Equality Impact Assessment – Screening Form For employees and/or communities

Section 1: Proposal details

| Directorate / Service Area: | | Person undertaking the assessment: | |
|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Highways and | | Name: | Andrea Haslock |
| Transport, Road Safety | | | |
| Proposal being | | Job Title: | Senior Road Safety Engineer |
| assessed: | | | |
| Road Safety Schemes | | Contact | 01223 715924 |
| 2023/24 | | details: | Andrea.Haslock@cambridgeshire.gov.uk |
| Business | | Date | 23/05/2023 |
| Plan | | commenced: | |
| Proposal | | Date | 23/05/2023 |
| Number: | | completed: | |
| (if relevant) | | | |

Key service delivery objectives:

Each year the road collision and casualty data for the preceding 5-year period is collated and analysed, including the latest collision cluster site list for the county. The cluster site list comprises sites where there have been at least 6 reported collisions involving personal injury or at least 3 involving a fatality or serious injury within 100m in the preceding 3 full calendar years.

These sites are then subject to investigation by the road safety team and interventions identified to address the causes of collisions at these sites.

Identified schemes are put forward to Highways and Infrastructure committee for approval within the £594k budget identified for road safety capital schemes.

This includes an element of funding for design of schemes for future years and to address any issues identified in-year as a result of fatal collision investigations.

Key service outcomes:

Reduction in road casualties

What is the proposal?

The proposal is to introduce schemes at the identified locations to reduce the risk of personal injury collision, in particular collisions resulting in serious injury or death.

What information did you use to assess who would be affected by this proposal?

Road casualty and collision data.

Are there any gaps in the information you used to assess who would be affected by this proposal?

Information is limited to those meeting the definition outlined in the <u>Department for</u> Transport's STATS 20 guidance:

All road accidents involving human death or personal injury occurring on the Highway ('road' in Scotland) and notified to the police within 30 days of occurrence, and in which one or more vehicles are involved, are to be reported. This is a wider definition of road accidents than that used in Road Traffic Acts.

Information on collisions not resulting in serious injury is unreliable in its consistency, and while anecdotal reports of incidents can prove useful once a site is identified for investigation these are not used in the identification of sites to enable a more consistent approach to be applied. It is however recognised that collisions resulting in slight injury are also significantly underreported, particularly those involving cyclists.

Who will be affected by this proposal?

The proposal will affect all road users at these specific locations, but will have a disproportionate impact on those resident in the local area or those that use the routes for regular journeys.

It is expected that the changes made will improve the situation for these road users with reduced risk of being involved in a road traffic collision at these locations.

Section 2: Identifying impacts on specific minority/disadvantaged groups

Consider each characteristic / group of people and check the box to indicate there is a foreseeable risk of them being negatively impacted by implementation of the proposal, including during the change management process.

You do not need to be <u>certain</u> that a negative impact will happen – at this stage it just needs to be foreseeable that it could, unless steps are taken to manage this.

| S | Scope of this Equality Impact Assessment | | | | | |
|----|--|--|---|--------------------|--|--|
| C | Check box if group could foreseeably be at risk of negative impact from this | | | | | |
| рі | proposal | | | | | |
| N | Note *= protected characteristic under the Equality Act 2010 | | | | | |
| * | Age | | * | Disability | | |
| | | | | | | |
| * | Gender reassignment | | * | Marriage and civil | | |
| | | | | partnership | | |
| * | Pregnancy and | | * | Race | | |
| | maternity | | | | | |
| * | Religion or belief | | * | Sex | | |
| | (including no belief) | | | | | |
| * | Sexual orientation | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Rural isolation | | | Poverty | | |
| | | | | | | |

Next steps:

If you have checked one or more boxes above, you should complete a full Equality Impact Assessment form.

If you have not checked any boxes, please continue to complete this screening form.

Section 3: Explanation of 'no foreseeable risk' EIA screening

Explain why this proposal will not have a foreseeable risk of negative impact for each group. Provide supporting evidence where appropriate. Where the same explanation applies to more than one group, state it in the 'Reasons' column for the first relevant group and put 'as per [first group name] above' to reduce duplication.

For example: 'This proposed process combines two previous processes which both had robust EIAs prior to implementation. This process does not introduce any new content. So, no foreseeable risk of negative impact has been identified.'

| | | Characteristic / group of people | Explanation of why this proposal will not have a foreseeable risk of negative impact |
|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | * | Age | While younger and older road users are more at risk of injury as a result of a road traffic collision, the proposals are expected to improve safety at these locations and therefore have a positive impact on this group. |
| 2 | * | Disability | While road users with disability are more at risk of injury as a result of a road traffic collision, the proposals are expected to improve safety at these locations and therefore have a positive impact on this group |
| 3 | * | Gender reassignment | The proposals are expected to improve safety at the identified locations so no foreseeable risk of negative impact has been identified. |
| 4 | * | Marriage and civil partnership | The proposals are expected to improve safety at the identified locations so no foreseeable risk of negative impact has been identified. |
| 5 | * | Pregnancy and maternity | The proposals are expected to improve safety at the identified locations so no foreseeable risk of negative impact has been identified. |
| 6 | * | Race | The proposals are expected to improve safety at the identified locations so no foreseeable risk of negative impact has been identified. |
| 7 | * | Religion or belief (including no belief) | The proposals are expected to improve safety at the identified locations so no foreseeable risk of negative impact has been identified. |
| 8 | * | Sex | While male road users are more at risk of involvement in a road traffic collision, the proposals are expected to improve safety at these locations and therefore have a positive impact on this group. |

| 9 | * | Sexual orientation | The proposals are expected to improve safety at the identified locations so no foreseeable risk of negative impact has been identified. |
|----|---|--------------------|--|
| 10 | | Rural isolation | While rural residency has been associated with risk of injury as a result of a road traffic collision, the proposals are expected to improve safety at these locations and therefore have a positive impact on this group. |
| 11 | | Poverty | While poverty has been associated with risk of injury as a result of a road traffic collision, the proposals are expected to improve safety at these locations and therefore have a positive impact on this group. |

Section 4: Approval

Note: if there is no information available to assess impact, this means either information should be sought so this screening tool can be completed, or information should be gathered during a full EIA.

I confirm that I have assessed that a full Equality Impact Assessment is not required.

| Name of person who | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| completed this EIA: | Andrea Haslock |
| Signature: | |
| Job title: | |
| | Senior Road Safety Engineer |
| Date: | |
| | 23/05/2023 |

I have reviewed this Equality Impact Assessment – Screening Form, and I agree that a full Equality Impact Assessment is not required.

| Name: | David Allatt |
|--|--|
| Signature: | |
| Job title: Must be Head of Service (or equivalent) or higher, and at least one level higher than officer completing EIA. | Assistant Director – Transport Strategy and Network Management |
| Date: | |