

**PROPOSED REVISED KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR HIGHWAYS AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE**

**To:** Highways and Community Infrastructure Committee

**Meeting Date:** 3 December 2018

**From:** Graham Hughes - Executive Director: Place & Economy

**Electoral division(s):** All

**Forward Plan ref:** N/A                      **Key decision:** No

**Purpose:** To review the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and targets for Place and Economy and reported to Economy and Environment Committee

**Recommendation:** Committee is asked to comment on and to approve the proposed revised Key Performance Indicators and targets for Place and Economy as set out in Appendix A and agree on an implementation date

<b><i>Officer contact:</i></b>		<b><i>Member contact:</i></b>	
Name:	Louisa Gostling	Names:	Councillor Mathew Shuter
Post:	Senior Business Intelligence	Post:	Chair of HCI
Email:	<a href="mailto:Louisa.gostling@cambridgeshire.gov.uk">Louisa.gostling@cambridgeshire.gov.uk</a>	Email:	<a href="mailto:Mathew.shuter@cambridgeshire.gov.uk">Mathew.shuter@cambridgeshire.gov.uk</a>
Tel:	01223 703249	Tel:	01638 508729

## **1. BACKGROUND**

- 1.1 It was agreed some time ago that the whole of the Place and Economy KPI set should be reviewed to ensure that it is fit for purpose and is monitoring performance against key outcomes.
- 1.2 This review has taken place and a full revised set of Place and Economy KPIs has been produced. Responsibility for the indicators is split between Economy and Environment Committee and Highways and Community Infrastructure Committee.
- 1.3 It was agreed at the October 2018 HCI Committee that vacancy figures are to be added to the KPI's.

## **2. MAIN ISSUES**

- 2.1 A summary of the review of P&E KPIs is attached in **Appendix A**, showing the proposals for new and deleted indicators, with a brief rationale for each.
- 2.2 The proposed new KPI set with targets for each of the revised HCI indicators is included in **Appendix A**.
- 2.3 The proposed new KPI set with targets for each of the P&E operational indicators is included in **Appendix A**.
- 2.4 These KPIs have been developed to align with County Council objectives and existing longer-term targets, and in response to comments from Members.

## **3. ALIGNMENT WITH CORPORATE PRIORITIES**

- 3.1 **Developing the local economy for the benefit of all**  
The indicators in themselves don't contribute towards this priority, but do measure how individual elements of the Place and Economy service are.
- 3.2 **Helping people live healthy and independent lives**  
The indicators in themselves don't contribute towards this priority, but do measure how individual elements of the Place and Economy service are.
- 3.3 **Supporting and protecting vulnerable people**  
The indicators in themselves don't contribute towards this priority, but do measure how individual elements of the Place and Economy service are.

## **4. SIGNIFICANT IMPLICATIONS**

- 4.1 **Resource Implications**  
There are no significant implications within this category.
- 4.2 **Procurement/Contractual/Council Contract Procedure Rules Implications**  
There are no significant implications within this category.

#### 4.3 Statutory, Legal and Risk Implications

There are no significant implications within this category.

#### 4.4 Equality and Diversity Implications

Some of the indicators – e.g. measuring classified road condition - are specifically aimed at narrowing the gap between Fenland and other areas of the county.

A number of the indicators link to the following Operating Model outcomes:

- The Cambridgeshire economy prospers to the benefit of all Cambridgeshire residents
- People lead a healthy lifestyle and stay healthy for longer
- People live in a safe environment

#### 4.5 Engagement and Communications Implications

There are no significant implications within this category

#### 4.6 Localism and Local Member Involvement

There are no significant implications within this category.

#### 4.7 Public Health Implications

A number of the proposed targets align with improving public health, including reducing road casualties.

Implications	Officer Clearance
Have the resource implications been cleared by Finance?	Yes Name of Financial Officer: Sarah Heywood
Have the procurement/contractual/ Council Contract Procedure Rules implications been cleared by the LGSS Head of Procurement?	Yes Name of Officer: Paul White
Has the impact on statutory, legal and risk implications been cleared by LGSS Law?	Yes Name of Legal Officer: Debbie Carter-Hughes
Have the equality and diversity implications been cleared by your Service Contact?	Yes Name of Officer: Elsa Evans

<b>Have any engagement and communication implications been cleared by Communications?</b>	Yes Name of Officer: Joanne Shilton
<b>Have any localism and Local Member involvement issues been cleared by your Service Contact?</b>	Yes Name of Officer: Christine May
<b>Have any Public Health implications been cleared by Public Health</b>	Yes Name of Officer: Stuart Keeble

<b>Source Documents</b>	<b>Location</b>
Place & Economy Finance and Performance Reports	Published monthly as part of HCI Cttee papers at <a href="https://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/council/finance-and-budget/finance-&amp;-performance-reports/">https://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/council/finance-and-budget/finance-&amp;-performance-reports/</a>