to repair these barriers rather than spending them on a speed limit that has a negligible effect on the safety of the roads.</p> <p>2) The roads in Huntingdon are in an abysmal state to begin with, overall safety of the roads would be improved if potholes were repaired and roads were resurfaced as needed. Currently they are not. You put the yellow marker around the potholes and then take an extended period of time to actually repair them.</p> <p>3) The 20mph speed limit imposed on Godmanchester recently has had no visible impact to the already minimal accidents that occurred there, and the police have publicly said they will not enforce this. This is further proof that the 20mph speed limit in huntingdon will not be effective and will not make a noticeable difference.</p> <p>4) The traffic situation in Huntingdon, especially during rush hour causes multiple gridlocks, caused by multiple traffic lights on the ring road and the new link road to the A1307. This work to "improve safety" caused more accidents (as seen on the link road junction) and has had a negative impact on the community. A 20mph speed limit in Huntingdon is likely to make the traffic situation worse.</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>There is no evidence that 20mph speed limits is safer or environmentally better. Police won't enforce it so it's pointless. Reducing to 20mph will cause frustration to drivers and increase road rage</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>This seems to be an attempt to fix a problem that doesn't exist. Since the A14 became the A1307 I haven't seen anywhere near as much speeding, traffic, or near misses as I used to on the Huntingdon ringroad. I fail to see what reducing the speed limit to 20mph hopes to realistically achieve. Those who drive dangerously and recklessly exceed the speed limit will continue to do so, only now they will take greater risks knowing that law abiding road users will adhere to an even slower speed limit. It also means they will hit other innocent road users much harder, as they will rear end slower moving vehicles. As the police have suggested for other areas having the 20mph speed limit introduced; they don't intend to police it - indeed your own words are that this will be 'self enforcing', so what's the point? Regardless of the speed limit on any given road, your speed should be self enforced by the road conditions at the time (if it's wet, drive slower and maintain more distance. If it's narrow, slow down and allow others to pass). None of this changes with a lower speed limit. Additionally; I disagree with the idea that this would reduce pollution - reducing the speed limit can also increase pollution; it all depends on how people drive (and I would argue that the majority of drivers don't know how to drive economically at any speed). Overall; I think this consultation alone is a waste of taxpayers time and money, never mind the astronomical waste it would be to proceed with the reduced speed limit. Surely there are better, more creative, and more cost-effective ways to increase safety and reduce pollution in Huntingdon; this just seems like a lazy idea, completely lacking in any ingenuity or forward thinking. Please, please, please listen to the feedback you are given, and put more thought into other initiatives.</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>I object most strongly to this proposed order for the following reasons.</p> <p>1) you already state in your statement of reasons that most traffic travels at or below the current 30 mph limit</p> <p>2) there are no safety or speeding concerns cited</p> <p>3) the cost of implementing these orders would be better spent on highway maintenance such as pothole repair and rainwater drain clearing</p> <p>4) the police have stated they would not be actively enforcing another 20mph limit because there was no reason for it in terms of speeding or safety concerns.</p> <p>Hopefully common sense will prevail and this utter waste of money will not go ahead.</p>
Resident	Wholly Object	<p>I am writing to object against the new 20mph speed limits for huntingdon.</p> <p>The town center, Riverside and Stukeley meadows are already at a standstill most days during rush hour and now you want to spend our increased council tax on new signage to slow the traffic down even more?</p> <p>Your reasoning, to promote the use of more public transport and cycling would be good however the public transport in our area is unreliable and there aren't nearly enough routes. As for cycling, this is another area that you have forgotten to invest in, as there aren't nearly enough cycle paths.</p> <p>Lets take my daily commute as an example. I live in Hartford and work in Papworth Everard. I am stuck in standstill traffic going towards the ring road most mornings and evenings. It is only 12 miles and I have often considered cycling. However there are no cycle paths between godmanchester and papworth and I believe the road is too dangerous to cycle. As for public transport it would take me an hour each way. 3 times longer than driving, a complete joke!</p> <p>On a side note; I believe a lot of this traffic is largely in part due to the amount of houses being built, with no consideration to infrastructure. I have seen plans to build more homes down the bottom of ermine Street opposite ermine business park. One of your guys must be getting a healthy back hand from the developers because noone in there right mind would agree to put more homes there. Every day it is gridlocked on that roundabout and around that area. To put more homes there is ludicrous.</p> <p>To summarise, the proposal to reduce the speed limit to 20mph is absurd, a complete waste of money and will lead to an increase in pollution and speeding in other areas of town to make up for the time lost in your idiotic 20mph zones</p>

Wholly Object	<p>I accept the proposals for roads that are primarily for access to residential areas and in every instance where a road passes a school, care home, medical facility or other places where children or vulnerable adults reside or attend.</p> <p>I object to the proposal to reduce the speed limit to 20mph where the road is a through road from one part of Huntingdon to another (except where it passes places where children or vulnerable adults attend or reside). These include but are not limited to those listed below. I am of the view that the benefit to residents and people in general of reducing these roads to 20MPH is limited and does not outweigh the dis-benefit to motorists and the environment. I believe adherence to the 20MPH limit in these areas will be very unlikely to be adhered to and very expensive to enforce. I think 30MPH is more appropriate on these roads</p> <p>Ambury Road, Buttsgrove way, Coneygear road, California way, Desborough Road , Ermine street, Kings Ripton road, Mayfield road, Sapley road, Sallowbush Road, Desborough road, Hartford Road (it's full length), Wertheim Way, Any road that constitutes the town ring road I have excluded American Lane, Priory Road, Cowper Road from this list because the size of these roads because of the density of people and housing and MPH zone.</p>
Wholly Object	<p>I wish to object to this proposal for a 20 mph zones in Huntingdon on the basis:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The online consultation links are not working on your consultation platform denying people the ability to comment and there has been no meaningful consultation with neither residents, commuters nor workers who work in the area. 2.The proposals show no evidence of what benefits the 20 mph will have. Vehicles are now NCAP rated and far safer than older cars, being hit by modern a NCAP 1 vehicle at 30mph is almost the equivalent of 20 mph. There is more pollution from slower vehicles being driven at inefficient and polluting speeds. There is no published evidence that 20 mph zones in Huntingdon will be safer, just anecdotal research which did not take into account the real world. There is little evidence that reducing the speed limit would only reduce speeds by less than 2 mph. Where is the evidence that proves 20 mph zones outside of school, shopping areas are required i.e. accident numbers. If roads are apparently not self policing at 30 mph how will 20 mph zones be self policing. Why are industrial areas exempt from 20 mph zones as they carry the most dangerous categories of vehicles next to pavements with shoppers, workers and even children. Those who currently abide by the law will be frustrated and end up speeding whereas those who ignore the speed limits will continue to do so mitigating any real effects of the speed reduction. No evidence that the majority of Huntingdon residents, workers etc, or indeed they requested these 20 mph zones , there are about 30,000 people plus additional workers so we would expect a significant numbers above 10,000 to respond in favour to be democratic (non-repose is not considered a positive vote in favour) 3.Where is the democratic process in this 20 mph zone introduction across Cambridgeshire, it was not in any councillors election statement or referendum. 4.Through routes in Huntingdon such as American Lane are predominantly not residential streets and should be kept at 30 mph, no one has actually walked these streets to identify the risk areas but made a blanket proposal. Only roads off them should be 20 mph.
Resident	<p>Partly Object</p> <p>Please register my objection to the proposed TRO. As a resident (xx Priory Road, Huntingdon. Cambs. PE29 1JN), I feel a blanket reduction in speed is ridiculous, this will not deter those who speed, this will have very little impact on environmental conditions (in fact is more likely to increase emissions due to heavy revving of engines and stop start acceleration as frustrated drivers would end up overtaking other cars.</p> <p>I fully support the reduction in speed around schools and shops, but feel this intent to place a blanket reduction in speed is unreasonable, unenforceable, and in my opinion is a wasted exercise. I have seen so many comments from residents in Huntingdon, but also from Godmanchester and, on a larger scale, in Wales where it has proven to be unpopular and unwanted.</p> <p>I do not support a proposed blanket TRO around Huntingdon and strongly object against it.</p>
Resident	<p>Partly Object</p> <p>I support 20mph zones around schools but not in other residential areas where 30mph is a more sensible speed.</p>
Resident	<p>Partly Object</p> <p>Stop making everywhere 20mins this is only going to hold up traffic which Huntingdon cannot cope with already Agree that around schools it should be but does not have to be the whole village or town</p> <p>You have done it to godmanchester and its awful outside school yes why the rest of Godmanchester</p> <p>Don't do the same to Huntingdon you really are asking for more trouble than needed outside school not the rest of the town it's becoming an awful place to live because politicians thru n they know best sort yourselves out</p>
Resident	<p>Partly Object</p> <p>I think all Bus routes especially Guided bus routes should be at least 30mph, including Buttsgrove way and California road.</p>
Resident	<p>Partly Object</p> <p>20mph across such large areas isn't necessary and , in my opinion, is likely to cause more accidents than is stops. There will always be 'speeders'. I've been overtaken at 30mph on more than one occasion. These people will be overtaking far more if drivers are reduced to 20mph. Is this based on accident statistics in the area concerned? If not, it shouldn't be imposed.</p> <p>I agree that there should be 20mph zones outside schools. We already have ineffective traffic calming measures. I think this will also be ineffective unless you are planning to have enforcement officers issuing tickets and fines on a regular (at least once per month) basis. Unless you can back the decision up with valid statistics (eg every road has had one injury/fatality accident in the last 5 years), all you will do is waste money and infuriate a lot of people.</p> <p>If you do go ahead with it, then please removed the silly traffic island calming measures which are also dangerous.</p> <p>In my opinion the most effective traffic calming measures are the digital signs which light up with your speed as you approach them.</p>
Resident	<p>Neutral</p> <p>I read recently that CCC, will be introducing 20 mph speed limits on the majority of residential roads with the exception of Sapley Road, which will remain at 30 mph.</p> <p>I would like to suggest as being a resident living next to this road it would be much safer if a 20 mph limit was partially introduced along the road from the start at Sapley Road where it meets Huntingdon Road to Desborough road as this will include the majority of houses that face closest to the road as they have little frontage. Also it would include the entrance to George V Park and the corner shop which have higher foot fall which includes small children. 20 mph would also make it safer when passing parked cars.</p> <p>You may feel the speed reduction measures are enough to warrant 30 mph remaining, but all they seem to do is create "speed goal posts" as the limit is constantly ignored.</p>

Resident	Partly Support	My worry is how will this be policed? The current law is flagrantly flouted already (on an almost daily basis) by drivers who accelerate down Hartford Road from the Hunts Post bend at the top of the road. Equally, there are resident motorcyclists who do the same thing on exiting Ingram and Euston roads. We need 'sleeping policemen' on this stretch of the road and/or some VERY OBVIOUS cameras and a positive commitment to punish regular offenders. There are many elderly people round here, mothers with children in pushchairs and disabled people on motorized vehicles who take their life in their hands trying to cross Hartford Road at times. It is essential that this new measure is ENFORCED otherwise it will be an empty gesture and a waste of time and effort.
Resident	Partly Support	Agree with limit being reduced - Fully. However, what are your plans to monitor and enforce on Desborough Rd before someone gets seriously injured or killed.. speed on this road is currently looking more like 60>. There is not a hope 20 will be respected, 30 is ignored currently & something urgent needs to be put in place to reduce this safety hazard
Resident	Partly Support	The proposal is definitely going in the right direction but I think it could go further. Many streets, as admitted on the proposal, are residential but will not benefit from the reduced speed limit. I think this is wrong as improved quality of life for the residents should be prioritised over cars passing through getting to their destination a minute faster. Ermine Street, where I live, is a major road so there might be a compulsion to keep the speed higher to increase throughput. However as traffic is stop-start during rush hour, both residents and people who need to drive would benefit from traffic-reducing measures such as prioritised buses and improved bike lanes, instead of keeping the speed limit higher.
Resident	Partly Support	I think this is a good idea as there are too many speedsters around. Delivery drivers are worse and the likes. If we are getting 20mph zones will the traffic calming measures on the areas be removed in the 20mph areas. For example speed bumps on the Stukeley meadow estate. Also can you look at the A1307 old a14 viaduct road where the road is still 40mph near the Huntingdon bus station and is using the traffic management signage to be 40mph. You are going away from a busy town on an open dual carriage way and even the police don't follow the 40mph signs.
Resident	Partly Support	I am a resident of The Grove, Hartford and we are plagued by the nuisance of speeding vehicles. We are perplexed as to why The Grove is not included in these proposals. We have a mixture of residents encompassing both old and very young. A speed limit of 20mph would go some way to addressing this issue.
Resident	Partly Support	Coneygear Road should be reduced to 20mph due to school and crossing, regular parking issues near Maryland Avenue and the general speed of cars. Sapley Road should be reduced to 20mph with the characins and other speed reduction removed as they cause accidents and regular near misses. Hartford Road, Main Street and Longstaff Way should be reduced to 30mph to make it a safer place to walk, cycle and cross.
Resident	Partly Support	1. In principle I agree, 2. I would like to see addition of a 20mph limit on OldBridge/The avenue from Bridge Foot to Bridge Place/RGE engineering by the electric depot. It is predominantly residential, and is naturally traffic calmed by choking, so needs only 4 signs on existing street furniture/wall. 3. Pointless to waste money on 20mph Zone for The Hollow and Old houghton Road, A couple of caution pedestrians signs would be more useful, And a "Quaside ahead" sign on the hollow 4. You need to step down to 30 mph outside St Peter's School before the 20 limit.
Resident	Wholly Support	My House is located in the section of Hartford Road inside the ring road. Traffic uses our road as a fast short cut, racing down at speeds well in excess of 40 - to 50mph and there is currently no signage to remind drivers about the speed limit so I will welcome the 20mph limit. In addition to this change I would like to have the traffic coming off the new dual carriageway 1307 down the Pathfinder Link Road (towards the bus station) redirected onto the ring road if they are heading for St Ives direction so that they do not use our section of Hartford Road. This would benefit the whole town centre by greatly reducing the traffic using Huntingdon High street to get through the town.
Resident	Wholly Support	Yes, the 20 mph around all residential areas and our town centre residential area is essential I would say. However we need enforcement by police presence or speed camera and monitoring of illegal parking on double yellows , pavement obstructing and vehicles obstructing in our residential roads please
Resident	Wholly Support	Very happy if this change to 20mph will take place as Thongsley street is currently not safe due to speed of the cars are going up and down .
Resident	Wholly Support	As a Huntingdon resident on Thongsley street I really welcome the initiative of 20 mph on residential roads. On Thongsley street particularly I can say at the moment the cars driving on much higher speed which makes the whole street unsafe specially that street is proximity of the Thongsley Fields Nursery so it's also serves as drop off and pick ul kids from nursery. Hope this change will be implemented as soon as possible!
Other	Wholly Support	As a ward Councillor for Huntingdon East, I fully support these proposals. I worked with officers to help make amends to the initial plans to the final design and I feel the final designs are an appropriate measure that will help improve pedestrian, cyclist and road safety, with limited impact on drivers. I support these proposals and thank officers for their work in developing the scheme
Resident	Wholly Support	I fully support this although I would also add the marked 30 MPH zones such as Sapley, Kings Ripton Roads and also Coneygear Road in the 20 mph area for clarity and stop the speeding in the 20 mph zones. This WILL need Enforcement and the Traffic calming can then be removed
Resident	Wholly Support	We are writing to express our strong support for the introduction of 20mph zones in key residential roads in Huntingdon. We are residents of the inner-ring road stretch of Hartford Road – from the town centre up to the junction with Nursery Road and there is an extremely heavy flow of traffic down this street during key periods. When the street is quieter traffic can travel down it at excessive speeds. My car was written off two years ago when a motorist, using Hartford Road as a cut through, crashed and damaged three vehicles. I don't know if this could have been avoided by a reduced speed limit, but I suspect it would have helped. In addition to the introduction of 20mph zones there is one other point that needs to be addressed regarding traffic and parking on this stretch of Hartford Road. Heavy goods vehicles and deliveries to Chequers' Court When the Chequers Court redevelopment took place including the opening of the new M&S and other stops there was a slip road created that was designed for these vehicles to drop off at the loading areas of the shops and then travel down the slip road avoiding the residential area of Hartford Road. This has never been used by the delivery trucks and instead they thunder down the inner Hartford Road everyday creating damage to the roads. The slip road solution needs to be revisited and made to work, as my understanding was that this was part of the original plan and approval for the shopping area. We would really like this second point addressed, but for now are strongly supporting a 20mph zone including the inner section of Hartford Road.
Resident	Wholly Support	Thank you for the enclosed information, you have my full support in reducing the current speed limits to 20mph on the roads coloured Green.